Before Starting the CoC Application

The CoC Consolidated Application is made up of two parts: the CoC Application and the CoC Priority Listing, with all of the CoC’s project applications either approved and ranked, or rejected. The Collaborative Applicant is responsible for submitting both the CoC Application and the CoC Priority Listing in order for the CoC Consolidated Application to be considered complete.

The Collaborative Applicant is responsible for:
- Reviewing the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA in its entirety for specific application and program requirements.
- Using the CoC Application Detailed Instructions while completing the application in e-snaps.
- Answering all questions in the CoC application. It is the responsibility of the Collaborative Applicant to ensure that all imported and new responses in all parts of the application are fully reviewed and completed. When doing this keep in mind:
  - This year, CoCs will see that a few responses have been imported from the FY 2015 CoC Application.
  - For some of the questions HUD has provided documents to assist Collaborative Applicants in completing responses.
  - For other questions, the Collaborative Applicant must be aware of responses provided by project applications in their Project Applications.
  - Some questions require the Collaborative Applicant to attach a document to receive credit. This will be identified in the question.
  - All questions marked with an asterisk (*) are mandatory and must be completed in order to submit the CoC Application.

For CoC Application Detailed Instructions click here.
1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

Instructions:
For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: ME-500 - Maine Balance of State CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Maine State Housing Authority

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Maine State Housing Authority
1B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Engagement

Instructions:
For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1B-1. From the list below, select those organizations and persons that participate in CoC meetings. Then select "Yes" or "No" to indicate if CoC meeting participants are voting members or if they sit on the CoC Board. Only select "Not Applicable" if the organization or person does not exist in the CoC’s geographic area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization/Person Categories</th>
<th>Participates in CoC Meetings</th>
<th>Votes, including electing CoC Board</th>
<th>Sits on CoC Board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Government Staff/Officials</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Jail(s)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital(s)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMT/Crisis Response Team(s)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health Service Organizations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Abuse Service Organizations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordable Housing Developer(s)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Housing Authorities</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoC Funded Victim Service Providers</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-CoC Funded Victim Service Providers</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Outreach Team(s)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth advocates</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agencies that serve survivors of human trafficking</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other homeless subpopulation advocates</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS Service Organizations (HOPWA)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Government- especially Maine Dept. of Health &amp; Human Services</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans Services</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1B-1a. Describe in detail how the CoC solicits and considers the full range of opinions from individuals or organizations with knowledge of homelessness or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness in the geographic area. Please provide two examples of organizations or individuals from the list in 1B-1 to answer this question.

All MCOC meetings are open to the public. MCOC has an open membership & members are eligible to vote as described in the MCOC governance (posted on MCoC's website, www.mainehomelessplanning.org. Monthly meeting notices are also posted on the website which is publicly accessible & subscribed to by over 340 providers, advocates & individuals statewide. MCOC solicits & considers opinions & involvement of joint MCOC & Portland CoC leadership, Statewide & Regional Homeless Councils, HOPWA & ESG subrecipients, housing developers & others as needed. Remote connectivity through ITV options is available at up to 7 locations statewide for people who cannot attend in person & there is a call-in number to encourage participation via phone. Examples: Preble Street operates a statewide SSVF Program, & Youth & Outreach Programs, & takes a lead role with the annual PIT count. The Frannie Peabody Center, a HOPWA program, which serves as a non-CoC funded member of the Scoring Committee.

1B-1b. List Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)-funded and other youth homeless assistance providers (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded) who operate within the CoC’s geographic area. Then select "Yes" or "No" to indicate if each provider is a voting member or sits on the CoC Board.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Youth Service Provider (up to 10)</th>
<th>RHY Funded?</th>
<th>Participated as a Voting Member in at least two CoC Meetings between July 1, 2015 and June 20, 2016.</th>
<th>Sat on CoC Board as active member or official at any point between July 1, 2015 and June 20, 2016.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Beginnings</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penquis Community Action Program (Journey House)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaw House</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennebec Behavioral Health</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preble Street</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Counselors Inc</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrymeeting Project</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1B-1c. List the victim service providers (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded) who operate within the CoC’s geographic area.
Then select "Yes" or "No" to indicate if each provider is a voting member or sits on the CoC Board.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Victim Service Provider for Survivors of Domestic Violence (up to 10)</th>
<th>Participated as a Voting Member in at least two CoC Meetings between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016</th>
<th>Sat on CoC Board as active member or official at any point between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caring Unlimited</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Voices</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Violence Project</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spruce Run WomenCare Alliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Next Step</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hope and Justice Project</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hope for Women</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Center</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1B-2. Explain how the CoC is open to proposals from entities that have not previously received funds in prior CoC Program competitions, even if the CoC is not applying for new projects in 2016. (limit 1000 characters)

MCOC welcomes proposals from previously unfunded applicants. The NOFA Announcement & an invitation to apply for funds is publicly posted on the www.mainehomelessplanning.org website, including availability of new potential funding. Application opportunities, process, & deadlines are shared at meetings of the Regional & Statewide Homeless Councils, Emergency Shelters Directors, & other meetings of homeless-serving housing &/or service providers. All new projects are scored using the MCOC-approved New Project Scoring Tool; criteria include the extent to which they meet HUD & MCOC priorities, program type, experience, capacity, target population, & administration. Projects meeting the scoring threshold are included in the project listing & ranked according to their score. All new project applicants are provided with the results of their project scoring in writing & results are also posted on the Maine Homeless Planning website.

1B-3. How often does the CoC invite new members to join the CoC through a publicly available invitation? Monthly
1C. Continuum of Care (CoC) Coordination

Instructions:
For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1C-1. Does the CoC coordinate with Federal, State, Local, private and other entities serving homeless individuals and families and those at risk of homelessness in the planning, operation and funding of projects? Only select "Not Applicable" if the funding source does not exist within the CoC’s geographic area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding or Program Source</th>
<th>Coordinates with Planning, Operation and Funding of Projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head Start Program</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and service programs funded through Federal, State and local government resources.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1C-2. The McKinney-Vento Act, requires CoC's to participate in the Consolidated Plan(s) (Con Plan(s)) for the geographic area served by the CoC. The CoC Program Interim rule at 24 CFR 578.7 (c) (4) requires the CoC to provide information required to complete the Con Plan(s) within the CoC’s geographic area, and 24 CFR 91.100(a)(2)(i) and 24 CFR 91.110 (b)(2) requires the State and local Con Plan jurisdiction(s) consult with the CoC. The following chart asks for the information about CoC and Con Plan jurisdiction coordination, as well as CoC and ESG recipient coordination.

CoCs can use the CoCs and Consolidated Plan Jurisdiction Crosswalk to assist in answering this question.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Con Plan jurisdictions with whom the CoC geography overlaps</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many Con Plan jurisdictions did the CoC participate with in their Con Plan development process?</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many Con Plan jurisdictions did the CoC provide with Con Plan jurisdiction level PIT data?</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many of the Con Plan jurisdictions are also ESG recipients?</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many ESG recipients did the CoC participate with to make ESG funding decisions?</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many ESG recipients did the CoC consult with in the development of ESG performance standards and evaluation process for ESG funded activities?</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1C-2a. Based on the responses provided in 1C-2, describe in greater detail how the CoC participates with the Consolidated Plan jurisdiction(s) located in the CoC’s geographic area and include the frequency and type of interactions between the CoC and the Consolidated Plan jurisdiction(s).

MaineHousing is the only ESG recipient of the 6 Con Plan jurisdictions in MCOC, & submitted its 5 year Con Plan to HUD in 2015. There was significant collaboration between MCOC & MaineHousing in crafting responses for the Con Plan & ensuring that MCOC strategic plan goals were included. Annually MaineHousing compiles a PIT report broken out by CoC & distributes it to stakeholders across Maine. MCOC has reached out to all Con Plan jurisdictions to make them aware of the availability of annual PIT & HIC data to assist them in their applications, however, none of the other jurisdictions receives ESG funding. MCOC will continue to ensure that all Con Plan jurisdictions have access to this report & will provide any additional information or TA they need. MCOC has also reached out to key staff in the other jurisdictions to encourage them to participate in MCOC meetings.

1C-2b. Based on the response in 1C-2, describe how the CoC is working with ESG recipients to determine local ESG funding decisions and how the CoC assists in the development of performance standards and evaluation of outcomes for ESG-funded activities.

Since 2014 MCOC & PCoC have jointly implemented HUD Planning Grants specifically to develop new monitoring procedures & documentation, including evaluation of ESG. In 2015 MCOC monitored MaineHousing, the only ESG recipient in MCOC, & adopted MaineHousing standards for performance measures for ESG subrecipients including utilization, lengths of stay, returns to homelessness & exits to PH. In 2015, MaineHousing changed the Homeless Shelter Rule to the Homeless Solutions Rule & changed the funding formula for shelters. MCOC provided feedback on the rule changes including the funding formula & members were encouraged to submit questions & attend the public hearing. This year, in addition to monitoring MaineHousing, the MCOC Project Committee began monitoring of ESG subrecipients & has begun to review reports including HMIS data, PIT level data, etc. Starting in 2017 MCOC will establish performance measures & targets annually in consultation with ESG subrecipients.

1C-3. Describe how the CoC coordinates with victim service providers and non-victim service providers (CoC Program funded and non-CoC funded) to ensure that survivors of domestic violence are provided housing and services that provide and maintain safety and security. Responses must address how the service providers ensure and maintain the safety and security of participants and how client choice is upheld.

MCOC encourages attendance at its monthly meetings by victim & non-victim service providers to coordinate planning & provide updates re: available
housing & services, both MCOC-funded & through other sources. DV survivors
can choose to stay in DV or non-DV shelters & all shelters have 24-hour staffing
& prohibit the use of drugs/alcohol, weapons, etc. for safety purposes. Family
Crisis Services (FCS) operates a statewide 24-hour toll-free helpline to connect
DV victims to shelter/services statewide. Trained staff/volunteers process
options; provide safety planning & emotional support/specialized advocacy
including referrals & information; & link victims based on their needs to
emergency shelter/TH /PH/PSH & housing subsidies, court advocacy & support
groups, etc. FCS also provides consultation & training to non-DV shelters.

1C-4. List each of the Public Housing Agencies (PHAs) within the CoC's
geographic area. If there are more than 5 PHAs within the CoC's
geographic area, list the 5 largest PHAs. For each PHA, provide the
percentage of new admissions that were homeless at the time of
admission between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016 and indicate whether
the PHA has a homeless admissions preference in its Public Housing
and/or Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Housing Agency Name</th>
<th>% New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program from 7/1/15 to 6/30/16 who were homeless at entry</th>
<th>PHA has General or Limited Homeless Preference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MaineHousing</td>
<td>59.00%</td>
<td>Yes-Both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewiston Housing Authority</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>Yes-HCV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangor Housing Authority</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auburn Housing Authority</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>Yes-HCV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Portland Housing Authority</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>Yes-HCV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you select "Yes--Public Housing," "Yes--HCV," or "Yes--Both" for "PHA
has general or limited homeless preference," you must attach
documentation of the preference from the PHA in order to receive credit.

1C-5. Other than CoC, ESG, Housing Choice Voucher Programs and
Public Housing, describe other subsidized or low-income housing
opportunities that exist within the CoC that target persons experiencing
homelessness.
(limit 1000 characters)

Other subsidized/low income housing opportunities include STEP (a Federal
HOME TBRA program) administered by MaineHousing; the Maine DHHS-
administered BRAP vouchers for persons with disabilities. Other units are
developed by affordable housing developers through the LIHTC program, Sect.
8 Mod Rehab, & MaineHousing’s annual supportive housing RFP for
specialized populations including homeless. For homeless youth there are 4
TLP’s (transitional living programs) & 35 apartments. There are 133 projects on
the MCOC HIC that are non-COC/ESG-funded & in 2014 (the last year data
was posted) USDA Rural Development provided over 5,500 affordable rental
units for low income families in Maine. Voters approved bond funding for
affordable housing for seniors. GA can be used for temporary emergency
housing, & housing can be developed under CDBG/HOME funding in the con
plan jurisdictions. TANF provides limited rental assistance. MCOC encourages housing developers to set aside units for homeless.

1C-6. Select the specific strategies implemented by the CoC to ensure that homelessness is not criminalized in the CoC’s geographic area. Select all that apply.

| Engaged/educated local policymakers: | X |
| Engaged/educated law enforcement: | X |
| Implemented communitywide plans: | |
| No strategies have been implemented | |
| Other: (limit 1000 characters) | |

Applicant: Maine Balance of State CoC

Project: ME-500 CoC Registration FY2016
1D. Continuum of Care (CoC) Discharge Planning

Instructions:
For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1D-1. Select the system(s) of care within the CoC's geographic area for which there is a discharge policy in place that is mandated by the State, the CoC, or another entity for the following institutions? Check all that apply.

- Foster Care: X
- Health Care: X
- Mental Health Care: 
- Correctional Facilities: X
- None: X

1D-2. Select the system(s) of care within the CoC's geographic area with which the CoC actively coordinates with to ensure institutionalized persons that have resided in each system of care for longer than 90 days are not discharged into homelessness. Check all that apply.

- Foster Care: X
- Health Care: X
- Mental Health Care: X
- Correctional Facilities: X
- None: X

1D-2a. If the applicant did not check all boxes in 1D-2, explain why there is no coordination with the institution(s) that were not selected and explain how the CoC plans to coordinate with the institution(s) to ensure persons

Applicant: Maine Balance of State CoC
Project: ME-500 CoC Registratation FY2016

COC_REG_2016_135870
discharged are not discharged into homelessness. (limit 1000 characters)
N/A
1E. Centralized or Coordinated Assessment (Coordinated Entry)

Instructions:
For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

The CoC Program Interim Rule requires CoCs to establish a Centralized or Coordinated Assessment System which HUD refers to as the Coordinated Entry Process. Based on the recent Coordinated Entry Policy Brief, HUD’s primary goals for the coordinated entry process are that assistance be allocated as effectively as possible and that it be easily accessible no matter where or how people present for assistance.

1E-1. Explain how the CoC's coordinated entry process is designed to identify, engage, and assist homeless individuals and families that will ensure those who request or need assistance are connected to proper housing and services. (limit 1000 characters)
Maine’s Coordinated Entry System (CES) written standards establishes that persons experiencing homelessness will have access to assistance no matter where/how they present & assistance will be allocated as effectively as possible. The Maine CES is designed to be easy on the client, provide quick & seamless entry into the homelessness services, refer clients to the most appropriate resources, prevent duplication of services, & reduce the overall length of homelessness. An evaluation tool gathers client data & prioritizes them for housing and services based upon HUD and local priorities, including vulnerability & length of time homeless. The tool is web-based & will be available to 2-1-1, VA, existing street outreach programs, & private/ public agencies for referrals. Clients will be connected to a comprehensive network of service providers to help them find successful housing placement & appropriate services.

1E-2. CoC Program and ESG Program funded projects are required to participate in the coordinated entry process, but there are many other organizations and individuals who may participate but are not required to do so. From the following list, for each type of organization or individual, select all of the applicable checkboxes that indicate how that organization or individual participates in the CoC's coordinated entry process. If there are other organizations or persons who participate but are not on this list, enter the information in the blank text box, click "Save" at the bottom of
the screen, and then select the applicable checkboxes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization/Person Categories</th>
<th>Participate in Ongoing Planning and Evaluation</th>
<th>Makes Referrals to the Coordinate Entry Process</th>
<th>Receives Referrals from the Coordinate Entry Process</th>
<th>Operates Access Point for Coordinate Entry Process</th>
<th>Participate in Case Conferencing</th>
<th>Does not Participate</th>
<th>Does not Exist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Government Staff/Officials</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG/HOME/Entitlement Jurisdiction</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Jail(s)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital(s)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMT/Crisis Response Team(s)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health Service Organizations</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Abuse Service Organizations</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordable Housing Developer(s)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Housing Authorities</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-CoC Funded Victim Service Organizations</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Outreach Team(s)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS/HIV Service Organizations/ HOPWA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Government-especially Maine Dept of Health &amp; Human Services</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veteran Service Organizations</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1F. Continuum of Care (CoC) Project Review, Ranking, and Selection

Instructions
For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1F-1. For all renewal project applications submitted in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition complete the chart below regarding the CoC’s review of the Annual Performance Report(s).

How many renewal project applications were submitted in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition? 28

How many of the renewal project applications are first time renewals for which the first operating year has not expired yet? 1

How many renewal project application APRs were reviewed by the CoC as part of the local CoC competition project review, ranking, and selection process for the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition? 27

Percentage of APRs submitted by renewing projects within the CoC that were reviewed by the CoC in the 2016 CoC Competition? 100.00%

1F-2 - In the sections below, check the appropriate box(es) for each selection to indicate how project applications were reviewed and ranked for the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition. Written documentation of the CoC’s publicly announced Rating and Review procedure must be attached.

Performance outcomes from APR reports/HMIS:
- % permanent housing exit destinations [X]
- % increases in income [X]

Monitoring criteria:
- Utilization rates [X]
- Drawdown rates [X]
- Frequency or Amount of Funds Recaptured by HUD [X]

Need for specialized population services:

Applicant: Maine Balance of State CoC
Project: ME-500 CoC Registratation FY2016
COC_REG_2016_135870
1F-2a. Describe how the CoC considered the severity of needs and vulnerabilities of participants that are, or will be, served by the project applications when determining project application priority.
(limit 1000 characters)

MCOC’s scoring process & tools prioritizes homeless individuals & families with the most severe needs. MCOC revised ranking/scoring policies & procedures to prioritize the severity of needs & vulnerability of participants. PSH & RRH have the top priority followed by TH for DV & unaccompanied youth. Project applications received additional points if they served eligible sub-populations & utilized a Housing First model. In addition, the NEW RRH Application will rapidly re-house people that meet the definition of homelessness who are being served ESHAP by providing additional housing resources for participants served by ESHAP. MCoC included HUD’s notice CPD-14-012 for prioritizing CH in our Written Standards (attached).

1F-3. Describe how the CoC made the local competition review, ranking, and selection criteria publicly available, and identify the public medium(s) used and the date(s) of posting. Evidence of the public posting must be attached.
(limit 750 characters)

Attached to this application is a screenshot of the post showing the date, July 15, 2016, followed by the full text of post including a link to the Ranking & Selection criteria. This information was also reviewed in detail at the full MCOC meeting on July 18, 2016, information for which was also posted on www.mainehomelessplanning.org which is publicly available & currently has over 340 subscribers. MCOC meetings are open to the public & accessible at up to 7 Tandberg Teleconferencing locations throughout the state of Maine & via telephone conference call. Another post was made on July 26, 2016 with additional details regarding the competition from both the HUD & MCOC levels.
1F-4. On what date did the CoC and Collaborative Applicant publicly post all parts of the FY 2016 CoC Consolidated Application that included the final project application ranking? (Written documentation of the public posting, with the date of the posting clearly visible, must be attached. In addition, evidence of communicating decisions to the CoC’s full membership must be attached).

09/12/2016

1F-5. Did the CoC use the reallocation process in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition to reduce or reject projects for the creation of new projects? (If the CoC utilized the reallocation process, evidence of the public posting of the reallocation process must be attached).

No

1F-5a. If the CoC rejected project application(s), on what date did the CoC and Collaborative Applicant notify those project applicants that their project application was rejected? (If project applications were rejected, a copy of the written notification to each project applicant must be attached).

1F-6. In the Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) is the CoC’s FY 2016 CoC’s FY 2016 Priority Listing equal to or less than the ARD on the final HUD-approved FY2016 GIW?

No
1G. Continuum of Care (CoC) Addressing Project Capacity

Instructions
For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1G-1. Describe how the CoC monitors the performance of CoC Program recipients. (limit 1000 characters)
MCOC's Project Committees monitor projects annually through a project questionnaire, renewal project form, review of APRs (on time & complete), utilization, target population, HUD findings, drawdowns, Housing First, PSH prioritizing CH for turnover, HUD Priorities & HMIS participation & data quality reports. Projects' effectiveness is measured by financial information & match, performance on project goals, & program performance including increase in employment, income, mainstream resources, etc. This information is summarized on a monitoring threshold tool which is sent to the Scoring Committee for use in the scoring process. The MCOC is working to develop additional tools to measure projects' effectiveness including barriers participants face, recidivism, lengths of stay, time it takes to return to housing & HUD’s performance measures. These metrics will be included in future renewal project monitoring & project ranking.

1G-2. Did the Collaborative Applicant include accurately completed and appropriately signed form HUD-2991(s) for all project applications submitted on the CoC Priority Listing? Yes
2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

Instructions:
For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2A-1. Does the CoC have a Governance Charter that outlines the roles and responsibilities of the CoC and the HMIS Lead, either within the Charter itself or by reference to a separate document like an MOU/MOA? In all cases, the CoC’s Governance Charter must be attached to receive credit, In addition, if applicable, any separate document, like an MOU/MOA, must also be attached to receive credit.

Yes

2A-1a. Include the page number where the roles and responsibilities of the CoC and HMIS Lead can be found in the attached document referenced in 2A-1. In addition, in the textbox indicate if the page number applies to the CoC’s attached governance charter or attached MOU/MOA.

Joint Governance Charter MCOC & PCOC pgs 4-8

2A-2. Does the CoC have a HMIS Policies and Procedures Manual? If yes, in order to receive credit the HMIS Policies and Procedures Manual must be attached to the CoC Application.

Yes

2A-3. Are there agreements in place that outline roles and responsibilities between the HMIS Lead and the Contributing HMIS Organization (CHOs)?

Yes

2A-4. What is the name of the HMIS software

ServicePoint
used by the CoC (e.g., ABC Software)?

2A-5. What is the name of the HMIS software vendor (e.g., ABC Systems)? Bowman Systems, LLC
2B. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Funding Sources

Instructions
For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2B-1. Select the HMIS implementation coverage area: Statewide

* 2B-2. In the charts below, enter the amount of funding from each funding source that contributes to the total HMIS budget for the CoC.

2B-2.1 Funding Type: Federal - HUD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CoC</td>
<td>$344,888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESG</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOPWA</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal - HUD - Total Amount</td>
<td>$344,888</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2B-2.2 Funding Type: Other Federal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Department of Education</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Health and Human Services</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Labor</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Agriculture</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Veterans Affairs</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Federal</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Federal - Total Amount</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2B-2.3 Funding Type: State and Local

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY2016 CoC Application</td>
<td>Page 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>09/12/2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Applicant:** Maine Balance of State CoC  
**Project:** ME-500 CoC Registratation FY2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State and Local - Total Amount</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2B-2.4 Funding Type: Private**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private - Total Amount</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2B-2.5 Funding Type: Other**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participation Fees</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Total Amount</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2B-2.6 Total Budget for Operating Year**

$344,888
2C. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Bed Coverage

Instructions:
For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2C-1. Enter the date the CoC submitted the 2016 HIC data in HDX, (mm/dd/yyyy): 04/25/2016

2C-2. Per the 2016 Housing Inventory Count (HIC) Indicate the number of beds in the 2016 HIC and in HMIS for each project type within the CoC. If a particular project type does not exist in the CoC then enter "0" for all cells in that project type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Total Beds in 2016 HIC</th>
<th>Total Beds in HIC Dedicated for DV</th>
<th>Total Beds in HMIS</th>
<th>HMIS Bed Coverage Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Shelter (ESG) beds</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>76.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Haven (SH) beds</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional Housing (TH) beds</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>91.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds</td>
<td>2,222</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2,089</td>
<td>95.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>92.66%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2C-2a. If the bed coverage rate for any project type is below 85 percent, describe how the CoC plans to increase the bed coverage rate for each of these project types in the next 12 months. (limit 1000 characters)

(146 MaineHousing STEP Program beds appear as OPH on the 2016 HIC but operate as RRH & are listed as RRH above. Similarly, 101 MaineHousing VASH beds appear as PSH on the HIC but operate as OPH & are listed as OPH above. All of these beds are in HMIS. None are dedicated for DV but STEP & VASH are available to eligible clients at all DV shelters.)
There are 4 faith based Emergency Shelters with a total of 123 beds in the MCOC geography that do not enter data into HMIS. MCOC Members have & will continue to regularly reach out to these providers, invite them to participate in MCOC meetings & committees, share information on homelessness & best practices, & explain the importance & benefits of HMIS participation for all shelter providers, & the people they serve, in the hope that they will be willing to contribute data to MCOC. There were also 38 people housed using Emergency General Assistance Vouchers on the night of the 2016 PIT for whom we were not able to collect HMIS data.
2C-3. If any of the project types listed in question 2C-2 above have a coverage rate below 85 percent, and some or all of these rates can be attributed to beds covered by one of the following program types, please indicate that here by selecting all that apply from the list below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Type</th>
<th>Selection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VA Grant per diem (VA GPD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VASH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faith-Based projects/Rescue mission</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth focused projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voucher beds (non-permanent housing)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOPWA projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2C-4. How often does the CoC review or assess its HMIS bed coverage?  
Annually
2D. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Data Quality

Instructions:
For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2D-1. Indicate the percentage of unduplicated client records with null or missing values and the percentage of "Client Doesn't Know" or "Client Refused" within the last 10 days of January 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Universal Data Element</th>
<th>Percentage Null or Missing</th>
<th>Percentage Client Doesn't Know or Refused</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1 Name</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Social Security Number</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Date of birth</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4 Race</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5 Ethnicity</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6 Gender</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7 Veteran status</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8 Disabling condition</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9 Residence prior to project entry</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.10 Project Entry Date</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.11 Project Exit Date</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.12 Destination</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.15 Relationship to Head of Household</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.16 Client Location</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.17 Length of time on street, in an emergency shelter, or safe haven</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2D-2. Identify which of the following reports your HMIS generates. Select all that apply:

- CoC Annual Performance Report (APR): [X]
- ESG Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER): [X]
- Annual Homeless Assessment Report (AHAR) table shells: [X]

COC_REG_2016_135870
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2D-3. If you submitted the 2016 AHAR, how many AHAR tables (i.e., ES-ind, ES-family, etc) were accepted and used in the last AHAR?

12

2D-4. How frequently does the CoC review data quality in the HMIS?

Monthly

2D-5. Select from the dropdown to indicate if standardized HMIS data quality reports are generated to review data quality at the CoC level, project level, or both.

Both Project and CoC

2D-6. From the following list of federal partner programs, select the ones that are currently using the CoC’s HMIS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Selected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VA Supportive Services for Veteran Families (SSVF):</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA Grant and Per Diem (GPD):</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY):</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH):</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2D-6a. If any of the Federal partner programs listed in 2D-6 are not currently entering data in the CoC’s HMIS and intend to begin entering data in the next 12 months, indicate the Federal partner program and the anticipated start date. (limit 750 characters)

N/A
2E. Continuum of Care (CoC) Sheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

Instructions:
For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

The data collected during the PIT count is vital for both CoC's and HUD. HUD needs accurate data to understand the context and nature of homelessness throughout the country, and to provide Congress and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) with information regarding services provided, gaps in service, and performance. Accurate, high quality data is vital to inform Congress' funding decisions.

2E-1. Did the CoC approve the final sheltered PIT count methodology for the 2016 sheltered PIT count? Yes

2E-2. Indicate the date of the most recent sheltered PIT count: 01/27/2016

2E-2a. If the CoC conducted the sheltered PIT count outside of the last 10 days of January 2016, was an exception granted by HUD? Not Applicable

2E-3. Enter the date the CoC submitted the sheltered PIT count data in HDX: 04/25/2016
2F. Continuum of Care (CoC) Sheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Methods

Instructions:
For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2F-1. Indicate the method(s) used to count sheltered homeless persons during the 2016 PIT count:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete Census Count:</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random sample and extrapolation:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-random sample and extrapolation:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2F-2. Indicate the methods used to gather and calculate subpopulation data for sheltered homeless persons:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HMIS:</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMIS plus extrapolation:</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interview of sheltered persons:</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample of PIT interviews plus extrapolation:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2F-3. Provide a brief description of your CoC's sheltered PIT count methodology and describe why your CoC selected its sheltered PIT count methodology. (limit 1000 characters)

The methodology that was chosen for the 2016 MCOC PIT was a ‘Census Count’. This method has been used successfully in MCOC for many years. It was chosen because it provides an enumeration of all sheltered homeless persons.
people in the MCoC coverage area. For projects that regularly enter data into HMIS, the PIT data collection is completed as part of their nightly census and the necessary information is easily extracted from HMIS. For projects that do not participate in HMIS, such as DV and some Faith Based shelters, a provider level survey was conducted to collect all relevant data and was combined with HMIS reports to complete the PIT. MCOC also used the extrapolation spreadsheet provided by HUD, which allowed us to extrapolate demographics where response categories were either "Client doesn't know", "Client refused" and "Data not collected".

2F-4. Describe any change in methodology from your sheltered PIT count in 2015 to 2016, including any change in sampling or extrapolation method, if applicable. Do not include information on changes to the implementation of your sheltered PIT count methodology (e.g., enhanced training or change in partners participating in the PIT count). (limit 1000 characters)

N/A

2F-5. Did your CoC change its provider coverage in the 2016 sheltered count?

No

2F-5a. If "Yes" in 2F-5, then describe the change in provider coverage in the 2016 sheltered count. (limit 750 characters)

N/A
2G. Continuum of Care (CoC) Sheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Data Quality

Instructions:
For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2G-1. Indicate the methods used to ensure the quality of the data collected during the sheltered PIT count:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMIS</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-HMIS de-duplication techniques</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2G-2. Describe any change to the way your CoC implemented its sheltered PIT count from 2015 to 2016 that would change data quality, including changes to training volunteers and inclusion of any partner agencies in the sheltered PIT count planning and implementation, if applicable. Do not include information on changes to actual sheltered PIT count methodology (e.g. change in sampling or extrapolation methods). (limit 1000 characters)

No change from 2015.
2H. Continuum of Care (CoC) Unsheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

Instructions:
For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

HUD requires CoCs to conduct an unsheltered PIT count every 2 years (biennially) during the last 10 days in January; however, HUD also strongly encourages CoCs to conduct the unsheltered PIT count annually at the same time that they conduct annual sheltered PIT counts. HUD required CoCs to conduct the last biennial PIT count during the last 10 days in January 2015.

2H-1. Did the CoC approve the final unsheltered PIT count methodology for the most recent unsheltered PIT count?
Yes

2H-2. Indicate the date of the most recent unsheltered PIT count (mm/dd/yyy):
01/27/2016

2H-2a. If the CoC conducted the unsheltered PIT count outside of the last 10 days of January 2016, or most recent count, was an exception granted by HUD?
Not Applicable

2H-3. Enter the date the CoC submitted the unsheltered PIT count data in HDX (mm/dd/yyy):
04/25/2016
2I. Continuum of Care (CoC) Unsheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Methods

Instructions:
For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2I-1. Indicate the methods used to count unsheltered homeless persons during the 2016 or most recent PIT count:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Night of the count - complete census:</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night of the count - known locations:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night of the count - random sample:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service-based count:</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMIS:</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2I-2. Provide a brief description of your CoC's unsheltered PIT count methodology and describe why your CoC selected this unsheltered PIT count methodology.

MCOC used a combination of the "Night of Count - Complete Census" and the "Service-based Count" methodologies for the Unsheltered portion of the 2016 PIT. MCOC used the "Night of Count Complete Census" methodology, sending teams of enumerators throughout the geography searching for and interviewing, when possible, all unsheltered homeless persons encountered. The Service Based Count methodology allowed for information to be collected at shelters and other service provider locations for up to two additional days after the night of the PIT, so long as the information collected was specifically in regard to the situations where the persons were staying on the night of the actual PIT count and those situations met the definition of unsheltered. All data collected was compared to data in HMIS to allow for de-duplication. This allowed MCOC to identify several more unsheltered homeless.
2I-3. Describe any change in methodology from your unsheltered PIT count in 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015) to 2016, including any change in sampling or extrapolation method, if applicable. Do not include information on changes to implementation of your sheltered PIT count methodology (e.g., enhanced training or change in partners participating in the count). (limit 1000 characters)

Historically, MCOC had used only the "Night of Count Complete Census" methodology, but members believed that this didn’t capture the full scope of the unsheltered homeless population within the geography. Use of the Service-Based Count methodology allowed for homeless persons to be interviewed at shelters & other service provider locations (such as food kitchens) for up to two additional days after the night of the PIT. The interviews captured data about where they were staying the night of the PIT. MaineHousing then de-duplicated the data. This was successful in identifying more unsheltered homeless.

2I-4. Has the CoC taken extra measures to identify unaccompanied homeless youth in the PIT count? Yes

2I-4a. If the response in 2I-4 was "no" describe any extra measures that are being taken to identify youth and what the CoC is doing for homeless youth. (limit 1000 characters)

N/A
2J. Continuum of Care (CoC) Unsheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Data Quality

Instructions:
For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2J-1. Indicate the steps taken by the CoC to ensure the quality of the data collected for the 2016 unsheltered PIT count:

| Training:                                      | X |
| “Blitz” count:                                  |   |
| Unique identifier:                             | X |
| Survey questions:                              | X |
| Enumerator observation:                        |   |
| None:                                         |   |

2J-2. Describe any change to the way the CoC implemented the unsheltered PIT count from 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015) to 2016 that would affect data quality. This includes changes to training volunteers and inclusion of any partner agencies in the unsheltered PIT count planning and implementation, if applicable. Do not include information on changes in actual methodology (e.g. change in sampling or extrapolation method).

While there were no significant changes to the training or implementation regarding the survey questionnaire used or the unsheltered outreach efforts on the actual night of the PIT, new trainings were provided for the agencies & volunteers participating in the Service-Based Count in order to ensure data quality & accuracy. Trainings were provided both live & via webinar on the correct way to complete the survey questionnaire, with very specific instructions, including definitions, time frames, & examples.
3A. Continuum of Care (CoC) System Performance

Instructions
For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.


* 3A-1a. Change in PIT Counts of Sheltered and Unsheltered Homeless Persons
Using the table below, indicate the number of persons who were homeless at a Point-in-Time (PIT) based on the 2015 and 2016 PIT counts as recorded in the Homelessness Data Exchange (HDX).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PIT (for unsheltered count, most recent year conducted)</th>
<th>2016 PIT</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered persons</td>
<td>1,632</td>
<td>1,482</td>
<td>-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Shelter Total</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Haven Total</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional Housing Total</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>-173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Sheltered Count</td>
<td>1,573</td>
<td>1,415</td>
<td>-158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Unsheltered Count</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3A-1b. Number of Sheltered Persons Homeless - HMIS.
Using HMIS data, enter the number of homeless persons who were served in a sheltered environment between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015 for each category provided.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Universe: Unduplicated Total sheltered homeless persons</td>
<td>4,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Shelter Total</td>
<td>3,354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Haven Total</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional Housing Total</td>
<td>1,473</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Describe the CoC’s efforts to reduce the number of individuals and families who become homeless for the first time. Specifically, describe what the CoC is doing to identify risk factors of becoming homeless.
Shelters track reasons for requests for assistance to identify risk factors, and these data are used to help plan and direct prevention/diversion resources/services. Shelters conduct assessments to identify housing options and resources to prevent shelter entry including help remaining housed. DV shelters help women get Protection from Abuse orders so they can remain at home. General Assistance (GA) programs statewide provide temporary rental assistance to prevent homelessness. Policies for systems of care discourage discharging people into homelessness. Maine’s 3 SSVF programs & PATH have strong outreach including prevention/diversion resources & provide rental & utility assistance. Providers refer to other housing options, work with landlords & provide tenant education to prevent eviction. Providers help homeless youth identify housing barriers & offer case management to assist in finding & retaining safe & stable housing. Pine Tree Legal goes to Eviction Court to help prevent evictions.


Describe the CoC’s efforts to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless. Specifically, describe how your CoC has reduced the average length of time homeless, including how the CoC identifies and houses individuals and families with the longest lengths of time homeless.

MCOC uses VI-SPDAT & the Coordinated Entry to help identify those most in need. SSVF & PATH providers use detailed data tracking & analysis to target extra resources to help the most vulnerable obtain/retain housing. The Long Term Stayer (LTS) Initiative focuses housing subsidies (S+C, Section 8, & BRAP) & services on homeless people with the longest length of stay (LOS) in shelters & long-term unsheltered. This has succeeded in reducing LTS in MCOC from 96 in 2013 to 32 in 2016 (67% reduction). MaineHousing’s ESHAP Program provides RRH services statewide through MCOC members. DHHS also administers the state-funded BRAP (TBRA-TH) which helps CH/LTS obtain & retain housing. The MCoC Project Committee monitors shelter utilization & length of stay (LOS) reports. Two-thirds (62%) of Maine shelters received incentive funding in 2015 for reducing LOS by 10%. MCOC works with the HMIS lead to generate monthly LTS Reports to help S+C providers target these resources to CH/LTS.

* 3A-4. Performance Measure: Successful Permanent Housing Placement or Retention.

In the next two questions, CoCs must indicate the success of its projects in placing persons from its projects into permanent housing.
Fill in the chart to indicate the extent to which projects exit program participants into permanent housing (subsidized or non-subsidized) or the retention of program participants in CoC Program-funded permanent supportive housing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Universe: Persons in SSO, TH and PH-RRH who exited</th>
<th>Between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Of the persons in the Universe above, how many of those exited to permanent destinations?</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Successful Exits</td>
<td>39.02%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3A-4b. Exit To or Retention Of Permanent Housing:
In the chart below, CoCs must indicate the number of persons who exited from any CoC funded permanent housing project, except rapid re-housing projects, to permanent housing destinations or retained their permanent housing between October 1, 2014 and September 31, 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Universe: Persons in all PH projects except PH-RRH</th>
<th>Between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Of the persons in the Universe above, indicate how many of those remained in applicable PH projects and how many of those exited to permanent destinations?</td>
<td>2,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Successful Retentions/Exits</td>
<td>93.45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3A-5. Performance Measure: Returns to Homelessness: Describe the CoCs efforts to reduce the rate of individuals and families who return to homelessness. Specifically, describe strategies your CoC has implemented to identify and minimize returns to homelessness, and demonstrate the use of HMIS or a comparable database to monitor and record returns to homelessness.

(limit 1000 characters)

MCOC shelters use HMIS to identify & track individuals/families exiting PH-RRH, TH, and PSH as they re-enter homelessness. Shelters work to rapidly rehouse individuals/families who return to homelessness & reduce recidivism by using 1) Short-term financial assistance to cover security deposits, utility deposits, etc. which prevent them from securing housing; 2) ESHAP Navigators to provide direct assistance to individuals/families for up to 1 year upon exit from shelters to help them stay housed; 3) Community Mental Health providers collaborate with the LTS Initiative to provide on-going follow-up & support to help maintain tenancies. 4) SSVF & PATH providers use detailed data tracking & analysis to target extra resources to long-term homeless obtain/retain housing. In 2015, 90% of Maine shelters received incentive funding from MaineHousing for reducing returns to homelessness to 25% or less.

Performance Measure: Job and Income Growth. Describe the CoC's specific strategies to assist CoC Program-funded projects to increase
program participants’ cash income from employment and non-employment non-cash sources.
(limit 1000 characters)

The MCOC Resource Committee alerts shelters/providers to work opportunities, job fairs, etc. Providers refer homeless persons to Vocational Rehabilitation (VR), Dept. of Labor (DOL) for employment skill assessments & placement assistance. On-site job training is done by employment specialists who connect people to Maine CareerCenter, Goodwill Industries, &/or 5 Vocational Clubhouses statewide, all of which provide training & links to job readiness activities, & help with job retention. Clubhouses provide transportation to jobs, including door-to-door in bad weather. Providers funded by MaineHousing’s ESHAP (RRH program) help consumers achieve their employment goals. Veterans Inc. & Easter Seals have HVRP grants from USDOL to help literally homeless obtain/retain employment. Maine DHHS-funded PATH providers help consumers access & retain Mainstream benefits such as General Assistance, MaineCare, TANF, SNAPs, SSI/SSDI. All PATH providers are SOAR trained.

3A-6a. Describe how the CoC is working with mainstream employment organizations to aid homeless individuals and families in increasing their income.
(limit 1000 characters)

MCOC providers assess homeless persons’ employment goals & possible disability & refer them to the Maine CareerCenters at 12 locations statewide & VR (9 locations statewide). CareerCenters provide access to computerized job listings, assessments & job training & have frequent job fairs. VR outstations staff at provider offices to conduct assessments & make referrals to mainstream job training & placements. Adult education & community colleges throughout MCOC provide job training & certificate programs. Goodwill Industries has a robust training program that coordinates with adult & youth homeless programs to increase mainstream employment. The 5 Vocational Clubhouses statewide offer educational & employment opportunities to help people with mental illness work toward employment & independent living. Veterans Inc. & Easter Seals use HVRP funding for mainstream job development & retention efforts. ME Med Employment Specialists work directly with 7 provider locations throughout the state.

3A-7. What was the criteria and decision-making process the CoC used to identify and exclude specific geographic areas from the CoC’s unsheltered PIT count?
(limit 1000 characters)

N/A

3A-7a. Did the CoC completely exclude geographic areas from the the most recent PIT count (i.e., no one counted there and, for communities using samples the area was excluded from both the sample and extrapolation) where the CoC determined that there were no unsheltered homeless people, No
including areas that are uninhabitable (e.g. disasters)?

3A-7b. Did the CoC completely exclude geographic areas from the the most recent PIT count (i.e., no one counted there and, for communities using samples the area was excluded from both the sample and extrapolation) where the CoC determined that there were no unsheltered homeless people, including areas that are uninhabitable (e.g. deserts, wilderness, etc.)?
(limit 1000 characters)
No.

3A-8. Enter the date the CoC submitted the system performance measure data into HDX.
The System Performance Report generated by HDX must be attached.
(mm/dd/yyyy)

3A-8a. If the CoC was unable to submit their System Performance Measures data to HUD via the HDX by the deadline, explain why and describe what specific steps they are taking to ensure they meet the next HDX submission deadline for System Performance Measures data.
(limit 1500 characters)
N/A
3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Performance and Strategic Planning Objectives

Objective 1: Ending Chronic Homelessness

Instructions:
For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

To end chronic homelessness by 2017, HUD encourages three areas of focus through the implementation of Notice CPD 14-012: Prioritizing Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness in Permanent Supportive Housing and Recordkeeping Requirements for Documenting Chronic Homeless Status.

1. Targeting persons with the highest needs and longest histories of homelessness for existing and new permanent supportive housing;
   2. Prioritizing chronically homeless individuals, youth and families who have the longest histories of homelessness; and
   3. The highest needs for new and turnover units.

3B-1.1. Compare the total number of chronically homeless persons, which includes persons in families, in the CoC as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT count compared to 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 (for unsheltered count, most recent year conducted)</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered chronically homeless persons</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheltered Count of chronically homeless persons</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsheltered Count of chronically homeless persons</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3B-1.1a. Using the "Differences" calculated in question 3B-1.1 above, explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the overall TOTAL number of chronically homeless persons in the CoC, as well as the change in the unsheltered count, as reported in the PIT count in 2016 compared to 2015. (limit 1000 characters)
MCOC’s number of sheltered CH was reduced by 25% in 2016. MCOC has adopted a multi-day, service-based count approach to conducting the PIT which is more successful in identifying unsheltered CH, which could account for the increase of 6 in 2016. In addition, changes to the PATH program have extended outreach workers’ reach into rural areas & improved engagement of those sleeping out. Maine is seeing an epidemic of opiate use. IV opiate users refuse shelters when they’re actively using, & some homeless are afraid to go to shelters because they fear the presence of violent & unpredictable addicts, which may also contribute to the increase in unsheltered homeless.

3B-1.2. Compare the total number of PSH beds (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded) that were identified as dedicated for use by chronically homeless persons on the 2016 Housing Inventory Count, as compared to those identified on the 2015 Housing Inventory Count.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded PSH beds dedicated for use by chronically homelessness persons identified on the HIC.</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3B-1.2a. Explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the total number of PSH beds (CoC program funded or non-CoC Program funded) that were identified as dedicated for use by chronically homeless persons on the 2016 Housing Inventory Count compared to those identified on the 2015 Housing Inventory Count. (limit 1000 characters)

In 2014 MCOC reported 170 CH beds on the HIC. There were data entry errors on the 2015 MCOC HIC that were not detected until the data were reviewed for the 2015 MCOC application. The correct number for 2015 was 233, as noted in the 2015 application. The 2016 number of 234 is correct, showing a net increase of 1 CH bed from 2015. There were 31 new S+C CH beds added in 2015 & 35 new S+C CH beds in 2016. However, other programs have changed from dedicating to prioritizing beds for CH, so these beds are no longer listed as dedicated CH on the HIC. Dedicating beds for use only by CH clients risked holding beds vacant when no CH could be found, which can occur in MCOC’s rural areas. All eligible beds within MCOC now follow the approved Orders of Priority described in Notice CPD-14-012, & are either dedicated to or prioritize serving CH persons. MCOC has adopted extra review policies to ensure we continue entering accurate data into the HIC in the future.

3B-1.3. Did the CoC adopt the Orders of Priority into their standards for all CoC Program funded PSH as described in Notice CPD-14-012: Prioritizing Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness in Permanent Supportive Housing and Recordkeeping Requirements for Documenting Chronic Homeless Status?

Yes
3B-1.3a. If “Yes” was selected for question 3B-1.3, attach a copy of the CoC’s written standards or other evidence that clearly shows the incorporation of the Orders of Priority in Notice CPD 14-012 and indicate the page(s) for all documents where the Orders of Priority are found.

3B-1.4. Is the CoC on track to meet the goal of ending chronic homelessness by 2017?

Yes

This question will not be scored.

3B-1.4a. If the response to question 3B-1.4 was “Yes” what are the strategies that have been implemented by the CoC to maximize current resources to meet this goal? If “No” was selected, what resources or technical assistance will be implemented by the CoC to reach to goal of ending chronically homelessness by 2017?

(limit 1000 characters)

MCOC has adopted the HUD Notice CPD 14-012 order of priority for CH in PSH. Monthly HMIS Reports are sent to the S+C & SSVF providers listing client IDs & the name of the shelter where they’re staying; staff then use this list to prioritize outreach & engagement to help them obtain/retain housing & needed services/supports. The statewide PATH program does outreach & engagement to those who are literally homeless, including CH with severe service needs to help them obtain/retain housing. CH will be prioritized in Maine’s new Coordinated Entry System when it is fully operational in the next year. MCOC providers & shelters are engaged in the LTS Initiative launched in 2013 to target & house LTS. Many, though not all LTS are CH; housing LTS helps to prevent them from becoming new CH. MCOC’s new COC project application in 2016 is a RRH TBRA project that prioritizes first CH & then LTS. MaineHousing’s Supportive Housing Program (SHP) (rental production) helps create new PSH within the MCOC.
3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Strategic Planning Objectives

3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Strategic Planning Objectives

Instructions:
For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

HUD will evaluate CoC’s based on the extent to which they are making progress to achieve the goal of ending homelessness among households with children by 2020.

3B-2.1. What factors will the CoC use to prioritize households with children during the FY2016 Operating year? (Check all that apply).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vulnerability to victimization:</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of previous homeless episodes:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsheltered homelessness:</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal History:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad credit or rental history (including not having been a leaseholder):</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head of household has mental/physical disabilities:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3B-2.2. Describe the CoC’s strategies including concrete steps to rapidly rehouse every household with children within 30 days of those families becoming homeless. (limit 1000 characters)

Applicant: Maine Balance of State CoC

Project: ME-500 CoC Registratation FY2016

COC_REG_2016_135870
Changes to Maine’s Homeless Rule allocates 40% of all shelter funds (ESG, State HOME, General Fund) to RRH activities. MaineHousing’s ESHAP, a RRH program, allocates 100 HCV vouchers & roughly 100 STEP (TBRA) coupons to help rapidly re-house homeless families. ESHAP Navigators conduct an initial assessment, which includes the VI-SPDAT, to prioritize people based on their needs; those eligible for ESHAP are enrolled & given HCV/STEP vouchers and help in finding/retaining housing. In 2015, MaineHousing gave shelters incentive funding to reduce their LOS by 10%; 62% of all shelters qualified for this. Also, 92% earned incentive funding for increasing exits to PH by >30%. SSVF providers help veterans households get rapidly rehoused by providing housing vouchers & help in finding/retaining housing.

3B-2.3. Compare the number of RRH units available to serve families from the 2015 and 2016 HIC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RRH units available to serve families in the HIC:</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3B-2.4. How does the CoC ensure that emergency shelters, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) providers within the CoC do not deny admission to or separate any family members from other members of their family based on age, sex, gender or disability when entering shelter or housing? (check all strategies that apply)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CoC policies and procedures prohibit involuntary family separation:</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There is a method for clients to alert CoC when involuntarily separated:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoC holds trainings on preventing involuntary family separation, at least once a year:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPC, BRAP, and Shelter Procedures prohibit involuntary separation</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The new Maine Homeless Rule that governs shelter funding prohibits involuntary separation</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3B-2.5. Compare the total number of homeless households with children in the CoC as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT count compared to 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PIT Count of Homelessness Among Households With Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015 (for unsheltered count, most recent year conducted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2016 CoC Application</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered homeless households with children:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sheltered Count</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsheltered Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4B-2.5a. Explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the total number of homeless households with children in the CoC as reported in the 2016 PIT count compared to the 2015 PIT count.

(No limit 1000 characters)

In 2016 MCOC successfully reduced the number of families in shelters by 22% compared to 2015. The two more unsheltered families are likely a result of better counting on the night of the PIT, due to use of the Service-Based Count & increased outreach from PATH & SSVF providers. The Service-Based Count, in particular, focused on sites such as food pantries that are more likely to attract families.

3B-2.6. From the list below select the strategies to the CoC uses to address the unique needs of unaccompanied homeless youth including youth under age 18, and youth ages 18-24, including the following.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human trafficking and other forms of exploitation?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGBTQ youth homelessness?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exits from foster care into homelessness?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family reunification and community engagement?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Youth Development, Trauma Informed Care, and the use of Risk and Protective Factors in assessing youth housing and service needs?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaccompanied minors/youth below the age of 18?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3B-2.6a. Select all strategies that the CoC uses to address homeless youth trafficking and other forms of exploitation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diversion from institutions and decriminalization of youth actions that stem from being trafficked:</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase housing and service options for youth fleeing or attempting to flee trafficking:</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific sampling methodology for enumerating and characterizing local youth trafficking:</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross systems strategies to quickly identify and prevent occurrences of youth trafficking:</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community awareness training concerning youth trafficking:</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3B-2.7. What factors will the CoC use to prioritize unaccompanied youth including youth under age 18, and youth ages 18-24 for housing and services during the FY 2016 operating year? (Check all that apply)

- Vulnerability to victimization: X
- Length of time homeless: X
- Unsheltered homelessness: X
- Lack of access to family and community support networks: X
- Shelters prioritize youth based on the youth VISPDAT assessment results: X

3B-2.8. Using HMIS, compare all unaccompanied youth including youth under age 18, and youth ages 18-24 served in any HMIS contributing program who were in an unsheltered situation prior to entry in FY 2014 (October 1, 2013-September 30, 2014) and FY 2015 (October 1, 2014 - September 30, 2015).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of unaccompanied youth served in HMIS contributing programs who were in an unsheltered situation prior to entry:</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3B-2.8a. If the number of unaccompanied youth and children, and youth-headed households with children served in any HMIS contributing program who were in an unsheltered situation prior to entry in FY 2015 is lower than FY 2014 explain why. (limit 1000 characters)

The number of unaccompanied youth under 18 who were in unsheltered situations prior to program entry is very small - only 3 in 2014 & 5 in 2015. Thus, most of unsheltered youth were ages 18-24, & many were part of youth-headed households. MCOC has few programs dedicated to serving only youth or youth-
headed households, & these clients may be reluctant to enter programs that typically serve older populations. However, two programs, Penquis Journey House & The Good Shepard Godparent Home, both of which serve young parents & their children, have dramatically increased their utilization in the last year. While both were listed on the 2015 chart, they were only just opening & only one bed was reported occupied on the night of the 2015 PIT. In 2016, they reported 18 beds occupied on the PIT.

3B-2.9. Compare funding for youth homelessness in the CoC’s geographic area in CY 2016 and CY 2017.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall funding for youth homelessness dedicated projects (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded):</th>
<th>Calendar Year 2016</th>
<th>Calendar Year 2017</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1,119,791.00</td>
<td>$1,130,985.00</td>
<td>$11,194.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| CoC Program funding for youth homelessness dedicated projects: | $164,339.00 | $164,339.00 | $0.00 |

| Non-CoC funding for youth homelessness dedicated projects (e.g. RHY or other Federal, State and Local funding): | $955,452.00 | $966,646.00 | $11,194.00 |

3B-2.10. To what extent have youth services and educational representatives, and CoC representatives participated in each other’s meetings between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cross-Participation in Meetings</th>
<th># Times</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CoC meetings or planning events attended by LEA or SEA representatives:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEA or SEA meetings or planning events (e.g. those about child welfare, juvenille justice or out of school time) attended by CoC representatives:</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoC meetings or planning events attended by youth housing and service providers (e.g. RHY providers):</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3B-2.10a. Based on the responses in 3B-2.10, describe in detail how the CoC collaborates with the McKinney-Vento local educational authorities and school districts. (limit 1000 characters)

All MCOC-funded programs that serve children are provided with informational material on their clients’ educational rights under McKinney-Vento. Programs are required by MCOC policy & contractually required by MaineHousing to have staff specifically responsible for coordination with the LEA liaisons. Programs must use a standardized form to document compliance. MCOC’s monitoring & evaluation process evaluates providers’ compliance with ensuring children’s access to education. Youth service providers from across the state working with homeless youth meet regularly to discuss practice and policy issues related to homeless youth. This includes sharing information on collaboration with McKinney-Vento homeless liaisons. MCOC members and ESG sub-recipients work at the local level with their local LEAs on logistical issues for homeless students such as enrollment, transportation, English language issues, individualized support plans, immunizations, records, testing, etc.
3B-2.11. How does the CoC make sure that homeless individuals and families who become homeless are informed of their eligibility for and receive access to educational services? Include the policies and procedures that homeless service providers (CoC and ESG Programs) are required to follow.

MCOC policy requires that COC- and ESG-funded homeless service providers use forms & informational flyers to inform families with children, & unaccompanied youth, of their rights under the McKinney-Vento Educational Assurances Act. These forms have been sent out to all shelters & were posted on the Maine Homeless Planning website on 11-15-15. Providers must collaborate with local education agencies to help identify homeless families & inform them of their eligibility for McKinney-Vento educational services. Shelter staff & Case Managers facilitate contact with the applicable LEA Homeless Liaison (HL). HL are listed online at www.maine.gov/doe/homeless/database/index.html. MCOC members attend these meetings. HMIS data elements ask whether children have been connected to HLs. Performance on this is tracked during ESG & MCOC monitoring & on renewal applications.

3B-2.12. Does the CoC or any HUD-funded projects within the CoC have any written agreements with a program that services infants, toddlers, and youth children, such as Head Start; Child Care and Development Fund; Healthy Start; Maternal, Infant, Early Childhood Home Visiting programs; Public Pre-K; and others?

Mid-Maine Homeless Shelter (MMHS) has a written agreement with Child & Family Services to offer programming to children under 5 & their families at Educare Central Maine, which operates Head Start programs. In order to support children & families who are experiencing homelessness, but may not be able to immediately access Head Start services, MMHS families with children under 5 can access programming at Educare twice a week (transportation provided). Under a written agreement Educare also provides training to MMHS staff to ensure that children are not traumatized by entry into shelter care; & to help them understand, anticipate & respond to the special needs of homeless children & their guardians. KBH also has extensive & long term referral relationships with Head Start programs and schools but these are not in writing.
3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Performance and Strategic Planning Objectives

Objective 3: Ending Veterans Homelessness

Instructions:
For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

Opening Doors outlines the goal of ending Veteran homelessness by the end of 2016. The following questions focus on the various strategies that will aid communities in meeting this goal.

3B-3.1. Compare the total number of homeless Veterans in the CoC as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT count compared to 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 (for unsheltered count, most recent year conducted)</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Universe: Total PIT count of sheltered and unsheltered homeless veterans:</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheltered count of homeless veterans:</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsheltered count of homeless veterans:</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3B-3.1a. Explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the total number of homeless veterans in the CoC as reported in the 2016 PIT count compared to the 2015 PIT count. (limit 1000 characters)

Decreases in sheltered homeless veterans allowed SSVF providers to spend more time doing outreach to unsheltered veterans in more remote areas of Maine, which helped increase the count on the night of the PIT. In addition, the Maine Military and Community Network has helped identify homeless veterans, particularly those unsheltered. The National Guard in Washington & Hancock Counties has also been effective in identifying homeless veterans. This new, strong partnership between the MCOC & veterans organizations is a big part of why MCOC is now on track to eliminate veterans’ homelessness by the end of 2016.
3B-3.2. Describe how the CoC identifies, assesses, and refers homeless veterans who are eligible for Veteran’s Affairs services and housing to appropriate resources such as HUD-VASH and SSVF.

Veterans are identified at entry to all adult-serving shelters in the MCOC. Additionally, extensive outreach is conducted by SSVF & PATH providers in more rural communities. Maine’s COCs have a joint Maine Veterans Action Committee which employs a Coordinated Entry system & uses the VI-SPDAT to identify the most vulnerable & to target interventions. The Committee has regular, by-name consultations for every vulnerable vet. All veterans are screened for eligibility for veteran-related services including but not limited to: SSVF, HUD VASH, VA medical services, VA pension, VA service-connected disability, & assistance with obtaining DD-214. CH veterans are targeted for assistance through the HMIS CH/LTS Stayer Reports which provide unique personal identifying numbers & shelter location. SSVF providers then follow up with shelters directly in order to more effectively utilize appropriate resources such as HUD-VASH, GPD, SSVF or CoC resources.

3B-3.3. Compare the total number of homeless Veterans in the CoC and the total number of unsheltered homeless Veterans in the CoC, as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT Count compared to the 2010 PIT Count (or 2009 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2010 (or 2009 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2010)</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>% Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered homeless veterans:</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>121.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsheltered Count of homeless veterans:</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3B-3.4. Indicate from the dropdown whether you are on target to end Veteran homelessness by the end of 2016.

No

This question will not be scored.

3B-3.4a. If "Yes", what are the strategies being used to maximize your current resources to meet this goal? If "No" what resources or technical assistance would help you reach the goal of ending Veteran homelessness by the end of 2016?

MCOC is utilizing USICH’s benchmark measures to track progress. All SSVF & other veteran services providers participate in a weekly meeting (required by state governance), during which they work through a list of veterans by name to find solutions for helping them obtain/retain housing. The VISN/VAMC is now taking a leadership role in this effort, a huge improvement from the past. One
barrier to achieving this goal is the definition of BRAP as TH. For the most vulnerable, it can function as PSH (for example, there are veterans that have been stably housed with BRAP subsidies for many years). If USICH considers BRAP to be TH then we won’t reach the goal. Other barriers included the lack of housing options for veterans who have serious criminal histories such as sex offenses, or have unique issues that don’t fit into existing programs.
4A. Accessing Mainstream Benefits

Instructions:
For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

4A-1. Does the CoC systematically provide information to provider staff about mainstream benefits, including up-to-date resources on eligibility and program changes that can affect homeless clients?
Yes

4A-2. Based on the CoC's FY 2016 new and renewal project applications, what percentage of projects have demonstrated they are assisting project participants to obtain mainstream benefits? This includes all of the following within each project: transportation assistance, use of a single application, annual follow-ups with participants, and SOAR-trained staff technical assistance to obtain SSI/SSDI?

| Total number of project applications in the FY 2016 competition (new and renewal): | 29 |
| Total number of renewal and new project applications that demonstrate assistance to project participants to obtain mainstream benefits (i.e. in a Renewal Project Application, “Yes” is selected for Questions 2a, 2b and 2c on Screen 4A. In a New Project Application, “Yes” is selected for Questions 5a, 5b, 5c, 6, and 6a on Screen 4A). | 24 |
| Percentage of renewal and new project applications in the FY 2016 competition that have demonstrated assistance to project participants to obtain mainstream benefits: | 83% |

4A-3. List the organizations (public, private, non-profit and other) that you collaborate with to facilitate health insurance enrollment, (e.g., Medicaid, Medicare, Affordable Care Act options) for program participants. For each organization you partner with, detail the specific outcomes resulting from the partnership in the establishment of benefits. (limit 1000 characters)

So far in 2016 Maine DHHS has enrolled 344 clients in the PATH program. Of those, 319 (93%) were subsequently enrolled in MaineCare. PCHC Hope House shelter assesses health insurance needs at intake & referrals are made to the Marketplace, MaineCare, VA, local free & benevolent care programs, & Affordable Care Program (ACP). In 2015, 33% of Hope House guests arrived with MaineCare & 12.6% with Medicare. All guests without health insurance are scheduled appointments with an onsite enrollment specialist. 39% stayed less than 10 days and 16% stayed only one night.
Many of those staying only a few nights likely did not complete the process. Maine General Hospital signs people up in shelters in Kennebec County. 100% of clients at Mid-Maine Homeless Shelter are assessed in 30 days for healthcare insurance, evaluated for health (including MH) issues & assigned a PCP. Preble St. SSVF has 1 FTE who works statewide with the ACA to enroll Veterans.

4A-4. What are the primary ways the CoC ensures that program participants with health insurance are able to effectively utilize the healthcare benefits available to them?

| Educational materials:       | X |
| In-Person Trainings:         | X |
| Transportation to medical appointments: | X |
| Assessment and referral by service providers | X |
| Visiting Nurse Programs outreach to shelters | X |
| Not Applicable or None:      |   |
4B. Additional Policies

Instructions:
For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

4B-1. Based on the CoCs FY 2016 new and renewal project applications, what percentage of Permanent Housing (PSH and RRH), Transitional Housing (TH), and SSO (non-Coordinated Entry) projects in the CoC are low barrier?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY 2016 Low Barrier Designation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of PH (PSH and RRH), TH and non-Coordinated Entry SSO project applications in the FY 2016 competition (new and renewal):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of PH (PSH and RRH), TH and non-Coordinated Entry SSO renewal and new project applications that selected “low barrier” in the FY 2016 competition:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of PH (PSH and RRH), TH and non-Coordinated Entry SSO renewal and new project applications in the FY 2016 competition that will be designated as “low barrier”:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4B-2. What percentage of CoC Program-funded Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), Rapid Re-Housing (RRH), SSO (non-Coordinated Entry) and Transitional Housing (TH) FY 2016 Projects have adopted a Housing First approach, meaning that the project quickly houses clients without preconditions or service participation requirements?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY 2016 Projects Housing First Designation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of PSH, RRH, non-Coordinated Entry SSO, and TH project applications in the FY 2016 competition (new and renewal):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of PSH, RRH, non-Coordinated Entry SSO, and TH renewal and new project applications that selected Housing First in the FY 2016 competition:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of PSH, RRH, non-Coordinated Entry SSO, and TH renewal and new project applications in the FY 2016 competition that will be designated as Housing First:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4B-3. What has the CoC done to ensure awareness of and access to housing and supportive services within the CoC’s geographic area to persons that could benefit from CoC-funded programs but are not currently participating in a CoC funded program? In particular, how does the CoC reach out to for persons that are least likely to request housing or services in the absence of special outreach?

Direct outreach and marketing:

X
4B-4. Compare the number of RRH units available to serve populations from the 2015 and 2016 HIC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RRH units available to serve all populations in the HIC:</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>96</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4B-5. Are any new proposed project applications requesting $200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction? **No**

4B-6. If "Yes" in Questions 4B-5, then describe the activities that the project(s) will undertake to ensure that employment, training and other economic opportunities are directed to low or very low income persons to comply with section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u) (Section 3) and HUD's implementing rules at 24 CFR part 135? (limit 1000 characters)

N/A

(*There is no narrative box for 4B-4, but to clarify, MaineHousing's STEP program had 96 RRH beds in 2015. In 2016 the STEP program had 146 Beds, but they were categorized as OPH, even though this is a RRH program.)*

4B-7. Is the CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO or TH projects to serve families with children and youth defined as homeless under other Federal statutes? **No**
4B-7a. If "Yes", to question 4B-7, describe how the use of grant funds to serve such persons is of equal or greater priority than serving persons defined as homeless in accordance with 24 CFR 578.89. Description must include whether or not this is listed as a priority in the Consolidated Plan(s) and its CoC strategic plan goals. CoCs must attach the list of projects that would be serving this population (up to 10 percent of CoC total award) and the applicable portions of the Consolidated Plan. (limit 2500 characters)

N/A

4B-8. Has the project been affected by a major disaster, as declared by the President Obama under Title IV of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, as amended (Public Law 93-288) in the 12 months prior to the opening of the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition? No

4B-8a. If "Yes" in Question 4B-8, describe the impact of the natural disaster on specific projects in the CoC and how this affected the CoC's ability to address homelessness and provide the necessary reporting to HUD. (limit 1500 characters)

N/A

4B-9. Did the CoC or any of its CoC program recipients/subrecipients request technical assistance from HUD since the submission of the FY 2015 application? This response does not affect the scoring of this application. Yes

4B-9a. If "Yes" to Question 4B-9, check the box(es) for which technical assistance was requested.

This response does not affect the scoring of this application.

| CoC Governance: |  |
| CoC Systems Performance Measurement: | X |
| Coordinated Entry: |  |
| Data reporting and data analysis: |  |
**Applicant:** Maine Balance of State CoC  
**Project:** ME-500 CoC Registratation FY2016

| HMIS: |  
|---|---|
| Homeless subpopulations targeted by Opening Doors: veterans, chronic, children and families, and unaccompanied youth: |  
| Maximizing the use of mainstream resources: | X|
| Retooling transitional housing: |  
| Rapid re-housing: |  
| Under-performing program recipient, subrecipient or project: |  
| ESG Monitoring and Written Standards | X|
| Not applicable: |  

**4B-9b. Indicate the type(s) of Technical Assistance that was provided, using the categories listed in 4B-9a, provide the month and year the CoC Program recipient or sub-recipient received the assistance and the value of the Technical Assistance to the CoC/recipient/sub recipient given the local conditions at the time, with 5 being the highest value and a 1 indicating no value.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Technical Assistance Received</th>
<th>Date Received</th>
<th>Rate the Value of the Technical Assistance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TA Workplan Review</td>
<td>03/23/2016</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESG 101 Presentation</td>
<td>05/09/2016</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring 101 Presentation</td>
<td>05/26/2016</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Monitoring Tool Review</td>
<td>06/17/2016</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Monitoring Tool Review</td>
<td>08/22/2016</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# 4C. Attachments

**Instructions:**

Multiple files may be attached as a single .zip file. For instructions on how to use .zip files, a reference document is available on the e-snaps training site: https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/3118/creating-a-zip-file-and-capturing-a-screenshot-resource

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Type</th>
<th>Required?</th>
<th>Document Description</th>
<th>Date Attached</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01. 2016 CoC Consolidated Application: Evidence of the CoC’s communication to rejected participants</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Notice to Applic...</td>
<td>09/08/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02. 2016 CoC Consolidated Application: Public Posting Evidence</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>MCOC 2016 Posting...</td>
<td>09/12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03. CoC Rating and Review Procedure (e.g. RFP)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>MCOC Ranking Tool...</td>
<td>09/12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04. CoC’s Rating and Review Procedure: Public Posting Evidence</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>MCOC Public Posti...</td>
<td>09/12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05. CoCs Process for Reallocating</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>MCOC Reallocation...</td>
<td>09/12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06. CoC’s Governance Charter</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>MCOC Governance</td>
<td>09/08/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07. HMIS Policy and Procedures Manual</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Maine HMIS Polici...</td>
<td>09/12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08. Applicable Sections of Con Plan to Serving Persons Defined as Homeless Under Other Fed Statutes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09. PHA Administration Plan (Applicable Section(s) Only)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>MCOC PHA Information</td>
<td>09/08/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. CoC Written Standards for Order of Priority</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Written Standards</td>
<td>09/08/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Project List to Serve Persons Defined as Homeless under Other Federal Statutes (if applicable)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. HDX-system Performance Measures</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2016 MCOC HDX Sys...</td>
<td>09/08/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Other</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Maine HUD CoC Boa...</td>
<td>09/12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Other</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachment Details

Document Description: Notice to Applicants 083016

Attachment Details

Document Description: MCOC 2016 Posting of Application and Project Listing

Attachment Details

Document Description: MCOC Ranking Tool and Process

Attachment Details

Document Description: MCOC Public Posting of Ranking & Selection Information

Attachment Details

Document Description: MCOC Reallocation Procedure
Document Description: MCOC Governance

Attachment Details

Document Description: Maine HMIS Policies and Procedures Manual

Attachment Details

Document Description: MCOC PHA Information

Attachment Details

Document Description: MCOC PCOC Joint HMIS Governance

Attachment Details

Document Description: Written Standards

Attachment Details
Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: 2016 MCOC HDX Sys PM Report

Attachment Details

Document Description: Maine HUD CoC Board of Directors Bylaws

Attachment Details

Document Description:
Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Last Updated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1A. Identification</td>
<td>08/14/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B. CoC Engagement</td>
<td>09/07/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C. Coordination</td>
<td>09/11/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D. CoC Discharge Planning</td>
<td>08/14/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1E. Coordinated Assessment</td>
<td>09/07/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1F. Project Review</td>
<td>09/11/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1G. Addressing Project Capacity</td>
<td>09/08/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A. HMIS Implementation</td>
<td>08/14/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B. HMIS Funding Sources</td>
<td>09/08/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C. HMIS Beds</td>
<td>09/08/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D. HMIS Data Quality</td>
<td>09/04/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E. Sheltered PIT</td>
<td>09/07/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F. Sheltered Data - Methods</td>
<td>09/11/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G. Sheltered Data - Quality</td>
<td>09/04/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H. Unsheltered PIT</td>
<td>08/14/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2I. Unsheltered Data - Methods</td>
<td>09/11/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J. Unsheltered Data - Quality</td>
<td>09/08/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A. System Performance</td>
<td>09/12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B. Objective 1</td>
<td>09/08/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B. Objective 2</td>
<td>09/08/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B. Objective 3</td>
<td>09/06/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A. Benefits</td>
<td>09/08/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4B. Additional Policies</td>
<td>09/07/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4C. Attachments</td>
<td>09/12/2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submission Summary: No Input Required
Good day!
Congratulations! This is to inform you that the MCoC Steering committee met today and reviewed the summary of applications requesting funding. The full list of applications will be submitted for approval by the MCoC at the next meeting. There are no project applications that were rejected in this year’s competition and all projects will be included in the Project Priority Listing submission to HUD.

At a specially scheduled full MCoC meeting on Wednesday, September 7 at 10:00, the MCoC will vote on the Project Priority Listing. You are encouraged to attend and participate in this meeting which will run from 10:00-12:00 pm and be held at the various Tandberg Locations outlined in the meeting’s agenda which will posted on www.mainehomelessplanning.org prior to the meeting.

Thank you.
MAINE CONTINUUM OF CARE HUD APPLICATION AND PROJECT LISTING

SEPTEMBER 12, 2016  SCOTT TIBBITS

The following links will access the FINAL MCOC FY2016 HUD COC Application and the accompanying 2016 MCOC Project Priority Listing. Once HUD announces their Scoring and Awards Information, it will be posted here on the Maine Homeless Planning site. Thank you to everyone involved in this year’s process – your input, feedback, dedication, and attention to detail made this all possible.

final-2016-mcoc-me-500-hud-application

final-2016-mcoc-me-500-project-priority-listing

PREVIOUS POST
Materials for tomorrow’s Statewide Homeless Council Meeting

NEED HELP?
The Basics – How to use this site
HELP Form ask questions about using this Site
MaineHousing Continuum of Care Help Desk

COC WEBSITE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RANK</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Tier</th>
<th>Applicant Name</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Component Type</th>
<th>Amount Requested</th>
<th>Running total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>State of Maine, DHHS</td>
<td>Maine 1</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$3,003,814</td>
<td>$3,003,814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>State of Maine, DHHS</td>
<td>Maine 2</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$1,983,156</td>
<td>$1,983,156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>State of Maine, DHHS</td>
<td>Penobscot 1</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$479,792</td>
<td>$479,792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>City of Bangor</td>
<td>Shelter Plus Care TRA Consolidated 8715</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$422,158</td>
<td>$422,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>City of Bangor</td>
<td>Shelter Plus Care TRA Consolidated 8714</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$353,891</td>
<td>$353,891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>State of Maine, DHHS</td>
<td>Maine 10</td>
<td>1st Renewal</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$189,223</td>
<td>$189,223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>State of Maine, DHHS</td>
<td>Maine 20</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$165,761</td>
<td>$165,761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>City of Bangor</td>
<td>Shelter Plus Care TRA 8716</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$127,903</td>
<td>$127,903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>State of Maine, DHHS</td>
<td>Maine 19 Chronic</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$67,885</td>
<td>$67,885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>State of Maine, DHHS</td>
<td>SB SHI</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$67,622</td>
<td>$67,622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>State of Maine, DHHS</td>
<td>Maine 3</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$28,544</td>
<td>$28,544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>State of Maine, DHHS</td>
<td>SB YCS</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$102,895</td>
<td>$102,895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kennebec Behavioral Health</td>
<td>Mid Maine Supported Housing</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$36,429</td>
<td>$36,429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Community Housing of Maine, Inc</td>
<td>Permanent Housing for Homeless Veterans with T1</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$21,827</td>
<td>$21,827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>Maine State Housing Authority</td>
<td>State of Maine HMIS</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>HMIS</td>
<td>$344,888</td>
<td>$39,357,788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>State of Maine, DHHS</td>
<td>Maine 6</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$71,104</td>
<td>$7,466,892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tedford Housing</td>
<td>Everett Street Apartments</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$16,283</td>
<td>$7,483,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>DHI</td>
<td>Chailia Apartments</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$31,087</td>
<td>$7,514,262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hope House Penobscot Community Health Care</td>
<td>Hope House 24/PCHC</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>TH</td>
<td>$9,819</td>
<td>$7,688,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Maine State Housing Authority</td>
<td>Maine Rapid Re-Housing TRA</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$397,320</td>
<td>$8,085,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>State of Maine, DHHS</td>
<td>Penobscot 6</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$36,180</td>
<td>$8,121,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>City of Bangor</td>
<td>PRA Northside Apartments</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$33,886</td>
<td>$8,155,806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hope House Penobscot Community Health Care</td>
<td>Hope House/Penobscot Community Health Care</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>TH</td>
<td>$9,769</td>
<td>$8,165,575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bread of Life Ministries</td>
<td>Westman Village Renewal</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$12,391</td>
<td>$8,177,966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>York County Shelter Programs, Inc.</td>
<td>Brand New Day</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$32,697</td>
<td>$8,210,663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Shaw House</td>
<td>Shaw House</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$107,256</td>
<td>$8,348,817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tedford Housing</td>
<td>Pleasant Street Apartments</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$6,727</td>
<td>$8,355,544</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Remaining** $3,003,814

**Total** $7,466,892

**Remaining** $11,830
The process for application rankings is as follows for: RENEWALS

Data from project monitoring forms and submitted APRs is reviewed to gauge the effectiveness of the project based on the following criteria:

1. Average bed utilization rate
2. % of participants employed at program exit
3. % of leavers with maintained/increased income
4. % of leavers with maintained/increased mainstream benefits
5. % of leavers who moved from TH to PH
6. % of participants who are still in permanent housing or left for a permanent housing destination
7. Length of stay in PSH
8. Participation in COC meetings (voting record maintained)
9. HMIS data completeness and data quality
10. Successful and timely submission of APRs
11. Housing First approach

Information from the submitted Project Application to be reviewed:

1. Project type
2. Populations/subpopulations served
3. Project goals and performance
4. Prioritization of Chronically Homeless individuals to fill vacancies
5. Match and leverage documentation meets requirements (and letter(s) documenting match and leverage are attached to the application).
6. Housing First

Capacity of project applicants will be determined through the following criteria:

1. Monitoring Threshold results
2. Data quality
3. Timeliness of APR submission
4. Timeliness of drawdown requests
5. Match/leverage percentage and documentation

The process for application rankings is as follows for NEW Projects:

1. HUD Priorities
2. Performance
3. Capacity
4. Match and leverage
5. Evaluation
Below is a screenshot of the post showing the date of posting, July 15, 2016, followed by the full text of post, including a link to the Ranking & Selection criteria. This information was also reviewed in detail at the full MCOC meeting on July 18, 2016, information for which was also posted on the www.MaineHomelessPlanning.org website, which is publicly available and has over 340 subscribers. MCOC meetings are open to the public and accessible at up to 7 Tandberg Teleconferencing locations throughout the state of Maine, and via telephone conference call for anyone not able to attend at one of the Tandberg locations. Another post was made on July 26, 2016 (below) with additional details regarding the competition at both the HUD and local levels.

News

FY2016 HUD NOFA COMPETITION PROJECT DUE DATE OF AUGUST 15, 2016

July 15, 2016 Amy Grommes Pulaski

Important: The following information applies to both the Portland Continuum of Care and the Maine Continuum of Care. Due Date for New and Renewal Project Applications for the Application in Response to HUD’s FY2016 NOFA CoC Competition is August 15, 2016. There is approximately $161,000 for the Portland Continuum of Care and approximately $397,000 for the Maine Continuum of Care.

To All Continuum of Care Members Agencies:

On Wednesday, June 29th, 2016, HUD announced the Notice of Funding Availability for the 2016 Continuum of Care Program Competition. In addition to renewal projects, CoCs may submit new projects created through reallocation, permanent housing bonus projects, CoC Planning, and UDA Costs (flexibility).
On Wednesday, June 29th, 2016, HUD announced the Notice of Funding Availability for the 2016 Continuum of Care Program Competition. In addition to renewal projects, CoC’s may submit new projects created through reallocation, permanent housing bonus projects, CoC Planning, and UFA Costs (if eligible).

The full Consolidated Application is due to HUD on September 14, 2016 and includes all new and renewal project applications. HUD requires that ALL project applications much be submitted to the CoC no later than 30 days before the application deadline of September 14, 2016.

**Therefore, all project applications (including new and renewal projects) must be submitted no later than Monday, August 15, 2016 at 4:00 pm.** All applications must be submitted through HUD’s e-snaps electronic application system in order to be considered in the FY2016 Maine Consolidated Continuum of Care Application for funding.

HUD also requires that CoC’s notify project applications no later than 15 days before the 2016 application deadline regarding whether their project applications will be included as part of the CoC Consolidated Application submission. This means that projects will be scored and placed in Tiers 1 and 2 and all projects will be notified in writing by Tuesday, August 30, 2016. Project applicants that are not selected will be provided with an explanation for the decision to reject the project(s). Applicants that are not selected for submission will be able to appeal the decision as described in the NOFA announcement.

In the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition, in addition to requests for renewal projects and CoC planning and UFA Costs project requests, CoC’s may submit requests for new projects through the process of reallocation or the permanent housing bonus.

Through the reallocation process CoC’s may create the following type of new projects:

1. CoC’s may create new permanent supportive housing projects where all beds will be dedicated for use by chronically homeless individuals and families, as defined in 24 CFR 578.3.
2. CoC’s may create new rapid re-housing projects that will serve homeless individuals and families coming directly from the streets or emergency shelters, and include persons fleeing domestic violence situations and other persons who meet the criteria of paragraph (4) of the definition of homeless.
3. CoC’s may create a new Supportive Services Only (SSO) project specifically for a centralized or coordinated assessment system.
4. CoC’s may create a new dedicated Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) project for the costs at 24 CFR 578.37 that must be carried out by the HMIS Lead.

CoC’s may create new projects through the permanent housing bonus up to 5 percent of the CoC’s FPRN for the following types of new projects:

1. CoC’s may create new permanent supportive housing projects that will serve 100 percent chronically homeless families and individuals, and
2. CoC’s may create new rapid re-housing projects that will serve homeless individuals and families coming directly from the streets or emergency shelters, and includes persons fleeing domestic violence situations and other persons meeting the criteria of paragraph (4) of the definition of homeless.

HUD will continue the Tier 1 and Tier 2 funding process; however the process in the FY 2016 Program Competition is completely different from the past CoC competitions, so applicants are strongly encouraged to thoroughly review the information provided in the NOFA. A report that lists each CoC’s Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) Tier 1 amount, Tier 2 amounts, and permanent housing bonus amount available will be posted to the HUD Exchange website no earlier than August 5, 2016.

Please note that the HUD is requiring that grant agreements for FY2015 funds must be executed by December 31, 2016 in order to be eligible for renewal. In addition, HUD is requiring that all attachments that correspond to the attachment list in e-snaps must contain accurate and complete information and must be dated between May 1, 2016 and September 14, 2016. This includes updated Form 50070 (Drug Free Work Place) and HUD-2880 (Applicant/Recipient Disclosure).

To access e-snaps, training materials, and other helpful information please go to: https://www.hudexchange.info/e-snaps/fy-2016-coc-program-nofa-coc-program-competition/

MCOC Draft Ranking Procedures 7.13.16
MCOC Reallocation Procedure

HUD expects communities to use the reallocation process to ensure that funding for the CoC program remains as competitive as possible. The goals include helping communities progress toward HUD-identified priority areas, ensuring high standards for performance outcomes, and ensuring effective use of limited funding. CoCs are scored overall each year through the CoC Consolidated Application, and this score determines the CoC’s competitiveness for renewal and new funding. Part of the score has historically included the CoC’s use of the reallocation process.

HUD encourages communities to analyze their portfolio of grants to determine if there is the right mix of housing and services, and whether funding for some projects, in whole or in part, should be reallocated to make resources available for new efforts.

The MCOC is responsible for decisions regarding the reallocation process each year. This includes consideration for voluntary and involuntary reallocations.

1. Voluntary Reallocation

Voluntary reallocations are initiated by a renewal project applicant by choice. There are different reasons a renewal project applicant might choose reallocation. An applicant might see a greater need in the community for a different type of project from the one they are currently operating. They might also recognize that a different type of project will better meet HUD’s priorities for the CoC program, possibly making the CoC overall more competitive for additional funds for new projects. Or, an applicant may be prompted to consider changes to their project if they scored very low during the most recent MCOC renewal competition.

Renewal applicants may voluntarily reallocate their project(s) at any point in time, up to and including during the annual NOFA process. Renewal applicants may choose to voluntarily reallocate funding for their project(s) and instead submit a new application for funding, based upon eligibility outlined in the HUD’s NOFA. Based on the score and ranking approved by the MCOC, renewal applicants may choose to resubmit their application as a voluntary reallocation (in whole or in part) to a new type of project, according to HUD’s final rules published with the NOFA. Any new projects submitted in this way will be re-scored, which may result in a change of rankings for all projects.

2. Involuntary Reallocation

Involuntary reallocations include any renewal projects that are entirely eliminated by the CoC, or that have their possible renewal funding reduced by the CoC. The CoC may pursue involuntary reallocation for renewal projects for multiple reasons, such as unspent funds, repeated negative findings during the annual monitoring process, or scoring very low during the recent MCOC renewal competition.
Maine Continuum of Care - MCOC
Governance & Structure
Revised June 2016

Article 1. Organization
A. This affiliation is established by and in accordance with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) regulations.
B. The name of this affiliation shall be the Maine Continuum of Care, hereinafter referred to as “MCOC.”
C. MCOC covers the entire State of Maine except the City of Portland.
D. MCOC will establish a Collaborative Applicant (CA) that is responsible for the general coordination, oversight, and planning efforts of MCOC for the purpose of submission of the funding application. The CA shall have the authority by the MCOC to certify and submit the annual HUD Homeless Assistance Grant funding application on behalf of the MCOC.
E. The Business address for MCOC will be maintained by the identified CA. See addendum.
F. The CA cannot hold a Chair position of the MCOC.
G. The MCOC is governed by the HUD Continuum of Care Board of Directors (CoC Board). The CoC Board serves as the oversight body for the Maine Continuum of Care and Portland Continuum of Care. The CoC Board serves the geographic area of the entire state of Maine (ME 500 CoC and ME 502 CoC), and exists to:
   • Coordinate a statewide commitment to the goal of ending homelessness;
   • Approve CoC funding recommendations for ending homelessness;
   • Promote access to, and effective use of mainstream resources by homeless individuals and families; and
   • Promote housing retention, stability, success, and optimize self-sufficiency in the community for people who have experienced homelessness.

The CoC Board shall assume and carry out duties as detailed by the HUD Continuum of Care Board of Directors Bylaws.

Article 2. Purpose and Mission
The mission of MCOC is to plan and coordinate an inclusive system that helps Maine people avoid or exit quickly from homelessness, and to address the underlying causes of homelessness. MCOC shall accomplish this mission by conducting the following activities:
A. MCOC develops and manages the annual Continuum of Care (CoC) Application process to ensure that MCOC receives the maximum amount of federal McKinney-Vento funding from HUD.
B. MCOC fosters and promotes comprehensive, cohesive and coordinated approaches to housing and community resources for people and families who are homeless or at risk of becoming homeless through:
   1. Diversion and prevention
   2. Outreach and engagement services
   3. Emergency shelters and supportive services
   4. Transitional housing
   5. Permanent housing
      i. Permanent supportive housing
      ii. Rapid rehousing
      iii. Market rate and affordable housing
   6. Linkages to mainstream and community resources.
C. MCOC identifies and prioritizes the housing and service needs of people who are homeless, and supports a system of intervention, assessment, referral, direct care and aftercare for individuals and families experiencing homelessness.

D. MCOC identifies and addresses service gaps and risk factors in the community. MCOC develops specific priorities and action steps to address homelessness throughout the service area.

E. MCOC participates in planning activities supporting Maine’s Plan to End and Prevent Homelessness with the following:
   a. The CoC Board;
   b. The Statewide Homeless Council;
   c. The Regional Homeless Councils;
   d. The Portland Continuum of Care (PCOC); and
   e. Local groups, alliances, and coalitions.

F. MCOC collaborates with community providers and stakeholders regarding continuum specific goals and objectives related to ending and preventing homelessness in Maine.

G. MCOC advocates for necessary funding at the state and federal level to develop needed housing and services for people who are homeless in Maine.

H. MCOC develops and supports public policy to assist people who are homeless and advocates for systems change to help end and prevent homelessness in Maine.

I. MCOC educates and helps advocate for people experiencing homelessness in Maine.

J. MCOC educates and helps advocate for entities that help people who are homeless.

Article 3. Meetings:
A. MCOC shall hold scheduled meetings of the general membership at a time and place agreed upon by the membership.

B. All meetings of the MCOC are open to the public and an invitation to join the MCOC is posted publicly at least annually.

C. Notice of meetings will be provided to the full MCOC membership by the CA.

D. A quorum must be present to hold a vote or conduct business at regular MCOC meetings. A quorum shall be 51% of the number of eligible voting members, and determined prior to the start of each meeting. If a quorum is not present at a meeting, discussion may still take place, but business and voting will not be conducted. The CA shall track attendance and voting member status to determine quorum status for meetings.

E. Committee meetings shall not require a quorum with the exception of the Steering Committee for the purposes of conducting business and voting on behalf of the MCOC (refer to Article 8 - Steering Committee).

F. Voting shall be conducted as outlined in Article 5 for general meetings.

G. If at any time there is a conflict of interest whereby an organization or individual will have a direct interest in the funding, scoring, ranking, or policy decision making, then that organization, representative of the organization, or individual may recuse themselves or abstain for the process in order to mitigate any perceived conflict of interest. The recusal or abstention may be oral or written. In addition, the MCOC may request an organization, representative of organization, or individual to recuse themselves from any activities.


Article 4. Membership:
Each member of MCOC is a vital link in a collaborative community network, and as such, shares in ideas, concerns and decisions regarding the development, and deployment of resources to address the needs of people who are homeless and those at risk of becoming homeless.
A. MCOC has open membership for all individuals and/or organizations within the service area that have an interest in and subscribe to the mission and policies of MCOC, and who, through their participation, will contribute to MCOC’s ability to carry out its mission.

B. There is no membership fee.

C. MCOC membership and participation is required for all agencies actively receiving MCOC funds. At a minimum, participation shall be defined as maintaining voting eligibility at regular meetings.

D. Regular meeting attendance will be recorded and maintained by the CA. The CA will provide MCOC Chairs with attendance tracking sheets prior to the regular scheduled MCOC meetings for the Chairs to determine voting eligibility as defined in Article 5.

Article 5. Members General Voting Rights:
A. There shall be one vote per Agency, Association, or Individual in attendance and eligible to vote on all MCOC matters that come up at general MCOC meetings.

B. Participation by conference call or other electronic means shall count as attendance and eligible members may vote by electronic means.

C. For voting purposes: An Agency is defined as “an IRS Corporate Entity.” Therefore, if a person receives a 1099 as a consultant or a W-2 as an employee they are a member of said Agency. An Association is defined as a group of people who voluntarily enter into an agreement to establish an organization to accomplish a specific purpose. An Individual shall be defined as anyone who has no formal or informal relationship to any Agency or Association otherwise recognized by MCOC.

D. If an agency, association or company holds different corporations, it is the intention of Article 5 that only the parent agency and not the separate corporations may cast a vote. It is the responsibility of said agency, association, parent company, or corporation to determine who will vote on their behalf.

E. An Agency or Association shall be considered in attendance if they are represented by any member of their organization (it need not be the same person at all meetings).

F. A member must have attended at least 50% of the meetings in the previous 12 months to be eligible to vote.

G. Committee meetings will not be included for voting or quorum calculation purposes.

H. All items placed for voting must be made by motion and seconded. Motions may be made and seconded by anyone in attendance (you need not be eligible to vote in order to make or second a motion).

I. A simple majority (at least 51%) affirmative vote by those in attendance and eligible to vote shall determine the outcome of the vote. If specified in the motion, a vote may be taken by roll call or by written ballot, and/or via electronic means.

Article 6. Officers & Elections:
A. MCOC will have three Chairs, who will each serve for a three-year term.
   a. Chairs shall be responsible for planning and conducting general and Steering Committee meetings.
   b. Any Chair may act as the designated signatory for MCOC correspondence.

B. One new Chair shall be nominated and elected by MCOC on an annual basis. Nominations for a new Chair will be taken prior to and at the October MCOC meeting. Elections shall be held at the January meeting. Any eligible voting member may stand for nomination. The new Chair will begin serving upon election.

C. Term of Office shall be three years. There is no limit on terms, but all Chairs must be re-nominated and re-elected to serve another term once their initial term has expired.

D. Officers may resign in writing during their term and shall, to the best of their ability, give at least one-month notice of such resignation. Any vacancies shall be filled for the remainder of the term by nomination and election at the next regular meeting.
Article 7. HMIS:
A. The purpose of this article is to address the HUD requirement that both the Portland CoC and the Maine CoC have and agree to use the same HMIS lead agency and therefore both CoC’s governance’s must also reflect that agreement and address any issue which may arise regarding HMIS and its governance.
B. The HMIS governance, which has been accepted by both PCOC and MCOC, will remain the governing document unless and until both PCOC and MCOC jointly agree on any changes which are directed by HUD and or requested through either MCOC or PCOC.
C. The MCOC and PCOC will establish a HMIS advisory committee with equal representation from each COC to meet with the HMIS lead agency on a (minimum) quarterly basis to address HMIS issues and or concerns along with review of HUD changes or requirements which may need to be adopted into the HMIS governance.

Article 8. MCOC Committees
A. The committees detailed below shall be Standing Committees of MCOC. In addition to the duties detailed below, Committees may investigate other topics or perform other tasks as assigned by MCOC.
B. With the exception of the Steering Committee, each committee shall elect co-chairs annually after the January meeting of the MCOC. These Co-chairs are responsible for planning, calling, and conducting committee meetings.
C. Co-chairs from each Standing Committee shall participate on the Steering Committee. Committees shall not make any policy or funding related decisions. Committees may make recommendations to MCOC, which may be presented for voting approval to the MCOC.
D. All Standing Committees shall designate a Secretary to record minutes of the meetings and make them available.

Steering Committee – The committee will be comprised of: The three MCOC chairs; Co-Chairs from each of the other Standing Committees; the chair of the Statewide Homeless Council (regardless of being a MCOC Chair or a Co-Chair on a MCOC Standing Committee); at least one representative from DHHS (regardless of being a MCOC Chair or a Co-Chair on a MCOC Standing Committee); at least one representative from MaineHousing (regardless of being a Co-Chair on a MCOC Standing Committee); and the CA.
A. The Steering Committee will coordinate with the Standing committees to ensure that information is disseminated to MCOC.
B. The MCOC Chairs and/or the Collaborative Applicant may call may call a Steering Committee meeting at any time to address immediate needs.
C. Recommendations from the Steering Committee shall go to MCOC to be voted on at the next regular meeting.
D. For immediate, emergency, or time sensitive decisions regarding the COC Application Process, or for other specific decisions as assigned by MCOC, the Steering Committee has the authority from MCOC to make appropriate decisions. In all other matters, the Steering Committee can only make recommendations to MCOC.
E. Votes taken by the Steering Committee related to immediate, emergency, or time sensitive decisions, as detailed above, shall be governed similarly to Article 5, Members General Voting Rights. For Steering Committee votes, a member must have attended at least 50% of the Steering Committee meetings in the previous 12 months to be eligible to vote.
F. Any such decisions made by the Steering Committee shall be reported back to the MCOC at the next regular meeting and by email or posting on the Homeless Planning Website.
G. The ‘One Agency- One Vote’ rule shall also apply to the Steering Committee. Therefore, as many agencies and individuals as possible should be encouraged to participate as Chairs on various committees.

**Project Committee** – This committee will oversee the processes and methodologies used to monitor Renewal Projects that receive ongoing funding through MCOC and Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) recipient(s).

**Monitoring Process for CoC-Funded Projects:**

A. Develop and refine the Monitoring Questionnaires and methodology used to monitor and evaluate ongoing program performance. The Monitoring Questionnaires will be presented for approval by MCOC prior to the start of the Monitoring process.

B. Once approved, the Monitoring Questionnaires will be provided to all projects up for renewal in the current funding round, along with a request for the project’s most recent E-snaps APR and the most recent HMIS-generated APR.

C. The Project Committee will monitor project performance which results in a threshold score. If an agency fails to meet threshold, as determined by the MCOC, they will be put on a Performance Improvement Plan which will be reviewed as needed, annually at minimum, and with technical assistance from the appropriate MCOC committee(s) will be required to demonstrate performance improvement. The Project Committee will also offer and/or refer agencies to appropriate assistance and training if available.

D. This Committee then prepares the information collected and presents their recommendations to the Steering and the MCOC membership for processes of prioritization and performance improvement and the Selection Committee for scoring and ranking.

**Monitoring Process for ESG Recipient(s):**

A. Develop and refine the Monitoring Questionnaire(s) and methodology used to monitor and evaluate ESG program performance. The Monitoring Questionnaires will be presented for approval by MCOC prior to the start of the Monitoring process.

B. Once approved, the Monitoring Questionnaires will be provided to the ESG recipient(s).

C. The Project Committee will monitor project performance which results in a threshold score. If an agency/program fails to meet threshold, as determined by the MCOC, they will be put on a Performance Improvement Plan which will be reviewed as needed, annually at minimum, and with technical assistance from the appropriate MCOC committee(s) will be required to demonstrate performance improvement. The Project Committee will also offer and/or refer agencies to appropriate assistance and training if available.

D. This Committee then prepares the information collected and presents their recommendations to Steering and the MCOC membership for processes of prioritization and performance improvement.

**The Scoring Template Committee** – This committee will establish the criteria and protocols used to score and rank projects seeking funding through MCOC.

**Scoring Template Process and Criteria**

A. Develop and refine the Scoring Templates, methodology and protocols used to score and rank project applications seeking funding through the MCOC annual Collaborative Application to HUD.

B. This Committee will work closely with other committees to determine appropriate criteria for the separate scoring templates for new and renewal projects, and HMIS applications. Scoring Templates will be based on priorities established by MCOC and by HUD and will be reviewed and adjusted annually at least at the start of the NOFA to account for HUD changing priorities.

C. This Committee will establish a list of protocols and instructions to be provided to the Selection Committee who utilize the scoring templates.
D. The scoring templates, protocols and instructions shall be presented for to MCOC for approval, copies of the approved forms will be provided to the Selection Committee and to all new, and renewal projects including HMIS project applicants prior to the Selection process.

**Data Committee** – This committee works to collect information on homelessness throughout the MCOC area and to improve data collection techniques and data analysis methods for use in the MCOC Application and to better inform MCOC members, and the general public regarding homelessness in Maine.

A. Reviews data obtained through the annual Point-in-Time count of sheltered and unsheltered persons and the annual Housing Inventory of shelter, transitional housing, and permanent supportive housing in the MCOC area.

B. Evaluates best practices, new guidance, and emerging approaches on data collection, methodology, sharing, and analysis.

C. Reviews and makes recommendations pertaining to HMIS data quality and completeness for all HMIS participating projects within the MCOC.

D. Coordinates with the other Standing Committees to ensure that they have the information they need to properly perform their functions.

E. As MaineHousing is also the statewide HMIS Lead Agency, the MCOC Data Committee will include at least one member of the MaineHousing HMIS Team.

**Resources Committee** – This committee is an action oriented group that will engage the community and the MCOC. The committee collects, organizes and shares information on homelessness in the state of Maine.

A. Works to recruit and orient new members to MCOC and its Committees to involve the broader public including private businesses, landlords, law enforcement, educators, citizens, faith-based organizations, and persons who are homeless or were formerly homeless in the Continuum of Care planning activities.

B. Plans and implements training opportunities for members and other providers.

C. Assists in resource development strategies to access new funding sources and improve connections with other agencies and organization.

D. Engages with the community and supports local initiatives to reduce and end homelessness throughout the MCOC area, particularly in our rural communities.

E. Seeks to educate and inform MCOC member organizations and the general public on issues regarding homelessness and availability and access to mainstream and other resources.

F. Plans and organizes the annual Point in Time (PIT) count for the MCOC.

**Selection Committee** – This committee is responsible for the selection, scoring, and ranking of projects to be included in the annual MCOC Collaborative Application according to the Selection Process outlined below in Article 9.

A. The Selection Committee shall consist of agencies and/or individuals not competing for project funding during the current round of the MCOC application process.

**Policy Committee** - This committee is a Joint Standing Committee of the MCOC, PCOC, and the Statewide Homeless Council, formally known as the Maine Homeless Policy Committee. This committee is an action oriented group that collects, organizes, and shares information regarding numerous, ongoing policy initiatives. This committee advocates on the local, state, and federal levels for resources and funding for homeless services necessary to fulfill the mission, goals, and objectives of the Continuum of Care.

A. Disseminate information on a regular basis pertaining to current and upcoming policy initiatives relating to homelessness at the regional and statewide levels so Maine presents as a united front around homeless policy;
B. Serve as an informative liaison to the Statewide Homeless Council, Regional Homeless Councils, both Continuums of Care, and other local committees and coalitions regarding local, state, and federal legislation as it pertains to homelessness;

C. Advocate for fully funding McKinney Vento so that the HEARTH Act can be properly implemented; Advocate for adequate Section 8 funding; Advocate for funding for the National Housing Trust Fund; Advocate for the continuation of the Low Income Housing Tax Credit program, including ensuring support for the continuation of the Community Reinvestment Act;

D. Maintain contact with the State and Federal Delegations, acting as a resource for information pertaining to homeless services in Maine; Advocate for federal resources for homeless services and affordable housing; Advocate for state resources for homeless services and affordable housing;

E. Advocate for local/municipal resources for homeless services and affordable housing;

F. Advocate for a sustainable state budget to protect homeless services; Maintain Maine’s Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness as a local priority; Engage with the community and support local, state, and federal policy initiatives to reduce and end homelessness.

**Veteran Committee** – This committee, formally known as The Maine Homeless Veterans Action Committee (MHVAC) is a Joint Standing Committee of the MCOC and PCOC. It was formed in collaboration with several Maine agencies and organizations. This committee is an action oriented group that collects, organizes, and shares information regarding all homeless Veterans in Maine. We work to ensure that Veterans are immediately identified, have access to shelter, are assisted in developing an active housing plan, and permanently housed as quickly as possible. This committee shall:

A. Actively engaged in the Maine’s Coordinated Entry System with the COC.

B. Update COCs on BNL progress (as agreed upon by all parties).

C. Prioritizing and matching Veterans to housing and services.

D. Manage and update Veterans By-Name-List (BNL).

E. Actively participate in local COC meetings.

F. Ensure privacy, release of information, and consent protocols are in place to project Veteran information as contained on the BNL (per individual agency and organization requirements).

G. Stay abreast of current initiatives pertaining to ending and preventing homelessness for Veterans.

**Article 9. Selection Process**

A. MCOC shall provide information and materials to all committee members to familiarize them with the purpose and responsibilities of the committee.

B. Applications, Scoring Templates, and all other relevant materials will be given to the Selection Committee members for review prior to scoring.

C. All projects shall be scored using the appropriate approved Scoring Template.

D. Ranking of applications will be based on scoring results and adjusted as appropriate to address MCOC and HUD priorities and to maximize potential funding.

E. The Selection Committee shall draw up a slate of project applications in ranked order of prioritization to be recommended to MCOC for inclusion in the Application.

**Article 10. Appeals Process**

A. The original decision or action being appealed shall remain in effect throughout the Appeals Process unless or until a Final Decision is reached. Timeframes may be adjusted in order to expedite the CoC Application Process. However, MCOC makes no assurances that an appeal will be resolved within any timeframe other than that outlined below or as prescribed by HUD.

B. **STEP ONE APPEAL:** An appeal must be submitted in writing to the CA within five (5) working days from the date of the decision or action the individual or agency is appealing. The
appeal should include the date of the filing of the appeal, the specific decision or action the individual or agency is in disagreement with, suggestions about possible ways to resolve the situation, and must include how the individual or agency can be reached. (Send attention to: Maine Continuum of Care, using CA info as outlined in Appendix 1.)

C. Within ten (10) working days, the CA shall convene all available members of the group responsible for the decision or action to review and respond in writing to the Step One Appeal.

D. If the individual or agency is not satisfied with the outcome of the Step One Appeal, the individual or agency may appeal again within five (5) working days from the date the individual or agency received the Step One Decision by filing a written Step Two Appeal to the CA. If there is no Step Two Appeal or if the issues are resolved in Step One, the Step One Decision shall be Final.

E. STEP TWO APPEAL: Within ten (10) working days, CA shall convene all available members of the Steering Committee to review, investigate and respond in writing to the Step Two Appeal.

F. If dissatisfied with the Step Two Decision, the individual or agency may submit a Step Three Appeal to the CA, requesting that the issue be reviewed by an ad-hoc Appeals Committee of the Maine COC. The written Step Three Appeal must be sent within five (5) working days from the date the individual or agency received the Step 2 decision. If there is no Step Three Appeal or is the issue is resolved in Step Two, the Step Two Decision shall be Final.

G. STEP THREE APPEAL: Within ten (10) working days, the CA shall convene an ad-hoc Appeals Committee to review, investigate and respond in writing to the Step Three Appeal. The Appeals Committee may request an extension of an additional five (5) working days, if necessary, to prepare a Final Decision. Any decisions will be in writing.

H. The above steps outline the MCOC Appeals Process. However, in the event the project does not agree with the MCOC decision, the agency may send a complaint / appeal to the MCOC HUD representative.

Article 11. Code of Conduct

A. All members of the Maine Continuum of Care have the responsibility for maintaining high standards of honesty, integrity, courtesy, respect, and ethical conduct in all MCOC activities. Members are expected to conduct themselves in a professional and responsible manner while carrying out the business of MCOC and to:
   a. Advocate on behalf of all people experiencing homelessness, or at imminent risk of homelessness, with respect, concern, courtesy, compassion, and responsiveness.
   b. Exercise reasonable care, good faith, and due diligence in all MCOC business and act within the boundaries of his or her authority regarding MCOC business.
   c. Carefully prepare for, regularly attend, and actively participate in MCOC meetings, committees, and other assignments.
   d. Accept personal responsibility to be informed of emerging issues and to administer MCOC business with professional competence, fairness, efficiency, and effectiveness.
   e. Approach MCOC activities with a positive attitude and constructively support open communication, cooperation, creativity, dedication, and collaboration.
   f. Respect and value the work done by, and the diversity of, opinions expressed by, other members of MCOC, and our partnering agencies and organizations, and to formally register dissent or disagreement only in an appropriate and professional manner.

B. Members have an obligation to conduct MCOC business within guidelines that prohibit actual, perceived, or potential conflicts of interest and to serve in a manner as to avoid inappropriate personal gain resulting from the performance of MCOC duties.
   a. An actual, perceived, or potential conflict of interest occurs when a Member is in a position to influence a decision that may result in a personal gain for that Member, a relative, or an entity with which the member is associated. Personal gain may result from financial interest, a substantial gift, or any form of special consideration.
b. MCOC members are expected to identify any conflicts prior to any activities where that would be an issue.

c. No MCOC member may participate in any decision on any MCOC Application if that member has a direct or indirect interest in any entity that is a party to the application or that has a financial interest in the project.

d. All members must respect and protect privileged information to which there is access in the course of MCOC duties and may not divulge or profit from the confidential information learned while performing MCOC duties.

C. Any concerns regarding Code of Conduct or Conflict of Interest matters must be brought to the attention of the Steering Committee who will consider all facts and will make a recommend to the full MCOC what further action, if any, should be taken.

Article 12. Adoption and Amendment of Governance Document

A. This Governance document shall be adopted and thereafter amended at a regular MCOC meeting by a simple majority (at least 51%) affirmative vote of the members present and eligible to vote.

B. Proposed amendments must be in written form and distributed to the members of the MCOC prior to the presentation and vote.

C. The MCOC can choose to fully revise the governance policy to include an agreed upon change or an Amended Article may be added for insertion into the existing document.
Addendum 1 for MCOC Governance

MCOC business address is:

Maine Continuum of Care (MCOC)
MaineHousing
353 Water Street
Augusta, Maine 04330-4633
MAINE HOMELESS MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM
Procedures Manual

(Homeless Management Information System Policies & Procedures Manual)

Adopted by the PCoC 10-14-15
Adopted by the MCoC 11-5-15
# Table of Contents

Introduction ................................................................................................................. 3  
Access to Maine HMIS ................................................................................................. 3  
  System Availability ................................................................................................. 4  
  ServicePoint Licenses ............................................................................................. 4  
  HMIS / ServicePoint Provider And User Agreements ............................................. 4  
  Access to Maine HMIS ServicePoint is allowed only from authorized agency locations! ................................................................. 4  
  Access to Maine HMIS ServicePoint from a authorized home office ................. 5  
  ServicePoint User Activation .................................................................................. 5  
  Updating HMIS / ServicePoint Provider And User Agreements .............................. 5  
  Advise MaineHousing HMIS staff as soon as possible of staff / Agency changes ...... 5  
Confidentiality, Privacy, and Security .......................................................................... 6  
  Protected Personal Information ............................................................................... 6  
  Special Note on Confidentiality and Release of Information ................................. 6  
  Use of ServicePoint without a release of information ............................................ 7  
  Release of Information ......................................................................................... 7  
Client Consent ............................................................................................................ 7  
  Securing client consent ......................................................................................... 7  
  Client worries regarding computer information .................................................... 8  
  Client Grievance .................................................................................................... 8  
HMIS Security ............................................................................................................ 8  
  Security Procedures ............................................................................................... 8  
  Right to deny or restrict user access ..................................................................... 9  
  User IDs and Passwords ....................................................................................... 9  
  Rules for safe computing and User IDs and Passwords ....................................... 10  
  ServicePoint HIPPA Compliance ......................................................................... 10  
Reports ....................................................................................................................... 10  
  Non-Identifiable Information and reporting .......................................................... 11  
  ServicePoint ART reports ..................................................................................... 11  
  State wide and Continuum of Care reporting ....................................................... 11  
  ServicePoint assessment and report customization ............................................. 12  
Computer Resources .................................................................................................. 12  
  Participating Agency Hardware and Software Requirements .............................. 12  
  Participating Agency internet access requirements .............................................. 12  
  Maine HMIS computing assistance ..................................................................... 12  
  Technical Support ............................................................................................... 13  
  Maine HMIS Training ........................................................................................... 13  
  E-mail Communications ....................................................................................... 13  
  The HUD Program-Specific Data Elements .......................................................... 14  
Quality Control .......................................................................................................... 14  
  Data Integrity ....................................................................................................... 15  
  Data Integrity Expectations .................................................................................. 15  
Data Loading .............................................................................................................. 15  
  Loading data into ServicePoint from other databases ........................................... 15  
Data Availability and Disaster Recovery .................................................................... 15  
Addendum - Maine HMIS Forms and Use Guides ...................................................... 16
Maine HMIS Overview and Procedures

Introduction

In 2004 HUD the Department of Housing and Urban Development put forth rules regarding requirements for recipients of HUD related funding and other providers of services for the homeless to participate in a Homeless Information Management System. This manual outlines policies and related information on the State of Maine Homeless Management Information System (HMIS).

The State of Maine Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) is a collaborative effort between the MaineHousing, the dedicated lead agency, and the two Continuums of Care – City of Portland, and the Balance-of-State. The Continuums of Care, individually and as a group, have an ongoing role in ensuring the success of Maine’s HMIS by giving input into HMIS policy decisions within the parameters established by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

The software used by the Maine HMIS consists of ServicePoint, a nationally recognized web based HMIS software solution, plus supporting software for reporting. Some Maine HMIS participants who had developed information systems prior to the launch of HMIS do not use ServicePoint but participate by providing periodic “batch uploads” of information for use in reporting.

ServicePoint is a solution used in many other states. MaineHousing is also part of the New England Regional Homeless Management Information System (NERHMIS). By Choosing ServicePoint and being a member in NERHMIS the State of Maine Homeless Management Information System benefits from shared knowledge of the various New England members and ServicePoint users nationwide.

This manual contains information and procedures related to Maine’s Homeless Management Information System (HMIS). It is expected that this procedures document is not static and will be modified overtime as needed.

For more information regarding HMIS policies and procedures, please contact by email Mary Wade, mwade@mainehousing.org or Cindy Namer, cnamer@mainehousing.org. Maine HMIS staff can also be contacted by phone at 207- 626-4600. This document can also be found at http://www.mainehmis.org

ServicePoint is a trademark of Bowman Systems.
Windows is a trademark of Microsoft.
Apple and OSX are trademarks of Apple Computer.
Business Objects is a trademark of SAP AG

System Availability
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The Maine HMIS ServicePoint data entry web site will be available to participating agencies 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. In the case there is a planned outage or issues impacting availability users will be advised in advance if at all possible.

**ServicePoint Licenses**

ServicePoint is a web based database solution allowing any organization with internet access and authorization to be able enter and report on their client information. ServicePoint licenses are purchased by MaineHousing from Bowman Systems for use in the Maine HMIS system. MaineHousing also contracts with Bowman Systems to provide secure storage, backup and support for the Maine HMIS ServicePoint implementation.

Each participating agency who receives HUD related funding will be assigned up to two ServicePoint user licenses. Agencies that need more than two licenses may purchase additional licenses through MaineHousing. The Maine HMIS system encourages participation in HMIS by Non-HUD funded programs for the homeless. Non-HUD funded programs may also be assigned a ServicePoint license and may purchase additional licenses as needed.

**HMIS / ServicePoint Provider And User Agreements**

Each participating agency providing information to the Maine HMIS using ServicePoint or providing data by a batch upload process will provide the following forms and information.

- The *Agency Information Form* – Providing accurate and up-to-date information on the Agency Name, Primary contact for HMIS matters and other descriptive information regarding the agency.
- The *Agency Participation Agreement*
- One or more *User Policy and Responsibility* documents – one for each user who will need access to the ServicePoint.
- One or more *User Policy and Responsibility* documents – one for each user who will be uploading data by a batch upload process to the ServicePoint / HMIS system.
- One or more *User Policy and Responsibility* documents – one for each user who will be doing data entry into the ServicePoint / HMIS system.
- Copies of agency work at home policy and signed authorization for any user who will be accessing ServicePoint from an authorized home office

**Access to Maine HMIS ServicePoint is allowed only from authorized agency locations!**

Users are not permitted at any time to access HMIS / ServicePoint via the Web from unauthorized public locations where the potential exists for unauthorized persons to view client information. Examples of locations which would not be permitted are a public location such as a cyber café or Starbucks or working at home in a room where family members or others could easily see client data being worked with.
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Access to Maine HMIS ServicePoint from a authorized home office

MaineHousing and other participants in the Maine HMIS who have written and enforced work-at-home policies may authorize home office locations as authorized agency location for Maine HMIS ServicePoint use given the home office location is structured to assure that viewing of client information by unauthorized persons does not happen. A signed copy of work at home authorization and the related work at home policy should be on file at the MaineHousing HMIS office for anyone who works with ServicePoint from a home office setting.

ServicePoint User Activation

As soon as possible following receipt of the completed and appropriate signed user agreement form(s) from an agency participating HMIS by the Maine HMIS Administrator at MaineHousing

- The new ServicePoint user will be given an initial introductory training on the use of ServicePoint.
- The new ServicePoint user will be provided with a user ID and password by the Maine Housing HMIS staff

Updating HMIS / ServicePoint Provider And User Agreements

At any time that there is a significant change at the agency level which impacts the use of the HMIS system it is the agency’s responsibility to immediately notify MaineHousing. Failure notify MaineHousing HMIS staff of changes could expose confidential client information or negatively impact the HMIS overall.

Advise MaineHousing HMIS staff as soon as possible of staff / Agency changes.

MaineHousing HMIS staff must be advised in writing as soon as possible and provided with appropriate new or updated forms when:

- A ServicePoint user is no longer employed at the agency or moves to a position where they are no longer responsible for HMIS data entry;
- If at all possible, on or before the last day of any ServicePoint user so that their access to ServicePoint can be discontinued;
- Whenever New Staff Member needs access to ServicePoint or the batch upload process;
- The staff person responsible for batch upload is no longer employed at the agency or moves to a position where they are no longer responsible for HMIS data.

The agency will supply new or revised forms whenever there are any changes to the information contained in The Agency Information Form, The Agency Participation Agreement, or the Batch upload agreement or the User Policy and Responsibility documents

(Copies of the various current HMIS forms will be available online and are included at the end of the printed version of this manual.)

Confidentiality, Privacy, and Security
Protected Personal Information

HUD identifies certain information in the required Universal Data Elements as Protected Personal Information. The Agencies, Continuums of Care, MaineHousing Staff, and Maine HMIS users must use special care when working with or printing out data involving protected personal information such as:

- Names
- Social Security numbers
- Date of Birth
- Dates of program participation
- Any other unique identifying number, or code

The intent is always to keep all client information private at all times, and to share any client information only with release of information from the client. Some situations are recognized in HUD rules, and in Federal, or State law that make release of this information necessary or even mandatory.

HUD rules published in the federal register state:

“4.1.3. Allowable HMIS Uses and Disclosures of Protected Personal Information (PPI) A CHO may use or disclose PPI from an HMIS under the following circumstances:

1. To provide or coordinate services to an individual;
2. For functions related to payment or reimbursement for services;
3. To carry out administrative functions, including but not limited to legal, audit, Personnel, oversight and management functions; or
4. For creating de-identified PPI.”

“Uses and disclosures required by law. A CHO may use or disclose PPI when required by law to the extent that the use or disclosure complies with and is limited to the requirements of the law.

Uses and disclosures to avert a serious threat to health or safety. A CHO may, consistent with applicable law and standards of ethical conduct, use or disclose PPI if:

1. The CHO, in good faith, believes the use or disclosure is necessary to prevent or lessen a serious and imminent threat to the health or safety of an individual or the public; and
2. the use or disclosure is made to a person reasonably able to prevent or lessen the threat, including the target of the threat.”

Special Note on Confidentiality and Release of Information

The procedures and processes described in this section reflect HUD requirements, and current understanding of best practice in recording, using, and sharing of HMIS data by shelter providers and the use of that data in HMIS systems.

Some shelter providers may also provide professional medical, substance abuse, or other services with specific privacy requirements. Use and sharing of data regarding those services may have requirements not outlined here.
Use of ServicePoint without a release of information

To the extent that client data is entered into the agencies database or ServicePoint where the database or ServicePoint is acting solely as that agencies database, no information is considered released. In this case, MaineHousing and ServicePoint staff who do have access to this data are acting as consultants to the agency.

A release of information is required whenever identifiable data is released to another agency other than as required by mandated reporting rules and other releases required by law.

Release of Information

By participating in the Maine HMIS, agencies and users agree to high standards of confidentiality and to seek explicit authority and permission from clients for release of any identifiable client information.

The client has the right to have access to their own data.

- A Release of Information form must be signed by a client (even to low-barrier shelters) before any protected personal information can be shared.
- Written interagency data sharing agreements (if any) between particular agencies (i.e., Memoranda of Agreement) will have to be in place and on file at MaineHousing prior to sharing of information within Maine HMIS ServicePoint across agencies.
- All agencies participating in HMIS will be required to follow all current data security practices detailed in this document, and adhere to ethical data use standards, regardless of the location where agency users connect to HMIS.
- The client will have access to view, or keep a printed copy of, his or her own records contained in the HMIS.
  - The participating agencies and MaineHousing reserve the right, granted under federal and state statutes, to charge a fee to cover reasonable costs for the retrieval and printing of such client information.
- A privacy notice shall be prominently displayed in the program offices where intake occurs. The content of this privacy notice shall be in accordance with HMIS Privacy Standards in: Federal Register / Vol. 69, No. 146 / Friday, July 30, 2004 and any other applicable standards.

Client Consent

Securing client consent

Clients must be informed about the intended use of protected personal client information at the time the information is collected. Agencies are responsible for having the proper procedures in place to ensure the consent to use the information in the intended manner is understood by the client.

- A sign or signs that explain the general reasons for collecting information must be posted where clients can see it in the intake area.
- Consent for individual data collection and entry into HMIS/ServicePoint may be inferred from the circumstances of the collection.
- A verbal explanation stated in plain language should include a description of HMIS, how the information will be used, how it will be protected, and the advantages of providing accurate information.
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- It is also appropriate to provide a written description that echoes the verbal explanation for the consumer to keep for review. Individuals should understand exactly what they are consenting to, including the specific content of the information that will be shared.
- A verbal explanation stated in plain language should explain that individual has the right to revoke the consent in writing, except to the extent that the information has already been released based on a release of information.
- The consent procedure should document the information being shared and with whom it is being shared and be the release be signed and dated by the individual.
- Should a client verbally consent to releasing protected information but be unwilling to sign a consent form, two staff members should witness and document that fact.

**Client worries regarding computer information.**

It is understood that the idea of entering information into a computer is worrisome for some clients. It is the responsibility of the participating agency and its staff to make every effort to ease that worry and secure informed consent for data to be used in HMIS.

**Client Grievance**

Maine HMIS itself does not intend to create or establish any unique grievance management processes. All agencies are responsible for setting up an internal grievance process to handle client complaints related to HMIS, including grievances related to consent and release of information.

**HMIS Security**

Every effort must be made to assure that protected client data is handled securely, responsibly and in accord with the client’s wishes.

HMIS system administrators in coordination with appropriate agency staff are responsible for validating, establishing, and granting security permissions and making sure security procedures are followed. Maine Housing HMIS database administration staff shall have necessary and appropriate access to data submitted by participating organizations as needed to administer the HMIS software, resolve data issues, and assure data security and integrity.

**Security Procedures**

- Maine Housing and the Maine Housing HMIS staff are responsible for assuring that client information in the Maine HMIS system is handled responsibly.
- Each agency is responsible for administering its own users and assuring that they receive adequate training in the confidential handling of client information.
- The Maine Housing ServicePoint system administrators are responsible for setting up ServicePoint users, User IDs and passwords
- Each new ServicePoint user will review this document and be provided initial training on the use of ServicePoint.
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- The signed User Policy Agreement form for each ServicePoint user will be on file at MaineHousing before they are allowed access ServicePoint.
- It will be the Agencies’ responsibility to immediately inform MaineHousing HMIS staff of any staff changes (Resignations, transfers, etc) involving ServicePoint users.
- The Maine Housing System Administrators will have access to the complete list of ServicePoint users.
- In addition to ServicePoint, passwords and other security processes will be required and used for other areas of HMIS, including the reporting module and the batch upload module.
- Any paper or other hard copy generated by or for HMIS that contains identifiable information must be under constant supervision by an HMIS user or developer when in a public area.
- When staff is not present, the information shall be secured in areas that are not publicly accessible.
- Any and all printouts / hard copies of ServicePoint information must be kept in a secure file.
- When any printouts / hard copies of ServicePoint information are no longer needed they will be shredded or otherwise properly destroyed to maintain confidentiality.
- Written information, specifically pertaining to user access (user name and password) shall not be stored or displayed in any publicly accessible location.

Right to deny or restrict user access

Every user bears responsibility for assuring the proper and appropriate use of the material he or she chooses to access, store, print, send, display, or make available to others.

MaineHousing reserves the right to deny or restrict user access as a result of the user not following the rules and guidelines in this manual or for any other inappropriate use of ServicePoint / HMIS data.

Some Inappropriate use of HMIS include:
- Intentionally entering or altering HMIS records to misrepresent dates, amounts, or types of services a client or clients received.
- Unauthorized access, alteration, destruction, removal, and/or disclosure of data and/or information.
- Disclosure of confidential passwords or personal identification numbers
- Malicious or unethical use, and use that violates federal laws

User IDs and Passwords

The computer username and password is the key to a computer system. Passwords help to ensure that only authorized individuals access the HMIS. The HMIS / ServicePoint password also help to determine accountability for all transactions and other changes made to system resources, including data. Sharing a password is giving an unauthorized individual access to the system.

*The relevant authorized user(s) will be held responsible if an unauthorized individual uses their access privileges to damage the information on the system, to make unauthorized changes to the data, or to release client information.*
Rules for safe computing and User IDs and Passwords

- DO NOT share your ID or password with anyone else.
- DO NOT use someone else's ID or password. If you need more access than you presently have or if you are having problems with your access, contact the HMIS System Administrator at MaineHousing for help.
- DO NOT use obvious, trivial, or predictable passwords.
  - Obvious, predictable and trivial passwords include: names of relatives or pets; street names; days and months; repetitive characters; dictionary words; and common words such as PASSWORD, SECURITY, SECRET, etc.
- BEWARE of "shoulder surfers". These are people who stand behind you and look over your shoulder while you are keying in your password or PIN, or while you are working with confidential information.
  - DO NOT use your access level to enable other individuals to access information that they are not authorized to access, or to submit transactions that they are not authorized to submit.
- NEVER write down your passwords or post them on your terminal or other obvious places.
- ALWAYS change the initial password assigned to you by your administrator as soon as you receive it.
- LOG OFF when finished using your terminal or workstation, or if you are stepping away from your desk, even momentarily.
  - If a user will be going to be away from the office for an extended period (e.g., maternity leave or vacation), notify the System Administrator at MaineHousing to have the ID temporarily suspended. (an alternative temporary user can be appointed and trained for data entry during the absence).

ServicePoint HIPPA Compliance

Data entered into ServicePoint is stored in a HIPPA compliant data center. Methods used to insure that ServicePoint is fully compliant with HIPAA data center standards include:

- Network Security includes firewalls, certification servers, VPN access, and Operating System authentication.
- Encryption (optional) is a database level security which encrypts confidential information located in the database tables.
- Audit Trails log and report on users who have viewed, updated, or deleted client records.
- Client Record Privacy Options allow or restrict access to all or part of a client file, including individual fields (data level).
- Automatic Timeout logs a user out of the system after a specified period of idle time, thereby decreasing the potential viewing or manipulation of client data by unauthorized individuals.

Reports

Maine HMIS will provide a set of useful reports. When ServicePoint is utilized by an agency, agency-specific operational reports, including agency-level APR reports, generated with the ServicePoint System will be available.

Non-Identifiable Information and reporting
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HMIS as implemented in Maine is a system which can provide reports required by HUD, the Continuum of Care, and other stakeholders at a reporting level that does not identify individuals but can provide accurate statistical data including, numbers served, trend assessments, and non-duplicated statistical reports based on data entered into the Maine HMIS. The principal tool for this is the Homeless Initiatives Database.

**ServicePoint ART reports**

All Maine HMIS users who use ServicePoint will have access to reports created in the Business Objects based Advanced Reporting Tool. MaineHousing staff dedicated to the HMIS project will assist the agencies to work with existing ART reports and to develop and manage new needed agency-level reports. Service Point ART reports are intended to meet a broad range of intra-agency reporting needs. Agencies are encouraged to identify reporting needs to help create reports to address their internal agency needs.

Agencies that have the resources to create their own custom reports can use exported data from ServicePoint as data sources for their own custom reports. PLEASE NOTE: Any data exported or reports printed may contain personal identifying information and if so must be considered confidential and handled as such.

**State wide and Continuum of Care reporting**

Data from ServicePoint and from batch providers will be used to produce COC and State level statistical reports required by HUD and will be used in various HUD applications and reports.
Maine HMIS Overview and Procedures

**ServicePoint assessment and report customization**

Agencies may work with the MaineHousing HMIS team to create additional custom assessments in ServicePoint needed to address agency needs.

Agencies will be able request additional reports or changes to existing reports. Within the given time and resources, the HMIS team at MaineHousing will created additional reports and assessments as requested by participating agencies and as needed for reporting to COCs, HUD, and others.

**Computer Resources**

Maine’s HMIS ServicePoint implementation is web based. Agencies with minimal computer resources can effectively use ServicePoint.

**Participating Agency Hardware and Software Requirements**

Use of ServicePoint will require agencies to have a minimum of one Personal Computer running a current version of Microsoft Windows or Apple OSX, A current web browser (FireFox or Internet Explorer), and (optionally) one printer. Use of some advanced features in the ServicePoint Advanced Reporting Tool may require installing a specific version of the Sun Java Runtime.

Agencies who are maintaining their own client database system will need to have the ability collect and store data for the HUD universal and program specific data elements and to export data in a format which can be batch uploaded to the Maine HMIS system and or ServicePoint.

**Participating Agency internet access requirements**

ServicePoint is a web based solution. Use of ServicePoint will require agencies to have reliable Internet access. High-speed internet access (such as DSL or cable broadband) will be more satisfactory than ‘dial-up’ access but ServicePoint can be used with ‘dial-up’ access. Agencies submitting data to HMIS by batch upload will require reliable high-speed Internet access.

**Maine HMIS computing assistance**

Maine’s HMIS is committed to assisting agencies, where we can, with hardware and communication procurement and with installation of software. This assistance is for the primary purpose of assisting the agency in accessing and using the Maine HMIS ServicePoint and contributing data to the Maine HMIS.

Maine HMIS may from time-to-time, under special circumstances, provide other hardware, connectivity, or technical assistance.

Onsite test of hardware and connection to the Maine HMIS ServicePoint application over the Internet plus general phone support regarding access to ServicePoint may also be provided when deemed appropriate.
Technical Support

Problems may be reported and questions asked 24 hours a day using the Help form at http://mainehmis.org/help-request-form/. Problems or questions submitted using the help form will be addressed by the next available HMIS staff person. The MaineHousing HMIS staff will be available most work days from 9-4 to provide telephone or email “Help Desk” assistance regarding ServicePoint usage. Where problems are identified specific to the ServicePoint software which cannot be resolved at the Maine HMIS level, and requires intervention by Bowman Systems, the HMIS Administrator will provide the interface with Bowman Systems and follow any such issue through to resolution.

Participating agencies are responsible for providing their own technical support for all hardware and software systems used to connect to HMIS and for maintaining internet access (preferably a high speed internet account). Internet connection difficulties will need to be managed between the agency and their Internet Service Provider.

Maine HMIS Training

Formal support and training regarding the use of ServicePoint will be made available periodically. This support will be offered in various formats including onsite, classroom style, and internet delivered. Other trainings will be developed and presented as needed.

E-mail Communications

MaineHousing HMIS staff will use e-mail and the MaineHmis.org web site to share information, announce training opportunities, and make HMIS users aware of HMIS related information. HMIS users should be sure that MaineHousing HMIS staff have up-to-date e-mail address to assure that they get all relevant HMIS communications.

Maine HMIS and the HUD Elements

Minimum Required Data Collection, The HUD Universal Data Elements.

Each agency is responsible for the data quality and completeness of the data they enter into HMIS. Each agency (with the exception of those serving domestic violence victims) is required to make every effort to collect complete and accurate client reported data for each of the items listed as part of the HUD Universal Data Elements listed in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HUD Universal Data Elements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Birth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity and Race</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veteran Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabling Condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence Prior to Program Entry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Entry Date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The HUD Program-Specific Data Elements

The HUD Program-Specific Data Elements are required for the HUD APR. Every effort should be made to collect this information, as appropriate, for each client served.

ServicePoint includes many other modules which may be useful to some organizations. Agencies may use those ServicePoint tools and are welcome to collect additional information beyond the HUD Universal Data Elements for the own internal purposes.

### Quality Control

Data from the Maine HMIS will be used to document regional, continuum of care and statewide needs. Data from the Maine HMIS will also be used to document services provided to the homeless. The Maine HMIS will provide statistics and outcome measures for presentation to HUD, other funders, and stakeholders.

**For Maine HMIS to be able to provide accurate timely information, data must be regularly, completely, and accurately entered into the Maine HMIS system. Assuring that data entered is entered is complete and accurate is the responsibility of the providers collecting and entering the data.**

### Data Integrity
HMIS users at the participating agencies are responsible for the accuracy, correctness, and timeliness of their data entry and are responsible for ensuring that the HUD Universal Data Elements and that appropriate HUD Program Specific Data elements are being collected.

**Data Integrity Expectations**

- Data entry into ServicePoint must take place, as soon after the entry or change in data as possible. Data should entered or updated at minimum, within the week of entry or change in data.
- The ServicePoint data for ART reporting will be updated overnight every night. Data changed today will be available tomorrow for reporting in ART

**Data Loading**

**Loading data into ServicePoint from other databases**

Under some circumstances, MaineHousing HMIS staff will work with individual agencies as needed to provide a mechanism to do a one-time load of historic client data from existing agency systems into the Bowman ServicePoint system. This process may have additional costs.

**Data Availability and Disaster Recovery**

In the event of a disaster impacting some of Maine and or the MaineHousing offices, ServicePoint access and availability is unlikely to be impacted. ServicePoint itself is located outside the state and maintains data in a secure fall back location in separate part of the US. Access to ServicePoint requires internet access so any disaster which impacts internet access for some or all of the Maine’s ServicePoint users will render ServicePoint unreachable by them until that internet interruption is resolved.

Should a disaster impact the MaineHousing office, The Maine Housing disaster recovery plan calls for the Maine HMIS Help desk and support functions to be able to be running within a week.
Addendum - Maine HMIS Forms and Use Guides

A. Agency Participation Agreement
B. Agency Information Form
C. Annual Housing Inventory data update form
D. User Policy and Agreement
E. Maine HMIS Procedures Manual
F. Data Sharing Agreement
G. Authorization for Disclosure of Health and/or Personal Information

Sample HMIS related forms are available from the HUD sponsored HMIS information site http://www.mainehmis.org
MaineHousing Housing Choice Voucher Administrative Plan

Chapter 4
APPLICATIONS, WAITING LIST AND TENANT SELECTION

4-III.C. SELECTION METHOD

PHAs must describe the method for selecting applicant families from the waiting list, including the system of admission preferences that the PHA will use [24 CFR 982.202(d)].

Local Preferences [24 CFR 982.207; HCV p. 4-16]

PHAs are permitted to establish local preferences, and to give priority to serving families that meet those criteria. HUD specifically authorizes and places restrictions on certain types of local preferences. HUD also permits the PHA to establish other local preferences, at its discretion. Any local preferences established must be consistent with the PHA plan and the consolidated plan, and must be based on local housing needs and priorities that can be documented by generally accepted data sources.

MaineHousing Policy

It is MaineHousing policy that a priority and/or preference, as well as date and time of the application, establish placement position on a waiting list. Families who have also applied for Project Based Vouchers will be selected according to Chapter 17.

Priority and Preference Admissions

1. Priority
   a. MaineHousing will offer a priority to any family that has been terminated from the HCV program due to insufficient program funding.
   b. Home to Stay Program

MaineHousing will admit an applicant family to the HCV program before all other applicants on the waiting list if the applicant family:

i. qualifies for MaineHousing’s jurisdiction preference, See 4-III. C. 2. a.

ii. is homeless, and

iii. is participating in the Home to Stay program to address the rehousing and stabilization needs of the homeless.

MaineHousing will provide no more than 100 tenant based housing vouchers to qualified participants in this program.
MaineHousing will provide regular vouchers to participants in this program who no longer require stabilization services.

c. MaineHousing will offer a priority to any family that has been referred to the HCV program if the applicant family:

   i. Qualifies for the MaineHousing’s jurisdiction preference, See 4-III.C.2.a.
   
   ii. Is homeless, and

   iii. Is referred by a homeless shelter or domestic violence provider in Maine.

   iv. Referrals will be awarded preference using MaineHousing’s preferences, see 4-III.C.2

Each year, MaineHousing will set aside 50% of available funding for undedicated vouchers for qualified participants referred in this priority category.

Homeless is defined as:

   i. An individual or family who lacks a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence, meaning:

   ii. An individual or family with a primary nighttime residence that is a public or private place not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings, including a car, park, abandoned building, bus or train station, airport or camping ground;

   iii. An individual or family living in a supervised publicly or privately operated shelter designated to provide temporary living arrangements (including hotels and motels paid for by federal, state or local government programs for low-income individuals or by charitable organizations, congregate shelters, and transitional housing of 24 months or less);

   iv. An individual who is exiting an institution where he or she resided for 90 days or less and who resided in an emergency shelter or place not meant for human habitation immediately before entering that institution.

   v. Any individual or family who:
1) Is fleeing, or is attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, or other dangerous or life-threatening conditions that relate to violence against the individual or family member, including a child, that has either taken place within the individual’s or family’s primary nighttime residence or has made the individual or family afraid to return to their primary nighttime residence;

2) Has no other residence; and

3) Lacks the resources or support networks, e.g., family, friends, faith-based or other social networks, to obtain other permanent housing.

If an individual or family is homeless, to qualify for a MaineHousing residency preference, the individual or family must have had a permanent residence in MaineHousing’s jurisdiction within 6 months prior to becoming homeless.

2. Preferences

MaineHousing gives preference to an applicant family on the waiting list if they qualify for one or more of the preference categories listed below. Preference points are cumulative and are added to the applicant family’s priority points (if any) to determine an applicant family’s position on the waiting list.

a. MaineHousing will offer a residency preference to individuals or families who reside in MaineHousing’s jurisdiction or include a family member who works or has been hired to work in MaineHousing’s jurisdiction. MaineHousing’s jurisdiction is defined as any municipality or unorganized part of the State that does not have an established local public housing authority. MaineHousing jurisdiction includes those municipalities or unorganized areas that are contiguous to municipalities with local public housing authorities.

b. Residency preference will be applied to the waiting list for the county in which the applicant resides or works.

A “residency” preference is for a family that resides in a specified geographic area, or includes a family member who works, or has been notified that they are hired to work, in that geographic area. The preference cannot have the effect of disproportionately delaying or denying assistance to members of protected classes. A residency requirement is prohibited.

c. MaineHousing will offer a preference for veterans.
d. MaineHousing will offer a preference for elderly Families 62+, and/or Disabled Families, and Families of two or more persons.

**Point System**

The points given each preference are listed below. A family may receive points for more than one preference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preferences</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Living or Working in Maine, Elderly, Disabled, or Family of Two or More</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living or Working in Maine, Single</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living or Working in MaineHousing jurisdiction</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veteran</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Income Targeting Requirement [24 CFR 982.201(b)(2)]**

HUD requires that extremely low-income (ELI) families make up at least 75 percent of the families admitted to the HCV program during the PHA’s fiscal year. ELI families are those with annual incomes at or below the federal poverty level or 30 percent of the area median income, whichever number is higher. To ensure this requirement is met, a PHA may skip non-ELI families on the waiting list in order to select an ELI family.

Low-income families admitted to the program that are “continuously assisted” under the 1937 Housing Act [24 CFR 982.4(b)], as well as low-income or moderate-income families admitted to the program that are displaced as a result of the prepayment of the mortgage or voluntary termination of an insurance contract on eligible low-income housing, are not counted for income targeting purposes [24 CFR 982.201(b)(2)(v)].

**MaineHousing Policy**

MaineHousing will monitor progress in meeting the income targeting requirement throughout the fiscal year. Extremely low-income families will be selected ahead of other eligible families on an as-needed basis to ensure the income targeting requirement is met.

**Order of Selection**

The PHA system of preferences may select families based on local preferences according to the date and time of application, or by a random selection process (lottery) [24 CFR 982.207(c)]. If PHA does not have enough funding to assist the family at the top of the waiting list, it is not
permitted to skip down the waiting list to a family that it can afford to subsidize when there are not sufficient funds to subsidize the family at the top of the waiting list [24 CFR 982.204(d) and (e)].

**MaineHousing Policy**

Families will be selected from the waiting list based on the targeted funding or selection preference(s) for which they qualify, and in accordance with MaineHousing’s hierarchy of preferences, if applicable. Within each targeted funding or preference category, families will be selected on a first-come, first-served basis according to the date and time their complete application is received by MaineHousing. Documentation will be maintained by MaineHousing as to whether families on the list qualify for and are interested in targeted funding. If a higher placed family on the waiting list is not qualified or not interested in targeted funding, there will be a notation maintained so that MaineHousing does not have to ask higher placed families each time targeted selections are made.
24.4 Occupancy - How Participants Are Selected

LHA will use a separate waiting list for admission to PBV units. LHA may establish criteria for the occupancy of particular project based units depending on such factors as accessibility features or supportive services available at particular PBV units and the need of families for those features or services. Applicant families will be assigned preferences based on the same criteria as for the tenant based voucher program. Except as provided below, LHA will screen applicant families for suitability only to the extent that families in the tenant based voucher program are screened by LHA.

Accessible units will be first offered to families who may benefit from the accessible features. Applicants for these units will be selected utilizing the same preference systems as outlined above. If there are no applicants who would benefit from the accessible features, the units will be offered to other applicants in the order that their names come to the top of the waiting list. Such applicants, however, must sign a lease addendum stating they will accept a transfer (at their own expense) if at a future time, a family requiring an accessible feature applies.

**Birch Hill Senior Housing:** Five of the ten PBV units are excepted units and must be occupied by elderly families, i.e. families whose head, spouse, or sole member is age 62 or older. The owner has designated this housing development as housing for older persons who are 55 years of age or older (24 CFR 100.304).

**Bates Street Senior Housing:** The owner has designated this housing development as housing for older persons who are 62 years of age or older (24 CFR 100.303).

**Blake Street Family Apartments:** Applicants to this development must be homeless at time of admission. The six PBV units are “excepted units” and may only be occupied by “qualifying families” as defined in Section 25.3 above. In this development, the qualifying supportive service is Lewiston Housing Authority’s Family Self-Sufficiency Program. To be admitted to an excepted unit, and as a condition of continued assistance, at least one family member must participate in LHA’s FSS Program. If a family member successfully completes the program, the unit continues to count as an excepted unit for as long as the family resides in the unit. If a family (or the remaining members of a family) no longer meets the criteria for a qualifying family (e.g. a family that does not successfully complete the FSS contract of participation), the family must vacate the unit within ninety days, and LHA will cease paying housing assistance payments on behalf of the non-qualifying family. If the family does not vacate the unit within ninety days, the unit must be removed from the HAP contract or the HAP contract may be amended in accordance with 24 CFR 983.206(a) to substitute a different unit.
Blank
3. Standard Replacement Housing
   a. In order to receive the displacement preference, applicants who have been displaced must not be living in "standard, permanent replacement housing."

   i. Standard replacement housing is defined as housing that is decent, safe and sanitary according to Housing Quality Standards, that is adequate for the family size according to Housing Quality Standards and HA Occupancy criteria, and that the family is occupying pursuant to a written or oral lease or occupancy agreement.

   1. Standard replacement housing does not include transient facilities, hotels, motels, or temporary shelters.

4. Displacement does not include any individual imprisoned or detained pursuant to State Law or an Act of Congress.

   iv. Treatment of Single Applicants

   1. All families with children, elderly families and disabled families will have an admission preference over "Other Singles".

   v. Singles Preference

   1. Definition of Singles Preference: Single applicants who are elderly, disabled, homeless or displaced will be given a selection priority over all "Other Single" applicants regardless of preference status.

D. ORDER OF SELECTION FOR GENERAL OCCUPANCY (FAMILY) DEVELOPMENTS

1. The HA has established local admissions preferences for general occupancy (family) developments (see Local Preferences).

2. Points will be given for each local preference the family qualifies for. Families will be selected based on their number of preference points. Among applicants with equal preference points, the waiting list will be organized by date and time.

E. ORDER OF SELECTION FOR MIXED POPULATION DEVELOPMENTS

1. A mixed population project is a public housing project, or portion of a project that was reserved for elderly families and disabled families at its inception (and has retained that character).

2. In accordance with the 1992 Housing Act, elderly families whose head spouse or sole member is at least 62 years of age, and disabled families whose head, co-head or spouse or sole member is a person with disabilities, will receive equal preference to such units.

3. No limit will be established on the number of elderly or disabled families that may occupy a mixed population property. All other HA preferences will be applied.

4. The HA has established Local Preferences for waiting lists. Per HUD regulations, equal preference must be given to Elderly Families and Disabled Families:

5. Equal points will be given for each local preference the family qualifies for. Families will be selected based
Verification of a preference:
1. copy of lease
2. mail, etc. (bill)
3. license
4. Enrollment or discharge papers - Veteran’s Preference
5. Proof of residency in the affected area.

6.2 SPECIAL HOUSING TYPE: SET-ASIDE VOUCHERS WITH SUPPORTIVE SERVICES FOR CHRONICALLY HOMELESS

The SOUTH PORTLAND Housing Authority and Preble Street, Preble Street being the lead agency, have entered into an agreement for the creation of a Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Set-Aside for Chronically Homeless, (hereafter “the Program”).

The goal of the Program is to pair two (2) HCV subsidies with supportive services to provide permanent supportive housing for eligible individuals. The SOUTH PORTLAND Housing Authority shall provide the housing subsidies and Preble Street shall provide the supportive services. The subsidies shall be funded through the current HCV ACC of the SOUTH PORTLAND Housing Authority with the Department of Housing and Urban Development. Preble Street shall affirmatively further fair housing in identifying chronically homeless who are eligible for the Housing Choice Voucher Set-Aside and who are in need of the supportive services provided by Preble Street.

Regulations set forth in this Administrative Plan for Housing Choice Vouchers apply. The following define certain considerations for these applicants/participants give the barriers to housing they face and the need for supportive services to gain stable and affordable housing. The SOUTH PORTLAND Housing Authority and Preble Street will receive releases from the applicants/participants to share information about the applicant/participant as it applies to the applicants/participants initial or continued eligibility for the Program.

A. Identification of Applicants

Any person who claims to qualify for the preference – Chronically Homeless – must have that verified by Preble Street. This verification will be provided to the SOUTH PORTLAND Housing Authority when the applicant is selected from the waiting list. Supportive Services staff will assist the applicants with applications, paperwork and verifications, and ensure that they are complete prior to submission.

B. Eligibility/Denial of Assistance

Most regular HCV program screening will apply. However, the SOUTH PORTLAND Housing Authority may take into consideration mitigating circumstances caused by the chronic homelessness and/or disability of the application that, with the benefit of stable, affordable housing and other supportive services, may reduce or eliminate such behaviors or activities in the future.
A. Families with minors or dependents; Elderly Families (Head of Household, Co-Head, or Spouse is 62 years of age or older); Disabled Families (Head of Household, Co-Head, or Spouse is disabled).

B. Residency Preference – Families who reside in South Portland or include a family member who works or has been hired to work in South Portland. The residency preference will not have the purpose or effect of delaying or otherwise denying admission to the program based on the race, color, ethnic origin, gender, religion, disability, sexual orientation, or age of any member of an applicant family.

C. Veteran Preference – Persons who served in the active military, naval, or air service and who were discharged or released from such service under conditions other than dishonorable.

D. Victims of a federally declared natural disaster – Persons displaced by natural or national disaster.

Points will be given for each preference the family qualifies for. Families will be selected based on their number of preference points. Among applicants with equal preference points, the waiting list will be organized by date and time.

Preferences points are given as follows:
- 10 pts. - Families with minors or dependents; Elderly families; Disabled families
- 3 pts. - Residency Preference
- 1 pt. - Veteran Preference
- 1 pt. - Victims of a federally declared natural disaster.

The SOUTH PORTLAND Housing Authority also has a Chronically Homeless Preference which is an exception to the process described above. The Housing Authority has set aside two (2) vouchers for this preference. If one of these two vouchers is available, it will go to the first eligible family on the list (by date and time) that qualifies for this preference, regardless of any other preferences the family may qualify for. If there are no families on the list that qualify for as Chronically Homeless, the voucher will be offered in the usual way and when an eligible Chronically Homeless family applies, it will receive the next available voucher. If no set-aside vouchers are available, a family that is Chronically Homeless is still eligible to be selected in the usual way.

Chronically Homeless Preference (for Set-Aside) - An unaccompanied homeless individual or family with a disabling condition who has been continuously homeless for a year or more, or has had at least four (4) episodes of homelessness in the past three (3) years. To be considered chronically homeless, a person must have been sleeping in a place not meant for habitation (i.e. living on the streets) and/or been in a Portland area emergency shelter during that time.
Article 1: Purpose:
The purpose of Joint CoC Governance Charter is to outline the roles, responsibilities, relationship, and authorities of the Maine Continuums of Care, the Maine HMIS Lead Agency, the HMIS Program, all participating Covered Homeless Organizations (CHOs) and other participants to ensure the operation of and consistent participation in the HMIS for the purpose of making planning and funding decisions through use of targeted, quality data on the characteristics and service utilization of persons accessing Maine’s homeless service system.

Article 2: Definitions:
Continuum of Care: The local planning entity for homeless programming and service delivery. Maine has two CoCs. The City of Portland CoC, which covers the city of Portland, and the Maine Continuum of care which cover the remainder of the state. Where “the CoCs” or “CoC” is used in this document it is in reference to one or both Continuum of Care.

HMIS Lead: The organization designated by the Continuums of Care to manage and administer the state wide HMIS software implementation. The HMIS Lead Agency, acknowledged and authorized jointly by the CoCs is Maine State Housing Authority. The HMIS is managed by the designated HMIS Lead Agency. The Maine HMIS covers both the City of Portland CoC and the Maine Continuum of Care.

Covered Homeless Organization (CHO): Any organization that records, uses, or processes protected personal information (PPI) in HMIS.

Article 3: Organization
A. The City of Portland CoC and the Maine CoC agree to jointly establish a statewide Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) by designating a single HMIS Lead Agency, hereinafter referred to as “the HMIS Lead”, and designating a single information system complying with the applicable HUD requirements to be the HMIS software system;

B. The City of Portland CoC and the Maine CoC will enter into an executed agreement that outlines the roles and responsibilities of each CoC, including but not limited to, oversight of HMIS, funding of HMIS, considerations for separation of agreement, and CHO participation;

C. The Continuums of Care, appointed HMIS Lead, and CHO will be subject to all HUD requirements and shall be governed by the following governance, upon signature of Continuum designee and the HMIS Lead Designee;

D. The Continuums of Care will jointly manage the HMIS through the HMIS Advisory Committee (HAC). The HMIS Advisory Committee will be made up of an equal number of designated committee members from both CoCs and a representative from the HMIS Lead. The HMIS Advisory Committee will establish committee rules regarding meeting frequency, tenure of members, and decision making processes. To facilitate the requirement of consistent data quality, privacy, security, business continuity, and governance, the HAC is responsible for developing and presenting HMIS related recommendations to both CoC’s for CoC approval.
E. All CHOs and other participants of Maine’s HMIS shall be governed by the Governance Charter and must meet minimum standards as ratified by the CoCs and included in HMIS policies and procedures.

F. Each CoC agrees in this charter to comply with all procedures and policies required to comply with HUD rules regarding CoC governance including, HMIS requirements as prescribed by HUD and a code of conduct and recusal process for their respective boards, chairs, and anyone acting on behalf of their respective boards. The code of conduct and recusal process is as follows: if at any time there is a conflict of interest whereby an organization or individual will have a direct interest in the funding, scoring, ranking, or policy decision making, then that organization, representative of the organization or individual will recuse themselves for the process in order to mitigate any perceived conflict of interest. The recusal may be oral or in writing. In addition, the CoC may request an organization, representative of organization or individual to recuse themselves from any activities. The CoC may also elect to develop a subcommittee consisting of members or individuals with no direct interest to make recommendations regarding funding, scoring, ranking or policy decision.

G. The CoCs and the HMIS Lead agree to comply with HUD regulations and HUD guidance. If there is a conflict or a question of interpretation, HUD regulations and HUD guidance will control this HMIS charter.

**Article 4: Relationship between Parties**

The relationship between the various parties involved in the Maine HMIS is complex and multifaceted. The relationship established by this governance document defines the authoritative relationships between entities, not the day-to-day operational or technical assistance relationships that occur as a matter of utilization of the software (such as customer feedback, helpdesk, training and reporting interactions between the HMIS Lead and CHOs). The two CoCs will interact on HMIS related activities through the use of the HMIS Advisory Committee, who will act as the entity authorized to coordinate HMIS activities for both CoCs and the HMIS Lead.

**Continuums of Care:** The two Maine Continuums of Care will jointly establish and operate a single, statewide HMIS and will designate a single HMIS Lead Agency to facilitate its operation. The Continuums of Care will designate members to participate in the HMIS Advisory Committee and will ensure that CHOs within their jurisdictions are compliant with HMIS rules and standards. The Continuums of Care will facilitate financial support sufficient to operate the HMIS and will establish HMIS Lead Agency authority as outlined in any HMIS related regulations, notices, or guidance provided by HUD and/or other federal funding streams requiring HMIS utilization, as appropriate. The Continuums will address and approve HMIS related items in a timely manner and as recommended by the HMIS Advisory Committee. The Continuums will conduct HMIS Lead monitoring through a common monitoring tool.

**HMIS Advisory Committee:** The HMIS Advisory Committee will act as the conduit for the Continuums of Care to jointly operate and manage the HMIS. It will work directly with the HMIS Lead to establish required functionality, standards, plans, policies, and procedures and will ensure timely approval of HMIS related needs by the two Continuums of Care. The HAC will assess and develop resolutions to HMIS related activities and requirements for recommendation to the CoCs for approval, assist and make recommendations to the Continuums of Care for appropriate HMIS project performance measures, and generally ensure that the HMIS is in compliance with all applicable regulations, including the annual review of all required plans, standards, policies and procedures.
Covered Homeless Organizations (CHOs): The CHOs will participate in and comply with HMIS related standards, plans, policies, procedures, and activities as authorized by the CoCs through the HMIS Advisory Committee and as implemented by the HMIS Lead Agency. CHOs will present feedback and concerns to the HAC for assessment and resolution.
Article 5: Responsibilities:

A. Continuums of Care:

1. Jointly designate a single HMIS application for the collective geographic region covered by the CoCs;
2. Jointly designate a single, eligible applicant to manage the Continuums’ HMIS, which will be known as the HMIS Lead; enter into a formal agreement that itemizes the CoC and HMIS Lead roles, consistent with HUD policy and this HMIS Governance Charter.
3. Establish a funding structure across multiple funding opportunities to ensure adequate funding to ensure the ongoing and uninterrupted operation and management of the HMIS, which may include grants, match development, and a fee structure for individual agencies and services.
4. Establish the maximum annual per license cost for participation in HMIS that the HMIS Lead Agency can charge is $1500. This cost may be waived or reduced at the discretion of the HMIS Lead Agency.
5. Commit to supporting, and ensuring management of HMIS in a manner that meets HUD’s standards for data quality, privacy, and security.
6. Review, revise, and approve a privacy plan, security plan, and data quality plan for the HMIS.
7. Ensure consistent participation of recipients and sub-recipients in the HMIS.
8. Ensure that the HMIS is administered in compliance with the requirements prescribed by HUD.
9. Ensure that the HMIS executes written agreements with each CHO and/or participating organization requiring HMIS compliance.
10. Require CHO’s to be in compliance with HMIS data quality, privacy and security. Develop, implement, monitor, and enforce sanctions for CHO failure to comply with HMIS.
11. Review, revise, and approve all HMIS related policies and plans. Ensure HMIS policies are implemented appropriately and consistently.
12. Develop, conduct, and document an annual compliance monitoring of the HMIS Lead on HMIS related policies and procedures.

Planning and Software Selection

13. **HMIS Planning and Strategic Activities** Ensures that activities related to HMIS growth and uses are developed, reviewed regularly, and in accordance with the CoC’s goals through approval of planning of activities, auditing and monitoring, and oversight.
14. **HMIS Program Milestones Development** Identifies general milestones for project management, including training, expanded system functionality, etc via approval and request role.
15. **APR Reporting** Ensures the HMIS is consistently able to produce a reliable APR through monitoring and enforcement; oversight; reminders/notifications of APR schedule and verification of submission; monitoring / priority committee review before submission.
16. **HMIS Reports** Ensures the HMIS generates other client served, utilization summary, and demographic reports both at the system and program levels for purposes of understanding the nature and extent of homelessness in the CoC through guidance on type of reports; regular review of CoC requested reports.
17. **HMIS Governance Structure** Ensures HMIS governance is developed and formally documented between the HMIS Lead Agency/grantee and the CoC. Ensures that a formal agreement that outlines management processes, responsibilities, decision-making structures, and oversight of the HMIS project has been executed (as evidence by a Memorandum of Understanding, Letter of Agreement, or similar such documentation). Regularly monitors and enforces the HMIS compliance to the agreement; ensures annual review of governance.

18. **Separation of Services:** The CoCs will develop and keep current a business contingency plan that addresses separation or termination of services by either CoC or the HMIS Lead Agency, including a succession and transition process. The Separation of Services Contingency Plan will include operational and financial considerations for separation and/or transition, including in the event of a change in HMIS software.

19. **HMIS Technical Oversight:** Provides technical expertise commensurate with the general HMIS program oversight; provides timely support on high level matters; reviews and authorizes HMIS Software changes in response to the changing requirements of participating agencies; and, generally reviews and authorizes special issues brought to it by participating agencies.

20. **HMIS User Feedback** Manage and maintain mechanisms for soliciting, collecting, and analyzing feedback from end users, program managers, agency executive directors, and homeless persons. Feedback includes impressions of operational milestones and progress, system functionality, and general HMIS operations. Examples of feedback include satisfaction surveys, questionnaires, and focus groups. Based on feedback and recommendations from the HAC, the CoCs will approve any resultant changes to HMIS Strategic Plan.

**HMIS Management and Operations - Compliance Monitoring**

21. **Agency and Program HMIS Participation** Ensures that HMIS program participation meets the minimum requirements to participate in HUD and other federal required reports and to accommodate adequate data for analysis for local community planning and program development.
   - Develops community relationships, buy in, and participation of non-HUD funded programs;
   - Ensures HUD funded (non DV) are actively participating in HMIS and meeting HMIS requirements by establishing an HMIS performance based component to application scoring
   - Ensures that programs officially designated as Domestic Violence programs are not entering data directly into HMIS and that the programs are utilizing a HMIS comparable database and are compliance with all HMIS regulations, notices, and guidance.
   - Ensures that programs providing legal services do not enter data into HMIS that would jeopardize the attorney-client privilege.

22. **Client Consent** Approve/establish privacy, release of information, and consent protocols. Ensure the completion and documentation of client consent, as appropriate with the CoC’s Client Consent Policies and Protocols established in the HMIS Privacy Plan.
23. **Data and System Security**: Approves, establishes and ensures adherence by agency staff with the HMIS data and system security protocols as outlined by the CoC and the HUD HMIS Data and Technical Standards and as established by the HMIS Security Plan.


HMIS Policy Development and Oversight

25. **Client Confidentiality and Privacy Training**: Designates mandatory vs. non-mandatory trainings; establish enforcement protocols.


27. **Agency Participation Agreement**: Ensures the HMIS Lead Agency maintains written agreements with participating agencies that describes the protocols and expectations for participation in the HMIS.

**B. HMIS Lead:**

1. Ensure the reliability, integrity, and availability of the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS).
2. Develop written policies and procedures for HMIS utilization, including standards and plans for data quality, privacy, security, and business continuity.
3. Execute and maintain HMIS participation agreements with each CHO which require the CHO to comply with all applicable rules and requirements and outlines that sanctions may be imposed for failure to comply.
4. Work with the CoCs to impose sanctions, where necessary, for CHO that fail to comply with applicable rules.
5. Execute and maintain HMIS End User Agreements with each person accessing the HMIS.
6. Serve as the applicant to HUD for all HMIS grants covering the joint CoC geographic area
7. Monitors HMIS program milestones, notes variances, and reports variances to CoC membership via monitoring, enforcement, and oversight policies and procedures.
8. Provides regular training on client confidentiality and privacy requirements to intake staff, data entry staff and reporting staff at participating agencies. Ensures all agencies have sufficient privacy policies and protocols in place.
9. Monitor, and report to the CoC, compliance by all CHO that are members of the CoC. Work with agencies to achieve compliance. Provide recommendations toward ensuring or re-establishing compliance by all CHO to the CoC Steering Committee for approval, if further action is necessary (CHO remains non-compliant)
10. Develop project milestones, including management, CoC-approved training, and expanding system functionalities. Work with CoC on creating milestones. Report regularly to CoC on progress.
11. Provide a consistent HMIS staff representative to participate in regular meetings of the CoC to facilitate HMIS reporting.
12. Develop and make available to the CoCs, a Business Plan to include staffing structures and finances/budget.
13. HMIS Issue Tracking (Community Level) Regular review of HMIS service request activities and develop a tracking system to provide authoritative support when necessary to expedite issue resolution and tracking abilities.
Software Compliance

14. Universal Data Elements – Ensures that the HMIS is able to manage the collection of each data variable and corresponding response categories for the Universal Data Elements as outlined in the HMIS Data and Technical Standards.

15. Program-Specific Data Elements – Ensures that the HMIS is able to manage the collection of each data variable and corresponding response categories for the Program-specific data elements as outlined in the HMIS Data and Technical Standards.

16. Unduplicated Client Records - Ensures the HMIS is able to generate a summary report of the number of unduplicated client records that have been entered into the HMIS.

17. APR Reporting - Ensures HMIS functionality to generate report; reminders/notification of APR schedule; helpdesk assistance for error correction

18. HMIS Reports – Ensures the HMIS can produce reports, as requested by the CoC.

HMIS Management and Operations - Governance and Management

19. HMIS Technical Support - Initiate and implement HMIS strategic and associated Plans; provide updates on software specific changes/fixes needed.

20. HMIS Issue Tracking - Maintains a list of Software provider HMIS system service requests, activities, deliverables, and resolutions. Reports to CoC on any request status

21. HMIS Issue Monitoring (Community Level) – Maintains a list of CHO service requests, activities, deliverables and resolutions. Reports to CoC on request status

22. HMIS Software Technical Support – Provides technical expertise commensurate with the requirements of the HMIS software and/or system; provides timely support on software technical matters; is responsible for implementation of authorized changes to the HMIS software and processes; and, generally implements resolutions to any special issues authorized by the CoC within the software and/or overall system.

23. HMIS Staff Organization Chart – Maintains a current and accurate organization chart that clearly identifies all team members, roles and responsibilities, and general work activities/functions. Organization chart is available for review.

24. HMIS Software Training - provides regular training on software usage, software and data security, and data entry techniques to participating agencies. Develops, updates, and disseminates data entry tools and training materials.

25. HMIS User Feedback – Develop and implement an annual survey to gather User feedback; reporting on results to the CoC

26. System Operation and Maintenance - Responsible for the day to day operation and maintain of the HMIS System. Monitors and ensures system and data security.

HMIS Management and Operations - Data Quality

27. Data Quality Standards – In conjunction with the HMIS Advisory Committee, develop, implement, and monitor a Data Quality Standard and Compliance Plan on behalf of the Continuums of Care.

28. Data Quality Reports - Regularly disseminate data quality reports to the CHOs that indicate program levels of data entry completion, consistency and timeliness as compared to the data quality standards.

29. Data Quality Technical Assistance – Provides technical assistance and training in response to data quality reports disseminated to assist CHOs with compliance to the data quality standards.
30. Data Quality Reporting (CoC Level) - Regularly reviews and reports on CoC level data quality as compared to the data quality standard. Recommend action as necessary.

HMIS Policy Development and Oversight

31. Client Confidentiality and Privacy – Develop and provide regular training on client confidentiality and privacy requirements to intake, data entry, and reporting staff. Develop and conduct monitoring policies and procedures to insure all CHO’s have compliant privacy policies and protocols in place.

32. Training - Develop and conduct training within established training policies.

33. Policies and Procedures - Ensures the existence of and compliance to HMIS Policies and Procedures. In conjunction with the HMIS Advisory Committee, conducts an annual review and update of HMIS policies, procedures, and plans.

34. Agency Participation Agreement – Ensures annual review of written agreements with CHO’s to ensure ongoing applicability to current HMIS regulations, notices, and guidance.

35. Data Sharing Agreements – Ensures existence of and maintains a copy of written agreements between participating agencies who share client level data that describes the level of data element or program information sharing among the data sharing HMIS agencies, protocols for ensuring client privacy and confidentiality, protocols for termination of agreement, and business rules on data discrepancy resolution.

36. HMIS End-User Agreement – Ensures annual reauthorization of written agreement with each authorized user of the HMIS that defines participation protocols, including training requirements, consent protocols, system use, and privacy and security standards.

C. **Covered Homeless Organization (CHO):** The primary responsibility of the CHO is to actively participate in the HMIS and comply with all HMIS related requirements, standards, plans, and expectations.

1. Sign and comply with HMIS Participation Agreement
2. Ensure that all CHO End Users have a current and valid HMIS End User Agreement on file with the HMIS Lead Agency
3. Ensure that all CHO staff conducting HMIS data collection, entry, and reporting are operating within the established privacy, security, data quality, and business continuity plans.
4. Ensure that all CHO staff are utilizing the HMIS in accordance with the HMIS Participating Agency Agreement and End User Agreement
5. Establish and enforce human resource compliance protocols to investigate and discipline CHO staff that are not in compliance with the HMIS Participating Agency and/or End User Agreements.
6. Work with HMIS Lead to ensure the HMIS processing capabilities remain consistent with the privacy obligations of the CHO
7. APR and AHAR Reporting – Ensure existence and reliability of CHO data required to generate and submit an accurate APR and to ensure participation in the AHAR.
8. HMIS Reports - Ensure existence and reliability of CHO data required to generate CoC desired reports.
9. HMIS Issue Monitoring (Program Level)- Report issues in a timely fashion; work with HMIS to resolve.
10. HMIS Software Training- Ensures that staff collecting and inputting data into HMIS attend all mandated trainings.
11. HMIS User Feedback- Required to complete the survey
12. Agency and Program HMIS Participation- engage and foster relationships with other non-HUD funded programs to increase participation in HMIS and the CoC.
13. AHAR- engage and foster relationships with other non-HUD funded programs to increase participation in HMIS and the CoC.
14. Client Consent- Adhere to the Privacy Plan
15. Data and System Security- Adhere to the Security Plan
16. Data Quality Standards- adhere to data quality standards
17. Data Quality Reports- Review and correction of data within DQ Standard timelines
18. HMIS Security Standards- Designates a middle or upper management staff to fulfill security officer role
19. Background checks – conduct background checks on staff accessing Personal Protected Information collected, managed, input, or analyzed for HMIS as established by HUD.
20. Client Confidentiality and Privacy Training - participate in trainings and request specialized training based on program/community-identified needs
21. Data Sharing Agreements – Ensures and maintains written agreements with participating agencies who share client level data that describes the level of data element or program information sharing among the data sharing HMIS agencies.
22. HMIS End-User Agreement – Ensures and maintains a written agreement with each authorized user of the HMIS that defines participation protocols, including training criteria, consent protocols, system use, and privacy and security standards.
23. Data Release- Programs owns and accounts and authorizes release of program specific data.
Article 6: Acknowledgement and Acceptance
The HMIS Governance Charter was created by the HMIS Advisory Group whose members consisted of four members of each CoC and a representative of the HMIS Lead Agency on behalf of the Maine Continuum of Care and the Portland Continuum of Care.

IT IS MUTUALLY UNDERSTOOD AND AGREED BY AND BETWEEN THE PARTIES THAT:

All parties will demonstrate a commitment to work together and support each other to achieve stated project goals.

The CoCs agree to provide representation to the HMIS Advisory Group, for the purpose of ensuring HMIS policy and practice that is both consistent with federal and state requirements, and with the local needs of the Continuums.

Maine HMIS agrees to respond to recommendations by each CoC as provided by them through the HMIS Advisory Group.

The Governance Charter will be renewed on an annual basis to confirm that the Charter continues to be relevant and to appropriate.

This Charter documents the mutual understanding between all parties of HMIS related roles, responsibilities, relationships, and authorities between the parties hereinafter. It should not be construed as the HMIS Lead Agreement, which is the formal contracting agreement between the CoCs and the Designated HMIS Lead for HMIS services. This Charter may be modified, altered, revised, extended or renewed by mutual written consent of all parties, by the issuance of a written amendment, signed and dated by all the parties.
EFFECTIVE DATE AND SIGNATURE

This Charter shall be effective upon the ratification of this Governance Charter by both CoCs as evidenced by a vote of acceptance by each CoC in accordance with its ratification and voting policies, formal acknowledgement of the ratification in the CoC minutes, and by signature of the persons authorized by the CoCs and the HMIS Lead Agency to enter into this agreement.

I acknowledge by evidence of signature below and as the authorized representative of the Maine Continuum of Care, that this HMIS Governance Charter has been ratified by the Continuum of Care membership on October 29, 2015.

[Signature]
Signature of MCoC Representative

11/4/15
Date

I acknowledge by evidence of signature below and as the authorized representative of the Portland Continuum of Care, that this HMIS Governance Charter has been ratified by the Continuum of Care membership on October 29, 2015.

[Signature]
Signature of Portland CoC Representative

11/4/15
Date

I acknowledge by evidence of signature below and as the authorized representative of the Maine HMIS Lead Agency, that the HMIS Lead Agency accepts and acknowledges this HMIS Governance Charter.

[Signature]
Signature of Maine HMIS Lead Agency Representative

11/5/15
Date
Written Standards for the Maine and City of Portland Continuums of Care

Developed for Housing and Services Funded through McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Programs

Approved on: August 16, 2016

Disclaimer: Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) and Continuum of Care (CoC) funding recipients and subrecipients shall comply with the minimum written standards as established by the Maine and City of Portland Continuum of Care. While ESG and CoC recipients and subrecipients may set additional standards for the provisions of ESG and CoC assistance, those standards should not unnecessarily add barriers to admission and program participation.
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1. Introduction

The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing Act of 2009 (HEARTH Act) enacted into law on May 20, 2009 consolidated three of the separate homeless assistance programs administered by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) under the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act into a single grant program. The HEARTH Act also codified into law the Continuum of Care (CoC) planning process, a longstanding part of HUD’s application process to assist persons experiencing homelessness by providing greater coordination in responding to their needs. The interim regulation was published in the Federal Register on July 31, 2012 and became effective August 30, 2013.

The purpose of the CoC program is to promote a community wide commitment to the goal of ending homelessness; providing funding for efforts by nonprofit providers, and State and local governments to quickly rehouse individuals and families experiencing homelessness while minimizing the trauma and dislocation caused to individuals, families, and communities by homelessness; promote access to and effective utilization of mainstream programs by individuals and families experiencing homelessness; maximize resources; and optimize self-sufficiency among individuals and families experiencing homelessness.

The CoC program includes transitional housing, permanent supportive housing, rapid rehousing, supportive services, and Homeless Management Information Systems (HMIS). A CoC is a geographically based group of representatives that carries out the planning responsibilities of the CoC program (24 CFR part 578). These representatives come from organizations that provide services to persons experiencing homelessness, or represent the interests of the homeless or formerly homeless. The three major duties of a CoC are to (1) operate the CoC; (2) designate a HMIS for the CoC; and (3) develop a plan for the CoC. In Maine there is the Portland Continuum of Care (PCoC), which covers the City of Portland, and the Balance of State, which covers the rest of the State of Maine (MCoC).

The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Continuum of Care Program Interim Rules state that the Continuum of Care (CoC) must develop Written Standards to ensure that persons experiencing homelessness who enter programs throughout the CoC will be given similar information and support to access and maintain permanent housing. The following Written Standards:

- establishes community wide expectations on the operations of projects within the community,
- ensures that the system is transparent to users and operators,
- establishes a minimum set of standards and expectations in terms of the quality expected of projects,
- makes the local priorities transparent to recipients and sub-recipients of funds, and
- creates consistency and coordination between recipients’ and sub-recipients’ projects.

All programs that receive CoC funding are required to abide by these Written Standards and program procedures should reflect the standards described herein. The CoC strongly encourages all programs that serve persons experiencing homelessness to accept and use these written standards regardless of funding.

These written standards have been developed by the Maine and Portland Continuum of Care in conjunction with ESG recipients/subrecipients (City of Portland and State of Maine), and with service providers and community stakeholders. This collaboration allows for input and transparency regarding the procedural components of the Coordinated Entry System (CES), written standards, performance measures and the process for full implementation of the standards throughout the CoC.
The Continuum of Care Board of Directors will initiate review of the Written Standards at a minimum of once per year. Agreement to abide by the Written Standards will be a condition of CoC funding.

2. Maine’s Plan to End and Prevent Homelessness

Maine has developed a Plan to End and Prevent Homelessness. The Plan very simply calls for everyone who is experiencing homelessness to secure permanent housing with an adequate support network.

There are four specific goals:

1) That emergency shelters and outreach programs work together to quickly engage people and move them into housing, and provide them with support that follows them from emergency through to stability in the community.
2) That there is an adequate supply of appropriate housing and rental subsidies to allow stability.
3) That issues such as mental illness, substance abuse, and traumatic brain injury receive adequate support or treatment to allow stability.
4) That all other issues underlying homelessness, such as poverty, self-esteem, and skill development are addressed, and an adequate support system is in place to allow stability.

The Plan specifies how to accomplish each of these goals.

Each population will be met where they are at, and supported in ending their homelessness, prevented from recidivism, or prevented from becoming homeless in the first place.

The plan identifies tactics and tools for each population, including: families, adult singles (chronic), adult singles (circumstantial), victims of domestic violence, and unaccompanied youth. Information can be found in Appendix A: Maine’s Plan to End and Prevent Homelessness, A Summary which summarizes the strategies for solving 80% of homelessness for each population.

a. Maine’s Priorities for Ending Homelessness

In addition, Maine has developed a Prioritization Guideline, a tool developed by the Statewide Homeless Council and adopted by the Continuum of Care Board of Directors, the Regional Housing Councils, the Portland and the Maine CoCs, and the Emergency Shelter Assessment Committee (ESAC). The Prioritization Guideline is used to prioritize housing referrals for households who are shelter guests or are living in places not meant for human habitation, who are not able to resolve their homeless episode without assistance, and who may require permanent supportive housing (PSH). The following is a brief summary of the priorities developed:

1. **Long Term Stayers/ Chronically Homeless Individuals** who have been homeless greater than or equal to 180 days in a 365-day period; or VI-SPDAT flagged including medically compromised.
2. **Long Term Stayers Families** who have been homeless greater than or equal to 180 days in a 365-day period or VI-SPDAT flagged.
3. a. **Domestic Violence Families & Individuals** who have been homeless greater than 30 days.
b. **Unaccompanied Youth unable to be reunited with their families** who have been homeless greater than 30 days.

c. **Less than Long Term Stayers Individuals and Families** who have been homeless greater than 60 days but less than 180 days in a 365-day period or VI-SPDAT flagged including medically compromised.

4. **Persons in Institutions** greater than 90 days.

5. a. **Circumstantially Homeless,**
   b. **Unaccompanied Youth** (working toward reunification/stability) who have been homeless less than 30 days & not flagged on the VI-SPDAT.

A full chart explaining the priorities is available in *Appendix B Ending Homelessness Prioritization Chart.*

3. **General Standards for Administering Programs**

The following standards are meant for programs to administer programs fairly and methodically allowing for standardization of data and ensures all participants are able to access the same level of services and resources. CoC funds may be used for projects under five program components: Permanent housing (PH), Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), Transitional Housing (TH), Rapid Rehousing (RRH); Supportive services Only (SSO), and HMIS. Eligible costs include: CoC planning activities, Unified Funding Agency costs, acquisition, rehabilitation, new construction, leasing, rental assistance, supportive services, operating costs, HMIS, project administrative costs, relocation costs, and indirect costs.

a. **Participation in the Coordinated Entry System**

All homeless projects in the state of Maine must participate in the State of Maine’s Coordinated Entry System. Domestic Violence provider participation is defined in the Coordinated Entry Written Standards. This includes using the common assessment forms, following the agreed upon referral process, using the common PSH waitlist, and anything else as appropriate. Additional information about the State of Maine Coordinated Entry can be found in the *Appendix C State of Maine’s Coordinated Entry System* or the Coordinated Entry Written Standards.

b. **Participant Eligibility**

Eligibility to receive assistance under all CoC-funded programs will be based on the guidelines outlined by HUD, and defined in the HEARTH Act of 2009. The Homeless Definition includes four categories of eligibility: 1) Literally Homeless, 2) Imminent Risk of Homelessness, 3) Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes, and 4) Fleeing/Attempting to Flee Domestic Violence. A full definition of homelessness can be found in *Appendix D: HUD Definitions of Homelessness.*

Evaluation and eligibility policies and procedures are developed in accordance with the Continuum’s common assessment requirements set forth under § 578.7(a)(8) of HUD’s Interim Rule that governs the regulatory implementation of the CoC program as well as any additional criteria as set forth in the CoC Program Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA).

CoC members will work together to identify which eligible persons could benefit the most from assistance. Upon initial evaluation, the type and amount of assistance deemed appropriate will be offered to ensure the individual’s or family’s needs are met to regain housing stability. A homeless service
provider may develop and follow its own internal policies and procedures that further outline the evaluation methods for the project it is administering.

In determining eligibility for assistance regarding:

- **Annual income of an individual or family:** The homeless service provider must use the standards for calculating annual income under 24 CFR 5.609 and 24 CFR 5.611(a). Other resources such as Federal, State, local, and private assistance available in obtaining housing stability may also be considered.
- **Occupancy charges and/or rent for participants for CoC funded program:** Recipients/subrecipients must follow the standards as set forth in 24 CFR 578.77.
- **Short Term Rental Assistance:** Eligible financial assistance may include housing search, rent application fees, security deposit, utility deposits and rent (maximum of one month). All applicants must be evaluated for eligibility by use of the standard centralized or coordinated assessment system. To be eligible, an applicant must meet the standards for homelessness (per HUD definition) or be facing an eviction with notice in hand and have an annual income of less than 30% of area median income. Applicants are eligible for future services only if they have no other housing subsidies from local, state, or federal sources and have no other viable resources to secure or maintain housing. The highest priority applicants for rapidly re-housing are those currently homeless in the “mid-range” (clients who have 14-179 bed nights) for whom a potential living unit has been identified and will be available in less than a month. Additional focus will be placed on clients who have WRAP Around Community Funds for Supports.

**c. Program Requirements for All Programs**

- Programs must coordinate with other homeless services within the CoC.
- Programs must coordinate with mainstream resources in the CoC including housing, social services, employment, education, and youth programs for which participants may be eligible.
- Programs must have written policies and procedures and must consistently apply them to all participants.
- Programs that serve families, households with children, or unaccompanied youth:
  - Providers must ensure that placement efforts are coordinated to avoid involuntary family separation, including referring clients for the most appropriate services and housing to match their needs. The age and gender of a child under age 18 must not be used as a basis for denying any family’s admission to a project that provides shelter for families with children (CoC Program Interim Rule 24 CFR 578.93).
  - A staff person must be designated as the educational liaison that will ensure that children and youth are enrolled in school, connected to appropriate services in the community, including early childhood program such as Head Start, Part C of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, and the McKinney Vento education services. Allow parents (if accompanied) to make decisions about school placement. Children or unaccompanied youth are not required to enroll in a school as a condition for receiving services (CoC Program Interim Rule 24 CFR 578.23).

For more information regarding Involuntary Family Separation or Education Policies see
Appendix E: Family and Education Policies.

- Programs must protect the privacy and safety of domestic violence survivors and to uphold client choice by presenting a range of housing and service options.
  - Programs which are primarily for survivors of violence are prohibited from contributing client-level data into the HMIS. However, these programs must record client-level data within a comparable internal database and be able to generate aggregate data for inclusion in reports.
  - Non-victim service providers shall protect the privacy of individuals and families who are fleeing or attempting to flee violence, by not including intake/treatment data in HMIS.
  - The location of Domestic Violence shelters/ programs shall not be made public.
  - Staff responsible for coordinated entry shall receive training on protecting the safety and privacy of individuals who are fleeing, or attempting to flee violence.
  - For documentation of domestic violence and Certification of Homelessness see Appendix F: Documentation of Domestic Violence and Certification of Homelessness.

- Programs receiving ESG, CoC and RHYA funding must participate in HMIS (Homeless Management Information System), unless otherwise stated by federal regulations. However, all homeless programs are strongly encouraged to participate in HMIS.
- Programs must meet minimum HMIS data quality standards.
- Programs providing Domestic Violence or Legal Services may use HMIS participation or a comparable database to collect HUD required data elements.
- Programs must participate in the Coordinated Entry System and use the prioritization criteria established in this document.
- Programs must conduct an initial evaluation to determine the amount and type of assistance needed to regain stability in permanent housing.
- Program rules and regulations should be designed in the spirit of inclusion rather than as grounds for denial or termination. Programs should exercise judgment and examine all extenuating circumstances in determining when violations are serious enough to warrant termination so that a program participant’s assistance is terminated only in the most severe cases.
- Programs must have a formal procedure for terminating assistance to a participant that recognizes the rights of the participant(s) involved. Each program must adopt a formal appeals process as described by their CoC Governance.
  - Programs must use judgment and examine all extenuating circumstances in determining that a violation should result in termination.
  - Every effort should be made to allow the participant to remain in the program; termination should only be exercised in the most severe cases.
  - Termination does not necessarily preclude assistance at a future date.
- Programs must make known that use of the facilities and services are available to all on a nondiscriminatory basis.
- Programs must make their resources available to individuals and families without regard to actual or perceived sex, sexual orientation, or gender identity. For guidance serving transgender persons in sex-segregated facilities see
• Appendix G: Serving Transgender Persons in Sex-Segregated Facilities.

• Programs may not engage in inherently religious activities such as worship, religious instruction or proselytization as part of the programs or services funded under the CoC or ESG. These activities can be conducted but must be separate and voluntary for program participants.

• Programs targeting unaccompanied youth, especially those funded by RHYA, are encouraged to participate in:
  o the Continuum of Care to ensure youth issues are understood
  o HMIS
  o the annual PIT count
  o the Statewide Homeless Youth Provider Group
  o be represented on the Board of Directors

\section*{d. Documentation Standards}

Documentation of Homelessness must follow HUD’s guidance, listed below in order of preference below and also explained in Appendix D:

• \textit{Literally Homeless} (Category 1): third party verification; written observation by an outreach worker; or certification by the individual or head of household seeking assistance stating he/she was living on the streets or in a shelter.

• \textit{Imminent Risk of Homelessness} (Category 2): a court order resulting from an eviction action notifying the individual or family they must leave within 14 days; OR for an individual or family leaving a hotel or motel- evidence they lack the financial resources to stay; OR a documented written or oral statement that the individual or family will be literally homeless within 14 days AND self-certification or other written documentation that the individual lacks the financial resources and support needed to obtain permanent housing.

• \textit{Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families with the most Service Needs} (Category 3): third party verification; written observation by an outreach worker; or certification by the individual or head of household seeking assistance stating he/she was living on the streets or in a shelter.

• \textit{Fleeing or Attempting to Flee Domestic Violence} (Category 4):
  \textit{For Victim Service Providers}: An oral statement by the individual or head of household seeking assistance which states: they are fleeing; they have no subsequent residence and they lack resources. Statement must be documented by a self-certification or certification by the intake worker.
  
  \textit{For Non-Victim Service Providers}: Oral statement by the individual or head of household seeking assistance that they are fleeing. This statement is documented by a self-certification or by the caseworker. Where the safety of the individual or family is not jeopardized, the oral statement must be verified; and Certification by the individual or head of household that no subsequent residence has been identified; and Self-certification or other written documentation that the individual or family lacks the financial resources and support networks to obtain other permanent housing.
Additional Participant Recordkeeping Requirements include:

- All records containing personally identifying information must be kept secure and confidential.
- Programs must have written confidentiality/privacy notice, a copy of which should be made available to participants if requested, which includes information on data sharing among providers.
- A record of services and assistance provided to each participant.
- Documentation of any applicable requirements for providing services/assistance.
- Documentation of use of the Coordinated Entry System.
- Documentation of use of HMIS.
- Records must be retained for the appropriate amount of time as prescribed by HUD.

Financial Recordkeeping Requirements include:

- Documentation for all costs charged to the grant.
- Documentation that funds were spent on allowable costs.
- Documentation of the receipt and use of program income.
- Documentation of compliance with expenditure limits and deadlines.
- Retaining copies of all procurement contracts as applicable.
- Documentation of amount, source and use of resources for each match contribution.

\[e. \text{ Occupancy Standards for All Programs} \]

All housing units, including scattered site programs owned and managed by private landlords, must meet applicable state or local government health and safety codes and have current certificates of occupancy for the current use and meet or exceed the following minimum standards: (For more detail refer to ESG regulations 576.403 (b) Minimum Standards)

- Buildings must be structurally sound to protect from the elements and not pose any threat to health and safety of the residents.
- Must be accessible in accordance with Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, the Fair Housing Act and the Americans with Disabilities Act where applicable.
- Must provide an acceptable place to sleep and adequate space and security for themselves and their belongings.
- Each room must have a natural or mechanical means of ventilation.
- Must provide access to sanitary facilities that are in operating condition, private and clean.
- Water supply must be free of contamination.
- Heating/cooling equipment must be in working condition.
- Must have adequate natural or artificial illumination and adequate electrical resources to permit safe use of electrical appliances.
- Food preparation areas must have suitable space and equipment to store, prepare, and serve food in safe and sanitary manner.
- Building must be maintained in a sanitary condition.
must be at least one smoke detector in each occupied unit of the program, and where possible near sleeping areas. The fire alarm system must be designed for hearing impaired participants. There must be a second means of exiting the building in case of fire or other emergency.

The Program, Record Keeping and Occupancy Standards as represented above apply to all programs regardless of the type of services/housing that they provide.

f. HMIS Standards

Minimum standards for data are:

• Providers, except for victim service providers, shall actively utilize the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS), to enter data on people served and assistance provided
• Victim service providers shall actively utilize a comparable data system that meets HUD’s standards (24 CFR 576.107).
• HMIS Lead
  o Every five- ten years, the Portland and Maine CoCs will engage in a procurement process to select the HMIS lead and to manage the HMIS system. The HMIS lead will be responsible for CoC, ESG, and NHAP HMIS activities. Continuity is a critical factor in a well-run HMIS system; therefore, preference in the procurement may be granted to the existing provider.
  o The HMIS provider will recommend to the CoC an HMIS software solution.
  o The HMIS lead is responsible for:
    ▪ Maintaining and updating the HMIS data system.
    ▪ Providing training and support to all HMIS users.
    ▪ Generating regular reports based on HMIS data including counts of homeless persons and performance reports on CoC and ESG funded providers.
    ▪ Providing reports to HUD as required including the Annual Homelessness Assessment Report (AHAR).
    ▪ Assist in implementing the Coordinated Entry System to be used in the CoC.

g. Housing First

Where applicable, homeless projects in the state are encouraged to adopt a Housing First Approach, which is recognized as a best practice and evidence based model. Adoption of Housing First practices will be documented via program policies and procedures, and any other relevant documents, that evidence the incorporation of the practices into the design and operation of the project.

At its most basic, Housing First is a model of housing assistance that centers on providing people experiencing homelessness with housing first and then providing voluntary services as needed and desired. Housing First programs operate under the assumption that everyone is ready for housing.

In addition to the basic idea of providing housing first, Housing First programs share a few critical elements, including:
• **Rapid exit from homelessness** - Whether at the emergency shelter or permanent housing point, Housing First providers work to get individuals and families experiencing homelessness out of homelessness and into permanent housing as quickly as possible. For shelter providers, this means working hard to identify any rapid re-housing assistance that may be needed to move the household out of homelessness, or referring to permanent supportive housing where available and appropriate. For permanent housing providers, this means speeding up and/or streamlining intake processes wherever possible, and ensuring that prioritized clients are coming from literally homeless locations.

• **Minimal barriers to program entry** - Remove barriers to entry to make programs and services available to those with the most severe needs and longest histories of homelessness. Program policies should only deny program entry in cases where a criminal history shows a pattern of violent offenses (including violent sexual offenses). Homeless program staff should do their due diligence to find alternative means of shelter/housing in the event that their program is unable to serve a client based on the client’s criminal background or safety issues related to substance use.

• **Voluntary Supportive Services** - All supportive services be offered to clients on a voluntary basis. Refusal to participate in supportive services cannot be a reason to terminate someone from a homeless program. However, depending on the services they receive, clients may be required to participate in regular assessment of needs in order to determine if ongoing assistance is needed and desired.

• **Housing Focused Assistance** - Housing First programs offer voluntary supportive services that are focused on helping someone be successful in the housing.

h. **Program Specific Standards**

i. **Permanent Supportive Housing Standards**

Permanent Supportive Housing is a combination of housing and services designed for people with serious mental illnesses or other disabilities who need support to live stably in their communities.

*Permanent Supportive Housing Eligibility*

Permanent Supportive housing projects can serve individuals who meet HUD’s definition of homeless for Category 1 - Literally Homeless and Category 4 - Fleeing/ Attempting to Flee Domestic Violence. Additionally, Permanently Supportive housing clients must be disabled.

*Permanent Supportive Housing Prioritization*

All Maine PSH projects must prioritize chronically homeless individuals/families first, in all cases, and must follow the order of priority described in detail below. Furthermore, when multiple chronically homeless are identified, those individuals/families with the longest histories of homelessness and with the most severe service needs should be prioritized before other chronically homeless with less severe needs and/or shorter histories of homelessness.
HUD Notice CPD 14-012 calls for the following priorities for permanent supportive housing, and priorities for chronically homeless individuals.

- Order of Priority in CoC Program-funded Permanent Supportive Housing
- Order of Priority in CoC Program-funded Permanent Supportive Housing Beds Dedicated to Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness
- Order of Priority in Permanent Supportive Housing Beds Not Dedicated or Prioritized for Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness

The Order of Priorities identified here can be found in *Appendix H: Order of Priority of CoC Permanent Supportive Housing*.

**Assessing Severity of Need**

Maine PSH projects should use the Coordinated Entry Tool to help determine the severity of service needs of persons experiencing homelessness on local PSH waitlists. Until implemented statewide, programs can utilize the VI-SPDAT to assess need. If information about a persons’ use of local crisis services is available as well, this information may supplement the assessment information in order to better understand severity of need.

**ii. Transitional Housing Standards**

The purpose of Transitional Housing (TH) projects is to facilitate the movement of individuals and families experiencing homelessness to permanent housing as quickly as possible. TH projects should be targeted to persons who have been assessed as not being able to quickly resolve their homelessness on their own, but who do not have needs great enough to necessitate placement into Permanent Supportive Housing. Transitional Housing targets a two-year time frame.

**Transitional Housing Eligibility**

Transitional housing projects can serve persons who meet HUD's definition of homelessness for Category 1 - Literally Homeless, Category 2 - Imminent Risk of Homelessness, and Category 4 - Fleeing/Attempting to Flee Domestic Violence.

**Transitional Housing Prioritization**

TH projects should be targeted to persons who have been assessed as not being able to quickly resolve their homelessness on their own, but who do not have needs great enough to necessitate placement into Permanent Supportive Housing. Appropriate target populations may include those with service needs that have been identified as being more long-term in duration, but not indefinite. Appropriate target populations may include domestic violence victims, individuals with histories of substance abuse disorders or those in early recovery, or transition age youth. Within identified target populations, TH projects should further screen applicants to identify people with longer lengths of homelessness and greater vulnerabilities and prioritize those applicants for assistance.
iii. Rapid Rehousing Standards

Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) emphasizes housing search and relocation services and short- and medium-term rental assistance to move persons and families experiencing homelessness (with or without a disability) as rapidly as possible into permanent housing.

Rapid Rehousing Eligibility

All Rapid Rehousing projects must serve persons who meet category 1 of HUD’s homeless definition, Literally Homeless. For RRH, category 1 of the homeless definition ONLY includes individuals and families who are sleeping in a place not meant for human habitation or living in an emergency shelter meant to provide temporary living arrangements.

Rapid Rehousing Prioritization

Where possible, RRH projects should be targeted to individuals and households who are unable to resolve their homelessness on their own but do not have service needs so great as to necessitate movement into TH or PSH. Within this targeted population, RRH providers should prioritize for assistance those people with greater vulnerabilities and less likelihood of exiting homelessness “but for” rapid re-housing assistance. RRH projects should strive to serve as many appropriate participants as possible, adjusting the duration and amount of assistance in order to meet the individualized needs.

Additionally, in cases where an eligible homeless Veteran has been identified, and that Veteran is not eligible for VA programs, RRH providers should prioritize the homeless Veteran for assistance.

Rapid Rehousing Determining Rental Assistance Provided

Rental assistance is not to exceed two years, although programs have the authority to design projects with shorter durations. Tenants must contribute 30% of household income (with some adjustments) for rent. The program covers the remainder of the rent up to Fair Market Rent. Tenant is able to remain in the housing even if the subsidy is transitional.

iv. Homelessness Prevention

Recipients and subrecipients located in HUD-designated High Performing Communities (HPCs) may use CoC Program funds for homelessness prevention assistance for individuals and families at risk of homelessness. The services under this component may include housing relocation and stabilization services as well as short- and medium-term rental assistance to prevent an individual or family from becoming homeless. Through this component, recipients and subrecipients may help individuals and families at-risk of homelessness to maintain their existing housing or transition to new permanent housing. Homelessness prevention must be administered in accordance with 24 CFR part 576.

v. Support Services Only

The supportive services only (SSO) program component allows recipients and subrecipients to provide services to individuals and families experiencing homelessness not residing in housing operated by the recipient. SSO recipients and subrecipients may use the funds to conduct outreach to sheltered and unsheltered persons and families experiencing homelessness, link clients with housing or other necessary services, and provide ongoing support. SSO projects may be offered in a structure or structures at one central site, or in multiple buildings at scattered sites where services are delivered. Projects may
be operated independent of a building (e.g., street outreach) and in a variety of community-based settings, including in homeless programs operated by other agencies.

4. Performance Targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measures</th>
<th>Targets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Remain in or Exit to Permanent Housing (PH)</strong></td>
<td>80% PSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of all leavers who remained in or exited to PH/PSH</td>
<td>65% TSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30% ESG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exit with Employment Income</strong></td>
<td>20% HUD goal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of adult leavers who exited with employment income</td>
<td>MCOC Collected info only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exit with Increased Income</strong></td>
<td>20% set as baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of adult leavers who exited with maintained/ increased income from all sources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main stream Resources</strong></td>
<td>20% set as baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of stayers that maintained/ increased mainstream benefits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupancy</strong></td>
<td>MCOC &amp; PCOC 85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average daily bed/unit/ or program slot utilization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HMIS Data Quality</strong></td>
<td>C or better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of null/missing and don’t know/ refused values: Less than (add%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length of Stay (shelters only)</strong></td>
<td>Decrease by 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average length of stay</td>
<td>ESG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recidivism/ Return to homeless within 6 months</strong></td>
<td>15% or less ESG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return to homeless after DC shelter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Monitoring Performance of CoC Recipients

The CoC’s are monitor each provider’s performance, eligibility criteria, target populations, and cultural competence. Each CoC project is monitored annually. Projects are evaluated based upon performance measures, including: utilization rates, increasing housing stability, participant eligibility, length of time homeless, destination upon program exit, increasing participant income, and connecting program participants to mainstream benefits. The CoCs process for monitoring recipients is below: must describe the criteria and processes it uses for monitoring recipients, including how the CoC assesses project capacity to implement CoC Program requirements (e.g., timely submission of APRs, timely draws from LOCCS.)

The CoC’s follow the following monitoring outline:

- The Monitoring Team, which includes members of the CoC, conduct the monitoring. A point person is identified for contacting projects and scheduling meetings and coordinating with
projects.

• Projects are notified in writing they will be monitored and receive a Monitoring and Evaluation Form. A Project Point person is identified.
• A date is chosen to conduct the monitoring.
• Each project completes the Monitoring and Evaluation Form and submits it to the point person.
• During the monitoring the Monitoring Team evaluates the project and meets with the project team.
• A follow up notification is submitted to the project summarizing the monitoring results. Project applicants have an option to revise and resubmit to correct any findings.

6. Coordination of Services

The CoC network of homeless service providers will coordinate to minimize duplication of services in order to provide the most effective and efficient assistance to those in need. Continuum members meet regularly to discuss issues such as evolving trends, challenges, and funding fluctuations in an effort to facilitate coordination between local homeless service providers and emergency shelters.

There are multiple efforts occurring within the Continuum of Care and throughout Maine that support coordination of services among providers and the community at large, including implementing the Coordinated Entry System.

The Coordinated Entry System (CES) is intended to divert and prevent homelessness, increase and streamline access to housing and services for households experiencing homelessness, match appropriate levels of housing and services based on needs, and prioritize persons with severe service needs for the most intensive interventions. Coordinated Entry helps communities prioritize assistance based upon vulnerability and severity of service needs to ensure that people who need assistance the most can receive it in a timely manner while also providing information regarding gaps in services and identification of additional resources required.

In addition, the Continuum will, to the maximum extent practicable, coordinate and integrate information with funded and unfunded organizations that provide similar services or mainstream support within the community.
APPENDICES

Appendix A: Maine’s Plan to End and Prevent Homelessness, A Summary

The Plan calls for everyone who is homeless to secure permanent housing with an adequate support network.

1. There are four specific goals: 1) That emergency shelters and outreach programs work together to quickly engage people and move them into housing, and provide them with support that follows them from emergency through to stability in the community. 2) That there is an adequate supply of appropriate housing and rental subsidies to allow stability. 3) That issues such as mental illness, substance abuse, and traumatic brain injury receive adequate support or treatment to allow stability. 4) That all other issues underlying homelessness, such as poverty, self-esteem, and skill development are addressed, and an adequate support system is in place to allow stability.

The Plan specifies how to accomplish each of these goals.

2. Each population will be met where they are at, and supported in ending their homelessness, prevented from recidivism, or prevented from becoming homeless in the first place.

Ways to solve 80% of homelessness for each population

Families Provide rental subsidies. Poverty is the primary concern and rental subsidies level the playing field for housing stability. Affordable housing allows employment, stability, and a platform for any underlying issues to be resolved.

Key tools: Tenant based Housing Choice Vouchers (Section 8), General Assistance, STEP, funding for brief case management and system navigation.

Adult singles (Chronic) Provide rental subsidies in permanent supportive housing. Mental illness and substance abuse are primary concerns, and rental subsidies with support in the housing are the antidotes.

Key tools: Rental subsidies – Project based Housing Choice Vouchers (Section 8), Shelter + Care, BRAP. Bricks and mortar – MaineHousing Supportive Housing Program, CoC New Project funding. Services – a continuum of mental health and substance abuse services including case management, VA Services, and HUD/VASH. Disability determination and representative payee services are important tools for success.

Adult singles (Circumstantial) Provide basic affordable housing. Poverty is the primary concern and affordable housing allows employment, stability, and a platform for any underlying issues to be resolved.

Key tools: General Assistance, single room occupancies, day labor/employment support, funding for brief case management and system navigation.

Victims of domestic violence Provide rental subsidies in supportive housing with transitional services. Services address safety planning and support for survivors (and their children) to create lives free from abuse in long-term stable housing. Services target the full range of barriers to safety and stability with programming to promote financial, legal, and personal empowerment. Rental subsidies and affordability
allow the platform for success.

Key tools: State and DOJ funding for existing network of DV support services, project based and tenant based Housing Choice Vouchers (Section 8), STEP, MaineHousing Supportive Housing Program, CoC New Project funding.

Unaccompanied Youth Provide reunification with family, and outreach support for success in the family and in the community. Services and outreach services stabilize youth outside and inside their families/natural support systems. Substance abuse, mental health issues and illness, family domestic violence (including physical and sexual abuse histories), and sexual identity are major support needs. Given adequate individual and family support, including mobile crisis services and family therapy, many youth can remain or be reunited with their families. Others, where appropriate, require independent living skills, and basic affordable housing as with adult singles (circumstantial), along with transitional support services.

Key tools: State and federally funded youth support services, outreach workers, family therapists.
### Appendix B Ending Homelessness Prioritization Chart

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Homeless Category</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>Long Term Stayers (LTS)</td>
<td>Greater or equal to 180 days in a 365 period; or V1-SFADAT flagged including medically compromised</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>300 Dedicated S+C vouchers. 80 local Section 8 vouchers. For Vets: HUD/VASH with initial SSVF assistance.</td>
<td>1) 3-year of HMIS data application. 2) CAA will center vouchers and award those to shelters/providers directly. 3) CAA will follow up to verify disability and criteria for chronic homelessness; 4) PHA commitment for Section 8; 5) PATH commitment to connect people who are homeless to housing resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>Long Term Stayers (LTS): Families</td>
<td>Greater or equal to 180 days in a 365 period; or V1-SFADAT flagged</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Home to Stay, GA, occasional S+C as family qualifies</td>
<td>STEP or Section 8 from Home to Stay; shelters use Home to Stay resources to solve for this population, GA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>Domestic Violence Families &amp; Individuals</td>
<td>Greater than 30 days</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>Dedicated transitional supportive housing, permanent supportive housing, Section 8, BRAP, S+C, GA</td>
<td>Transitional DV Resources, CHOM, ITC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>Unaccompanied Youth unable to be reunited with their families</td>
<td>Greater than 30 days</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>STEP, Transitional supportive housing, GA, Home to Stay, PHS, Wrap funds, Rent and security deposit for DHHS OCFS placements, RHYA resources</td>
<td>Transitional youth service partners/BRAP - LAA's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>Less than Long Term Stayers (LTS) Individuals &amp; Families</td>
<td>Greater than 60 days but less than 180 days in a 365 day period or V1-SFADAT flagged including medically compromised</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>Permanent housing, permanent supportive housing, transitional supportive housing, Section 8, BRAP, S+C, Wrap funds. For Vets: SSVF, or where appropriate HUD/VASH</td>
<td>Shelter case management, market apartments with outreach support, rapid re-housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>Institutions</td>
<td>Greater than 90 days</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>BRAP, PNMI</td>
<td>BRAP-LAA, PNMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>Circumstantially Homeless</td>
<td>Less than 60 days &amp; not flagged on V1-SFADAT</td>
<td>6066</td>
<td>SSVF, General Assistance</td>
<td>Existing mainstream resources - general assistance, allow them to house themselves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>Unaccompanied Youth (working toward reunification/stability)</td>
<td>Less than 60 days &amp; not flagged on V1-SFADAT, RHYA Programs</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Wrap funds - Section 17, RHYA resources</td>
<td>Existing mainstream resources - general assistance. Traditional youth service providers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY:**
- &lt;S+C = Shelter Plus Care
- PSH = Permanent Supportive Housing
- V1-SFADAT = Vulnerability Index & Service Prioritization Decision Assistance Tool
- SSVF = Supportive Services for Veteran Families
- BRAP = Bridging Rental Assistance Program
- PNMI = Private Non-Medical Institutions
- HUD/VASH = Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing
- Home to Stay = Rapid Re-Housing Program funded through Mainstream Housing
- RHYA = Runaway and Homeless Youth Act
Appendix C State of Maine’s Coordinated Entry System

**Guiding Principles** The goal of the coordinated assessment process is to provide each consumer with adequate services and supports to meet their housing needs, with a focus on returning them to housing as quickly as possible. Below are the guiding principles that will help Maine meet these goals.

- **Adopt statewide standards**: but allow flexibility for local customization beyond baseline standard.
- **Consumer Choice**: Consumers will be given information about the programs available to them and have some degree of choice about which programs they want to participate in. They will also be engaged as key and valued partners in the implementation and evaluation of coordinated assessment through forums, surveys, and other methods designed to obtain their thoughts on the effectiveness of the coordinated assessment process.
- **Promote client-centered practices** – Every person experiencing homelessness should be treated with dignity, offered at least minimal assistance, and participate in their own housing plan. Provide ongoing opportunities for consumer participation in the development, oversight, and evaluation of coordinated assessment. Consumers should be offered choice whenever possible.
- **Prioritize most vulnerable** as the primary factor among many considerations – Limited resources should be directed first to persons and families who are most vulnerable*. Less vulnerable persons and families will be assisted as resources allow. *Vulnerability will be defined locally.
- **Collaboration**: Because coordinated assessment is being implemented system wide, it requires a great deal of collaboration between the CoC’s, providers, mainstream assistance agencies (e.g., Department of Health and Human Services, hospitals, and jails), funders, and other key partners. This spirit of collaboration will be fostered through open communication, transparent work by a strong governing council (the Coordinated Assessment Committee), consistently scheduled meetings between partners, and consistent reporting on the performance of the coordinated assessment/entry process.
- **Accurate Data**: Data collection on people experiencing homelessness is a key component of the coordinated assessment process. Data from the assessment process that reveals what resources consumers need the most will be used to assist with reallocation of funds and other funding decisions. To capture this data accurately, all assessment staff and providers must enter data into HMIS (with the exception of some special populations and other cases, outlined later in this document) in a timely fashion. Consumers’ rights around data will always be made explicit to them, and no consumer will be denied services for refusing to share their data.
- **Performance-Driven Decision Making**: Decisions about and modifications to the CES process will be driven primarily by the need to improve the performance of the homelessness assistance system on key outcomes. These outcomes include reducing new entries into homelessness, reducing lengths of episodes of homelessness, and reducing repeat entries into homelessness. Changes may also be driven by a desire to improve process-oriented outcomes, including reducing the amount of waiting time for an assessment.
- **Housing First**: The most successful model for housing people who experience chronic
homelessness is permanent supportive housing using a “Housing First” approach, which is a client-driven strategy that provides immediate access to housing without requiring participation in psychiatric treatment, treatment for sobriety, or other service participation requirements. After settling into housing, consumers are offered a wide range of supportive services that focus primarily on helping them maintain their housing. Maine’s CES strongly encourages recipients of PH/PSH and TH, whenever possible funding to implement a Housing First approach. Coordinated assessment will support a housing first approach, and will thus work to connect households with the appropriate permanent housing opportunity, as well as any necessary supportive services, as quickly as possible.

- **Prioritizing the Hardest to House:** Coordinated assessment referrals will prioritize those households that appear to be the hardest to house or serve for program beds and services. This approach will ensure an appropriate match between the most intensive services and the people least likely to succeed with a less intensive intervention, while giving people with fewer housing barriers more time to work out a housing solution on their own. This approach is most likely to reduce the average length of episodes of homelessness and result in better housing outcomes for all.

- **Transparency:** Make thoughtful decisions and communicate directives openly and clearly.
### Appendix D: HUD Definitions of Homelessness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appendix D: HUD Definitions of Homelessness</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. Literally Homeless</strong></td>
<td>Individuals who lack a fixed, regular, and adequate night time residence, meaning:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Have a primary residence that is a public or private place not meant for human habitation;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Is living in a publicly or privately operated shelter designated to provide temporary living arrangements (including congregate shelters, transitional housing, and hotels and motels paid for by charitable organizations or by federal, state and local government programs); or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Is exiting an institution where (s)he has resided for 90 days or less and who resided in an emergency shelter or place not meant for human habitation immediately before entering that institution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Imminent Risk of Homelessness</strong></td>
<td>Unaccompanied youth or young adults who will imminently lose their primary nighttime residence, provided that:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Residence will be lost within 14 days of the date of application for homeless assistance;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• No subsequent residence has been identified; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The unaccompanied youth or young adult lacks the resources or support networks needed to obtain other permanent housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. Persons meet the homeless definition by another federal statute</strong></td>
<td>Unaccompanied youth or young adults or families that:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Have not had a lease, ownership interest, or occupancy agreement in permanent housing during the 60 days prior to the homeless assistance application; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Have experienced persistent instability as measured by two moves or more during the preceding 30 days; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Can be expected to continue in such status for an extended period of time due to special needs or barriers; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The individual lacks the resources or natural support networks needed to obtain other permanent housing or to remain in a doubled-up situation for 120 days or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attempting to Flee External Harm to Self</strong></td>
<td>Any unaccompanied youth or young adult who:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Is fleeing or disengaging, or is attempting to flee or disengage, domestic violence, sex trafficking, sexual exploitation, gang participation, and/or organized crime; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Has no other residence; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lacks the resources or support networks to obtain other permanent housing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix E: Family and Education Policies

Family Admission/ Separation Policies

Consistent with the CoC Program Interim Rule 24 CFR 578.93, neither CoC nor ESG program funded projects may involuntarily separate families. The age and gender of a child under age 18 must not be used as a basis for denying any family’s admission to a project that receives CoC or ESG funds. The CoC will work closely with providers to ensure that placement efforts are coordinated to avoid involuntary family separation, including referring clients for the most appropriate services and housing to match their needs. Any client that believes that they or a family member has experienced involuntary separation may report the issue to the CoC. The CoC will investigate the claim and take appropriate remedial action.

Education Policies

Consistent with the CoC Program Interim Rule 24 CFR 578.23, all CoC and ESG programs assisting families with children or unaccompanied youth must:

1. Take the educational needs of the children into account when placing families in housing and will, to the maximum extent practicable, place families as close as possible to their school of origin so as not to disrupt such children’s education.
2. Inform families with children and unaccompanied youth of their educational rights, including providing written materials, help with enrollment, and linkage to McKinney Vento Liaisons as part of the intake procedures.
3. Not require children and unaccompanied youth to enroll in a new school as a condition of receiving services.
4. Allow parents or the youth (if unaccompanied) to make decisions about school placement.
5. Not require children and unaccompanied youth to attend after-school or educational programs that would replace/interfere with regular day school or prohibit them from staying enrolled in their original school.
6. Post notices of student’s rights at each program site that serves children and families experiencing homelessness in appropriate languages.
7. Designate staff that will be responsible for:
   a. Ensuring that children and youth experiencing homelessness in their programs are in school and are receiving all educational services they are entitled to.
   b. Coordinating with the CoC, the Department of Health and Human Services, The County Office of Education, the McKinney Vento Coordinator, the McKinney Vento Educational Liaisons and other mainstream providers as needed.

In order to ensure compliance and to assist providers in meeting these requirements, the CoC will provide training on these issues annually and will include these in the funding competition review and ranking process.
Appendix F: Documentation of Domestic Violence and Certification of Homelessness

Documentation of Domestic Violence

For each program participant who moved to a different Continuum of Care due to imminent threat of further violence under 24 CFR 578.51(c)(3) the CoC program must retain:

1. Documentation of the original incidence of violence. This may be written observation of the housing or service provider; a letter or other documentation from a victim service provider, social worker, legal assistance provider, pastoral counselor, mental health provider, or other professional from whom the victim has sought assistance, medical or dental records court records or law enforcement records or written certification by the program participant to whom the violence occurred or by the head of household.

2. Documentation of the reasonable belief of imminent threat of further violence, which would include threats from a third party, such as a friend or family member of the perpetrator of the violence. This may be written observation by the housing or service providers or a letter.

Certification of Homelessness for Victims of Domestic Violence

For Victim Service Providers

1. An oral statement by the individual or head of household seeking assistance which states: they are fleeing; they have no subsequent residence and they lack resources. Statement must be documented by a self-certification or certification by the intake worker.

For Non-Victim Service Providers

1. Oral statement by the individual or head of household seeking assistance that they are fleeing. This statement is documented by a self-certification or by the caseworker. Where the safety of the individual or family is not jeopardized, the oral statement must be verified; and

2. Certification by the individual or head of household that no subsequent residence has been identified; and

3. Self-certification or other written documentation that the individual or family lacks the financial resources and support networks to obtain other permanent housing.
Appendix G: Serving Transgender Persons in Sex-Segregated Facilities

Emergency shelters in Maine must make their resources available to individuals and families without regard to actual or perceived sex, sexual orientation, or gender identity. Although emergency shelters with shared sleeping areas or bathrooms are permitted to inquire about sex or gender identity for purposes of determining room assignment, best practices suggest that where there is uncertainty about sex or gender identity shelter providers should ask where individuals feel most comfortable sleeping. This may mean that the person should be provided with a private changing, shower, and/or sleeping space, or stagger use of facilities so that the person may shower and change alone. Decisions about how and where someone is housed should be made on a case-by-case basis strongly taking into account the person’s preference about where to sleep, and keeping safety a priority.

In situations where providers are unsure of a person’s sex or gender identity, providers may not ask for documentation of sex or gender. The best way to proceed if a provider is unsure is to tell a client that the agency provides shelter according to the gender with which the client identifies. Do not ask, “What is your sex/gender?” Do say, “This is a shelter for women, if you would rather be in a different shelter, let us help you find one where you feel safe and comfortable.” It is understood that not every facility can accommodate every gender expression. The spirit of this guidance is about helping people to feel safe and comfortable in their temporary accommodations. This may mean telling a client what the local options are, without asking about their gender, and doing the most possible to get that person to a place they want to be. Gender-based violence can be inflicted on transgender people and providers are obligated to protect transgender people from such violence the same way they protect women, for example, from violence.

Emergency shelters in Maine and other homeless program providers should review the HUD notice, *Appropriate Placement for Transgender Persons in Single-Sex Emergency Shelters and Other Facilities*, in order gain a comprehensive understanding of how the notice affects both clients and providers, and guides protocols, policies, and procedures. The notice can be found at https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/4428/notice-cpd-15-02-appropriate-placement-for-transgender-persons-in-single-sex-emergency-shelters-and-other-facilities
Appendix H: Order of Priority in CoC Permanent Supportive Housing

Recipients of CoC Program-funded PSH are required to follow the order of priority when selecting participants for housing in accordance with the CoC’s written standards while also considering the goals and any identified target populations served by the project, and in a manner consistent with their current grant agreement.

Due diligence should be exercised when conducting outreach and assessment to ensure that persons are served in the order of priority as adopted by the MCOC and PCOC. HUD and the CoC’s recognize that some persons—particularly those living on the streets or in places not meant for human habitation—might require significant engagement and contacts prior to their entering housing and recipients are not required to keep units vacant where there are persons who meet a higher priority within the CoC and who have not yet accepted the PSH opportunities offered to them. Street outreach providers should continue to make attempts with those persons using a Housing First approach to place as few conditions on a person’s housing as possible.
Order of Priority in CoC Program-funded Permanent Supportive Housing Beds Dedicated to Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness and Permanent Supportive Housing Prioritized for Occupancy by Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Priority: Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families with the Longest History of Homelessness and with the Most Severe Service Needs.</td>
<td>A chronically homeless individual or head of household as defined in 24 CFR 578.3 for whom both of the following are true: 1. The chronically homeless individual or head of household of a family has been homeless and living in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter for at least 12 months either continuously or on at least four separate occasions in the last 3 years, where the cumulative total length of the four occasions equals at least 12 months; and 2. The CoC Program has identified the chronically homeless individual or head of household as having severe service needs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Priority: Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families with the Longest History of Homelessness.</td>
<td>A chronically homeless individual or head of household, as defined in 24 CFR 578.3, for whom both of the following are true: 1. The chronically homeless individual or head of household of a family has been homeless and living in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter for at least 12 months either continuously or on at least four separate occasions in the last 3 years, where the cumulative total length of the four occasions equals at least 12 months; and 2. The CoC or CoC program recipient has not identified the chronically homeless individual or the head of household, who meets all of the criteria in paragraph (1) of the definition for chronically homeless, of the family as having severe service needs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Priority: Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families with the Most Severe Service Needs.</td>
<td>A chronically homeless individual or head of household as defined in 24 CFR 578.3 for whom both of the following are true: 1. The chronically homeless individual or head of household of a family has been homeless and living or residing in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter on at least four separate occasions in the last 3 years, where the total length of those separate occasions equals less than one year; and 2. The CoC or CoC program recipient has identified the chronically homeless individual or the head of household, who meets all of the criteria in paragraph (1) of the definition for chronically homeless, of the family as having severe service needs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Priority: All Other Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families.</td>
<td>A chronically homeless individual or head of household as defined in 24 CFR 578.3 for whom both of the following are true: 1. The chronically homeless individual or head of household of a family has been homeless and living in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter for at least 12 months either continuously or on at least four separate occasions in the last 3 years, where the cumulative total length the four occasions is less than 12 months; and 2. The CoC or CoC program recipient has not identified the chronically homeless individual or the head of household, who meets all of the criteria in paragraph (1) of the definition for chronically homeless, of the family as having severe service needs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Where a CoC or a recipient of CoC Program-funded PSH beds that are dedicated or prioritized is not able to identify chronically homeless individuals and families as defined in 24 CFR 578.3 within the CoC, the order of priority in the next section may be followed.

Order of Priority in Permanent Supportive Housing Beds Not Dedicated or Prioritized for Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness

CoC Program-funded non-dedicated and non-prioritized PSH should offer housing to chronically homeless individuals and families first, but minimally are required to place otherwise eligible households in an order that prioritizes, in a nondiscriminatory manner, those who would benefit the most from this type of housing, beginning with those most at risk of becoming chronically homeless.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Eligibility Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>Homeless Individuals and Families with a Disability with the Most Severe Service Needs.</td>
<td>An individual or family that is eligible for CoC Program-funded PSH who has been living or residing in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter for any period of time, including persons exiting an institution where they have resided for 90 days or less but were living or residing in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter immediately prior to entering the institution and has been identified as having the most severe service needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>Homeless Individuals and Families with a Disability with a Long Period of Continuous or Episodic Homelessness.</td>
<td>An individual or family that is eligible for CoC Program-funded PSH who has been living or residing in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter continuously for at least 6 months or on at least three separate occasions in the last 3 years where the cumulative total is at least 6 months. This includes persons exiting an institution where they have resided for 90 days or less but were living or residing in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter immediately prior to entering the institution and had been living or residing in one of those locations for at least 6 months or on at least three separate occasions in the last 3 years where the cumulative total is at least 6 months.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>Homeless Individuals and Families with Disability Coming from Places Not Meant for Human Habitation, Safe Havens, or Emergency Shelters.</td>
<td>An individual or family that is eligible for CoC Program-funded PSH who has been living in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or an emergency shelter. This includes persons exiting an institution where they have resided for 90 days or less but were living or residing in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter immediately prior to entering the institution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>Homeless Individuals and Families with a Disability Coming from Transitional Housing.</td>
<td>An individual or family that is eligible for CoC Program-funded PSH who is coming from transitional housing, where prior to residing in the transitional housing lived on streets or in an emergency shelter, or safe haven. This priority also includes homeless individuals and homeless households with children with a qualifying disability who were fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking and are living in transitional housing – all are eligible for PSH even if they did not live on the streets, emergency shelters, or in a safe haven.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix I Definitions

At-risk of Homelessness – An individual or family who has income below 30% of area median family income for the area, as defined by HUD, and who does not have sufficient resources or support networks immediately available to prevent them from moving into an emergency shelter or other place described in the “homeless” definition (See Exhibit A and Exhibit B), and meets one if the following definitions defined under 24 CFR 578.3 (CoC program) or 24 CFR 576.2 (ESG program). This may also include a child or youth who qualifies as homeless under other Federal programs.

HMIS/HMIS – Community Management Information System (formerly the Homeless Management Information System) means the information system designated by the Continuum of Care to comply with the HMIS requirements prescribed by HUD.

HMIS/HMIS Lead Agency – The entity designated by the Continuum of Care to operate the HMIS/HMIS on its behalf.

Chronically homeless individual – An individual experiencing homelessness with a disability who lives either in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter, or in an institutional care facility if the individual has been living in the facility for fewer than 90 days and had been living in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter immediately before entering the institutional care facility. In order to meet the “chronically homeless” definition, the individual also must have been living as described above continuously for at least 12 months, or on at least four separate occasions in the last 3 years, where the combined occasions total a length of time of at least 12 months. Each period separating the occasions must include at least 7 nights of living in a situation other than a place not meant for human habitation, in an emergency shelter, or in a safe haven.

Chronically homeless families - Families with adult heads of household who meet the definition of a chronically homeless individual. If there is no adult in the family, the family would still be considered chronically homeless if a minor head of household meets all the criteria of a chronically homeless individual. A chronically homeless family includes those whose composition has fluctuated while the head of household has been homeless.

CoC/Continuum of Care – A group composed of representatives of relevant organizations, which generally includes nonprofit homeless providers; victim service providers; faith-based organizations; governments; businesses; advocates; public housing agencies; school districts; social services providers; mental health agencies; hospitals; universities; affordable housing developers; law enforcement; organizations that serve homeless and formerly homeless veterans, and homeless or formerly homeless persons that are organized to plan for and provide a system of outreach, engagement, and assessment; emergency shelter; rapid re-housing; transitional housing; permanent housing; and prevention strategies to address the various needs of homeless persons and persons at risk of homelessness for a specific geographic area.

ESG – Emergency Solutions Grant Program (24 CFR part 576)

Developmental Disability – Defined in Section 102 of the Developmental Disability Assistance and Bill of Rights Act of 2000, and means a severe, chronic disability that is attributable to a mental or physical
impairment or combination, and is manifested before age 22, and is likely to continue indefinitely. It must result in substantial limitations in 3 or more major life activities (self-care, receptive and expressive language, learning, mobility, self-direction, capacity for independent living, or economic self-sufficiency) AND reflects need for special services or individualized support, or other form of assistance this is lifelong or extended duration.

Disabling Condition – A physical, mental, or emotional impairment, including an impairment caused by alcohol or drug abuse, post-traumatic stress disorder, or brain injury, which is expected to be of long-continued and indefinite duration, substantially impedes the person’s ability to live independently, and is of such a nature that such ability could be improved with more suitable housing conditions; a developmental disability as defined in Section 102 of the Developmental Disabilities Assistance Bill of Rights Act of 200; or Acquired immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) or any conditions arising from the etiologic agent for Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome, including infection with the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV).

Emergency Shelter – Any facility, the primary purpose of which is to provide a temporary shelter for the homeless in general or for specific populations of the homeless and which does not require occupants to sign leases or occupancy agreements.

Families – Family includes, but is not limited to, regardless of marital status, actual or perceived sexual orientation, or gender identity, the followings: (1) A single person, who may be an elderly person, displaced person, disabled person, near-elderly person, or any other single person; or (2) A group of persons residing together, and such group includes, but is not limited to (a) A family with our without children (a child who is temporarily away from the home because of placement in foster care is considered a member of the family); (b) An elderly family; (c) A near-elderly family; (d) A disabled family; (e) A displaced family; and (f) The remaining member of a tenant family.

Homeless – There are 4 categories within the definition of homelessness, as defined under the Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing Act of 2009 (HEARTH Act); the most common definition being an individual or family who lacks a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence under Category 1. See Exhibit A

Homeless Prevention – A program targeted to individuals and families at risk of homelessness. Specifically, this includes those that meet the criteria under the “at risk of homelessness” definition at 576.2, as well as those who meet the criteria in Category 2, 3, and 4 of the “homeless definition and have an annual income below 30% of family median income for the area.

Housing First – An approach to quickly and successfully connect individuals and families experiencing homelessness to permanent housing without preconditions and barriers to entry, such as sobriety, treatment, or service participation requirements. Supportive services are offered to maximize housing stability and prevent returns to homelessness as opposed to addressing predetermined treatment goals prior to permanent housing entry.

Permanent Housing – Community-based housing without a designated length of stay, and includes both Permanent Supportive Housing and Rapid Re-housing.
Permanent Supportive Housing – Permanent Supportive Housing is a combination of housing and services designed for people with serious mental illnesses or other disabilities who need support to live stably in their communities.

Physical, Mental, or Emotional Impairment – Expected to be long-continuing or of indefinite duration; substantially impedes the person’s ability to live independently, and could be improved by more suitable housing.

Rapid Re-housing – Housing relocation and stabilization services and short- or medium-term rental assistance as necessary to help a individual or family experiencing homelessness move as quickly as possible into permanent housing and achieve stability in that housing. Assistance may be provided for up to 24 months during any 3-year period, and may include rental arrear for up to six months, to eligible persons who qualify as homeless under Category 1 and 4 of the “homeless” definition.

Recipient – An applicant that signs a grant agreement with HUD.

Safe Haven – For the purpose of defining chronically homeless, supportive housing that means the following: (1) serves hard to reach persons experiencing homelessness with severe mental illness who came from the streets and have been unwilling or unable to participate in supportive services; (2) provides 24-hour residence for eligible persons for an unspecified period; (3) has an overnight capacity limited to 25 or fewer persons: and (4) provides low-demand services and referrals for the residents.

Street Outreach – The act of reaching out to unsheltered people experiencing homelessness; connecting them with emergency shelter, housing or critical services; and provide urgent, non-facility-based care to unsheltered homeless people who are unwilling or unable to access emergency shelter, housing, or an appropriate health facility.

Sub-recipient – A private nonprofit organization, State, local government, or instrumentality of State or local government that receives a sub-grant from the recipient to carry out a project.

Transitional Housing – Facilitates the movement of individuals and families experiencing homelessness to permanent housing within 24 months.

Unsheltered Homeless – Individuals and families who qualify as homeless under Category 1(i) of the “homeless” definition. See Exhibit A

Victim Service Provider – A private nonprofit organization whose primary mission is to provide services to victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking. This term includes rape crisis centers, battered women’s shelters, domestic violence transitional housing programs, and other programs.
Measure 1: Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless

This measure the number of clients active in the report date range across ES, SH (Metric 1.1) and then ES, SH and TH (Metric 1.2) along with their average and median length of time homeless. This includes time homeless during the report date range as well as prior to the report start date, going back no further than October 1, 2012.

Metric 1.1: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES and SH projects.
Metric 1.2: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES, SH, and TH projects.

a. This measure is of the client’s entry, exit, and bed night dates strictly as entered in the HMIS system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Universe (Persons)</th>
<th>Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)</th>
<th>Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Previous FY</td>
<td>Current FY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Persons in ES and SH</td>
<td>3265</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Persons in ES, SH, and TH</td>
<td>4257</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Due to changes in DS Element 3.17, metrics for measure (b) will not be reported in 2016.

This measure includes data from each client’s “Length of Time on Street, in an Emergency Shelter, or Safe Haven” (Data Standards element 3.17) response and prepends this answer to the client’s entry date effectively extending the client’s entry date backward in time. This “adjusted entry date” is then used in the calculations just as if it were the client’s actual entry date.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Universe (Persons)</th>
<th>Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)</th>
<th>Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Previous FY</td>
<td>Current FY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Persons in ES and SH</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Persons in ES, SH, and TH</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Measure 2: The Extent to which Persons who Exit Homelessness to Permanent Housing Destinations Return to Homelessness

This measures clients who exited SO, ES, TH, SH or PH to a permanent housing destination in the date range two years prior to the report date range. Of those clients, the measure reports on how many of them returned to homelessness as indicated in the HMIS for up to two years after their initial exit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exit was from</th>
<th>Total # of Persons whoExited to aPermanent Housing Destination (2 Years Prior)</th>
<th>Returns to Homelessness in Less than 6 Months (0 - 180 days)</th>
<th>Returns to Homelessness from 6 to 12 Months (181 - 365 days)</th>
<th>Returns to Homelessness from 13 to 24 Months (366 - 730 days)</th>
<th>Number of Returns in 2 Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exit was from SO</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit was from ES</td>
<td>1132</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit was from TH</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit was from SH</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit was from PH</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL Returns to Homelessness</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons

Metric 3.1 – Change in PIT Counts

This measures the change in PIT counts of sheltered and unsheltered homeless persons as reported on the PIT (not from HMIS).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Previous FY PIT Count</th>
<th>2015 PIT Count</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered persons</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>1632</td>
<td>-308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Shelter Total</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Haven Total</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional Housing Total</td>
<td>1232</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>-272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Sheltered Count</td>
<td>1859</td>
<td>1573</td>
<td>-286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsheltered Count</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>-22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Metric 3.2 – Change in Annual Counts

This measures the change in annual counts of sheltered homeless persons in HMIS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Previous FY</th>
<th>Current FY</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Universe: Unduplicated Total sheltered homeless persons</td>
<td>4445</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Shelter Total</td>
<td>3353</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Haven Total</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional Housing Total</td>
<td>1474</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Measure 4: Employment and Income Growth for Homeless Persons in CoC Program-funded Projects

Metric 4.1 – Change in earned income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Previous FY</th>
<th>Current FY</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)</td>
<td>902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of adults with increased earned income</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of adults who increased earned income</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Metric 4.2 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Previous FY</th>
<th>Current FY</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)</td>
<td></td>
<td>902</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of adults with increased non-employment cash income</td>
<td></td>
<td>427</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income</td>
<td></td>
<td>47%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Metric 4.3 – Change in total income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Previous FY</th>
<th>Current FY</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)</td>
<td></td>
<td>902</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of adults with increased total income</td>
<td></td>
<td>472</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of adults who increased total income</td>
<td></td>
<td>52%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Metric 4.4 – Change in earned income for adult system leavers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Previous FY</th>
<th>Current FY</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)</td>
<td></td>
<td>371</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of adults who exited with increased earned income</td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of adults who increased earned income</td>
<td></td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Metric 4.5 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system leavers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Previous FY</th>
<th>Current FY</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)</td>
<td></td>
<td>371</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of adults who exited with increased non-employment cash income</td>
<td></td>
<td>126</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income</td>
<td></td>
<td>34%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Metric 4.6 – Change in total income for adult system leavers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Previous FY</th>
<th>Current FY</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)</td>
<td></td>
<td>371</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of adults who exited with increased total income</td>
<td></td>
<td>151</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of adults who increased total income</td>
<td></td>
<td>41%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Measure 5: Number of persons who become homeless for the 1st time

Metric 5.1 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, and TH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Previous FY</th>
<th>Current FY</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH or TH during the reporting period.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2583</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Metric 5.2 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, TH, and PH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Previous FY</th>
<th>Current FY</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH, TH or PH during the reporting period.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2966</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Measure 6: Homeless Prevention and Housing Placement of Persons defined by category 3 of HUD’s Homeless Definition in CoC Program-funded Projects

This Measure is not applicable to CoCs in 2016.
# Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

**Measure 7: Successful Placement from Street Outreach and Successful Placement in or Retention of Permanent Housing**

**Metric 7a.1 – Change in exits to permanent housing destinations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Previous FY</th>
<th>Current FY</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Universe: Persons who exit Street Outreach</td>
<td></td>
<td>382</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of persons above, those who exited to temporary &amp; some institutional destinations</td>
<td></td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations</td>
<td></td>
<td>168</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Successful exits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Metric 7b.1 – Change in exits to permanent housing destinations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Previous FY</th>
<th>Current FY</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Universe: Persons in ES, SH, TH and PH-RRH who exited</td>
<td></td>
<td>3150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations</td>
<td></td>
<td>1516</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Successful exits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Metric 7b.2 – Change in exit to or retention of permanent housing**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Previous FY</th>
<th>Current FY</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Universe: Persons in all PH projects except PH-RRH</td>
<td></td>
<td>2350</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of persons above, those who remained in applicable PH projects and those who exited to permanent housing destinations</td>
<td></td>
<td>2196</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Successful exits/retention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Maine’s HUD Continuum of Care Board of Directors**

**Bylaws**

**PURPOSE**

Maine’s HUD Continuum of Care Board of Directors serves as the oversight body for the Maine Continuum of Care (MCoC) and Portland Continuum of Care (PCoC). Maine’s HUD Continuum of Care Board of Directors (CoC Board) has thirteen (13) Directors, collectively known as the Board of Directors, and serves on behalf of both of the CoC’s.

The CoC Board serves the geographic area of the entire state of Maine (ME 500 CoC and ME 502 CoC), and exists to:

- Coordinate a statewide commitment to the goal of ending homelessness;
- Approve CoC funding recommendations for ending homelessness;
- Promote access to, and effective use of mainstream resources by homeless individuals and families; and
- Promote housing retention, stability, and success in the community for people who have experienced homelessness.

The CoC Board shall assume and carry out duties as detailed by these bylaws. These bylaws can be changed or amended at any formal meeting of the Board by a two-thirds majority vote. In implementing these duties, the CoC Board shall rely on standards, policies, procedures, and ongoing amendments which have been developed by the CoCs’ membership.

1) **COC BOARD ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES**

   The CoC Board shall develop policies and procedures conforming to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) requirements detailed in 24 CFR part 578.1 to:

   a) Approve the CoCs’ designation of CoC Lead Agencies to serve as the Collaborative Applicant(s) to assist both the Continuums of Care;
   b) Approve the CoCs’ designation of a lead agency for the Homeless Management Information System; and
   c) Support continuity in local year-round Continuum of Care planning for ending and preventing homelessness.

2) **COC BOARD MEMBERS**

   a) **Board Composition**

   The CoC Board shall include community representatives as elected by the CoCs’ membership.

   The CoCs shall strive to see that the Board includes representation from the following categories:

   i) Homeless or formerly homeless individual(s)

   ii) Representatives of relevant organizations serving homeless subpopulations such as:
a. People with substance use disorders  
   b. People with HIV/AIDS  
   c. Veterans  
   d. Persons who are chronically homeless  
   e. Families with children  
   f. Unaccompanied youth  
   g. People with a serious mental illness  
   h. People who are victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and/or stalking.

iii) Elected Chairs of the CoCs

iv) Appointed representative from local government entities:
   a. The following local governmental entities shall be represented:
      i. Maine Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS)  
      ii. City of Portland  
      iii. MaineHousing  
   b. The following local governmental entities will have the opportunity to each appoint a member:
      i. CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction  
      ii. Maine Department of Education  
      iii. Maine Department of Corrections  
   c. Other governmental entities may request the opportunity to appoint a member

ii) Representatives of other homeless service providers and advocates, such as:
   i. Faith-based organizations  
   j. Businesses  
   k. Public Housing Agencies  
   l. School districts  
   m. Mental health and substance abuse providers  
   n. Health care providers including hospitals, Emergency Medical Technicians, and Crisis Response agencies  
   o. Universities  
   p. Affordable Housing Developers  
   q. Foundations  
   r. Law Enforcement and local jails  
   s. Schools, school administrators, and/or homeless liaisons  
   t. Street outreach teams  
   u. Non-CoC funded Youth Homeless Organizations  
   v. Non-CoC DV funded Victim Service Providers  
   w. Youth advocates  
   x. Agencies that serve survivors of human trafficking

b) CoC Board Selection/Election/Resignation, and the CoC Nomination Process

Except where otherwise indicated below, the CoCs shall select membership of the CoC Board through a simple majority nomination process. The CoCs shall initially nominate and approve a slate of Board Members, and thereafter shall submit nominations in alternating fashion, beginning with the Portland CoC followed by the Maine CoC, and so on. The existing CoC Board
shall vote to ratify any nominations from the CoCs for new members to fill vacancies, by a simple majority vote. Vacancies may be filled immediately or through the annual nominating process. Any Board Member can resign at any time with written notice to the Board. Any resignation shall trigger nomination action by the CoCs. Other than those Members that are appointed by the governmental entities as set forth in Section 2) a) iv above, there shall be at least an annual call for nominations to fill any vacancies that may exist.

c) **Board Leadership and Officers**
The Board shall have three (3) Officers: President, Vice President, and Secretary. Board Officers shall be elected by a simple majority of the Board Members. Officers shall serve two year terms, and can be replaced or renewed by simple majority of the Board Members. Roles and responsibilities: The Secretary shall ensure that minutes of all meetings are taken, and such minutes are maintained for public review upon request. The President shall chair meetings of the Board using Robert’s Rules of Order. The Vice President shall serve as chair in the President’s absence. Any majority of Officers can call for a special meeting of the Board.

d) **Conflicts of Interest**
Board members with actual or perceived conflicts of interest must identify these as they arise. Individuals with a conflict of interest shall abstain from discussion and/or voting on any issue in which they may have a direct conflict of interest.

e) **Terms of Office**
The members of the CoC Board shall serve two year terms and can be renewed or replaced through the nomination process of the CoCs, followed by ratification by the Board. Either CoC can at any point in the two year term, through a formal two-thirds majority vote, direct any Board Member to step down and be replaced by another nominee of the CoC, following the nomination process. This replacement process will require at least one month’s notification and placement as a formal action item on an agenda of an official CoC meeting.

f) **Committees**
The CoC Board may create committees as necessary to accomplish its purpose, roles, and responsibilities. Committees already established through the CoCs may carry out the functions of the CoC Board committees as deemed appropriate and advantageous.

3) **MEETINGS**
The CoC Board shall:
   a) Conduct at least four meetings per year. A simple majority of the CoC Board membership shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business.
   b) Provide prior reasonable notice of CoC Board and committee meetings and such notices shall be published on the Maine Homeless Planning website at least three days in advance. Each meeting shall have on its agenda the opportunity for members of the public to provide input and comment.
   c) Review and approve the minutes and consider recommendations from such committees established as provided in Section 2) f) above.

4) **COC REPORTS**
The CoC Board shall review applicable reports and documents pertaining to the CoCs in order to support local year-round Continuum of Care planning of homeless and homeless prevention housing and services.